

**A modular systematic review of antenatal interventions targeting modifiable environmental exposures in improving low birth weight.**

Pieta K Näsänen-Gilmore\*<sup>1,2</sup>, Annariina M Koivu\*<sup>1</sup>, Patricia J Hunter<sup>3</sup>, Yvonne Muthiani<sup>1</sup>, Pia Pörtfors<sup>2</sup>, Otto Heimonen<sup>1</sup>, Viivi Kajander<sup>1</sup>, Per Ashorn<sup>1,4</sup>, Ulla Ashorn<sup>1</sup>

\*These authors contributed equally

**Affiliations:**

<sup>1</sup>Center for Child, Adolescent and Maternal Health Research, Faculty of Medicine and Health Technology, Tampere University, Tampere, Finland

<sup>2</sup>Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, FI-00271, Helsinki, Finland

<sup>3</sup>UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, London, UK.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Paediatrics, Tampere University Hospital, Tampere, Finland

Corresponding author: pieta.nasanen-gilmore@tuni.fi

**Sources of support for the work:**

The study was funded by the Children's Investment Fund Foundation (CIFF), Grant Reference Number 1808-02973. The funder had no role in the design, implementation, analysis and interpretation of the data.

**Corresponding author:** P. Näsänen-Gilmore, Faculty of Medicine and Health Technology, Tampere University, FIN-33014 Tampere, Finland. Tel +358 (0)50 4008682

**Running title:** Environmental interventions to prevent LBW

**Conflicts of interest**

Pieta Näsänen-Gilmore – No conflict of interest

Annariina Koivu – No conflict of interest

Patricia J Hunter – No conflict of interest

Yvonne Muthiani – No conflict of interest

Pia Pörtlors – No conflict of interest

Otto Heimonen – No conflict of interest

Viiwi Kajander – No conflict of interest

Per Ashorn – No conflict of interest

Ulla Ashorn – No conflict of interest

1 **Abstract:**

2 **Background:** Low birth weight (LBW) increases the risk of short- and long-term morbidity  
3 and mortality from early life to adulthood. Despite research effort to improve birth outcomes  
4 the progress has been slow.

5 **Objective:** This systematic search and review of English language scientific literature on  
6 clinical trials aimed to compare the efficacy antenatal interventions to reduce environmental  
7 exposures including a reduction of toxins exposure, and improving sanitation, hygiene, and  
8 health-seeking behaviors, which target pregnant women to improve birth outcomes.

9 **Methods:** We performed eight systematic searches in MEDLINE (OvidSP), Embase  
10 (OvidSP), Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (Wiley Cochrane Library), Cochrane  
11 Central Register of Controlled Trials (Wiley Cochrane Library), CINAHL Complete  
12 (EbscoHOST) between 17 March 2020 and 26 May 2020.

13 **Results:** Four documents identified describe interventions to reduce indoor air pollution: two  
14 randomised controlled trials (RCTs), one systematic review and meta-analysis (SRMA) on  
15 preventative antihelminth treatment and one RCT on antenatal counselling against  
16 unnecessary caesarean section. Based on the published literature, interventions to remove  
17 indoor air pollution (LBW: RR: 0.90 [0.56, 1.44], PTB: OR: 2.37 [1.11, 5.07]) or  
18 preventative antihelminth treatment (LBW: RR: 1.00 [0.79, 1.27], PTB: RR: 0.88 [0.43,  
19 1.78]) are not likely to reduce the risk of LBW or Preterm birth (PTB). Data is insufficient on  
20 antenatal counselling against c-sections. For other interventions, there is lack of published  
21 research data from RCTs.

22 **Conclusions:** We conclude that there is a paucity of evidence from RCT on interventions that  
23 modify environmental risk factors during pregnancy to potentially improve birth outcomes.  
24 Magic bullets approach might not work and that it would be important to study the effect of  
25 the broader interventions, particularly in LMIC settings. Global interdisciplinary action to

26 reduce harmful environmental exposures, is likely to help to reach global targets for LBW  
 27 reduction and sustainably improve long-term population health.

28

29 **Keywords:** Low birth weight, preterm birth, adverse birth outcomes, pregnancy intervention,  
 30 low- and middle-income countries, environmental exposures, household fuel pollution,  
 31 helminth infections.

32

33 **List of Abbreviations:**

34	ES	Effect size
35	EED	Environmental enteric dysfunction
36	CI	Confidence interval
37	CO	Carbon monoxide
38	GI	Gastrointestinal
39	HICs	High income countries
40	LBW	Low birth weight
41	LICs	Low-income countries
42	LMICs	Low- and middle- income countries
43	MA	Meta-analysis
44	PICO	Population, Intervention, Control, Outcome
45	PM <sub>2.5</sub> $\mu$ m	Particulate matter pollution
46	PTB	Preterm birth
47	UMIC	Upper middle-income country
48	RCT	Randomised controlled trial
49	SB	Stillbirth
50	SBF	Solid biomass fuels
51	SGA	Small for gestational age
52	SRMA	Systematic review and meta-analysis

## 53 **Introduction:**

54 Low birth weight (LBW)(1,2) has been linked to a risk of early life mortality(3) and life-  
55 course morbidity including growth faltering in infancy(4), poorer lung function(5,6) and  
56 fitness, as well as chronic non-communicable diseases(7,8). LBW, i.e., birth weight of less  
57 than 2500g, can result from preterm birth (PTB, birth before 37 completed weeks of  
58 gestation), fetal growth restriction (FGR) that typically presents as the newborn being small  
59 for gestational age (SGA, weight below the 10th percentile for the gestational age and sex),  
60 or both(9). Globally approximately 15-20% of all the infants are born with LBW with the  
61 highest prevalence in Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia(10). LBW prevalence is an  
62 important indicator of population health and improving birth outcomes should be considered  
63 as a key public health target globally(9).

64 Progress in preventing adverse birth outcomes has been slow and much of the existing  
65 research evidence has a high-income country focus albeit the LBW burden is the highest in  
66 low resource settings(10). Currently, a great deal of primary research and recommendations  
67 on LBW prevention by antenatal interventions address maternal infections, dietary  
68 deficiencies, or undernutrition during pregnancy. Many modifiable environmental exposures  
69 are known to be risk factors of poor birth outcomes(11–17) including air and environmental  
70 pollutants from traffic and industrial activity(18), household cooking fumes(16), naturally  
71 occurring heavy metals from the living environment,(14) and fungal metabolites (aflatoxin)  
72 from food and vegetables(17). Poor sanitation and hygiene can pose an environmental risk  
73 when unhygienic conditions expose pregnant women to environmental pathogens that can be  
74 hazardous for them(19,20). Certain factors in social environment are determined by beliefs or  
75 common practices, including health behaviors e.g., choosing non-medically indicated  
76 caesarean section(21), can also be considered as risk factors. However, whilst there is plenty

77 of evidence on the association between environmental risk factors and adverse birth  
78 outcomes, very little work appropriately synthesizes the effect of interventions targeting  
79 environmental risk factors. Designing interventions to reduce harmful environmental  
80 exposures is particularly relevant to low-and-middle-income countries (LMICs) where the  
81 burden is heaviest, and legislative and social measures to protect population from ambient  
82 and household air pollutants or unhealthy social behaviors are lagging behind. Hence,  
83 addressing environmental risk factors could provide a potential tool to improve birth  
84 outcomes.

85 Currently, the understanding the effectiveness of the antenatal interventions tackling  
86 environmental exposures is limited, as interventions are of varying designs and often location  
87 specific(22). This does not allow for global comparison of the effectiveness of interventions  
88 to reduce the LBW prevalence. The aim of this article is to present a synthesis of published  
89 literature on eight interventions addressing environmental exposures and unfavorable living  
90 environment and health-seeking behaviors in pregnancy to reduce LBW and related adverse  
91 birth outcomes.

92

93 **Methods:**

94 This article reports a part of an evidence synthesis on a range of antenatal interventions that  
95 could be used to reduce the incidence of LBW, PTB, SGA and stillbirth (SB) globally. Out of  
96 the 46 antenatal interventions, the current review focuses on eight antenatal interventions that  
97 aim to address toxin exposure and sanitation, hygiene, and health-seeking behaviors in  
98 pregnancy:

- 99 1) Reduction of indoor air pollution;
- 100 2) Reduction of outdoor air pollution;
- 101 3) Antenatal counselling about avoidance of aflatoxins or heavy metals;
- 102 4) Water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) interventions;
- 103 5) preventative anthelmintic treatment;
- 104 6) screening and treatment of maternal environmental enteric dysfunction  
105 (EED);
- 106 7) antenatal counselling about living in high altitude and related hypoxia;
- 107 8) antenatal counselling against non-medically indicated caesarian-section

108 We have provided a list of search terms (Supplementary data 1-8). We defined  
109 environmental interventions as those that work through reduction of known environmental  
110 risk factors of adverse birth outcomes. The evidence on interventions related to maternal  
111 nutrition, infection control and sociopsychological exposures are reported elsewhere(23–  
112 25).

113 For the search, study selection, and evidence synthesis, we used a recently described novel  
114 systematic search and review method, the modular review, that allows concomitant review of

115 multiple interventions(26). The modular review consists of a streamlined process to evaluate,  
116 synthesize, summarize and categorize evidence optimized to inform decision-making, policy  
117 and program planning(26). While the design of the method, particularly its ability to review  
118 multiple interventions simultaneously, precluded the registration of the study in prospective  
119 registers of systematic reviews of single interventions, an a priori protocol was used and the  
120 method was published in detail(26).

121 Following the Modular Review method we carried out the initial screen with title and abstract  
122 by a single reviewer, followed by screening of full-text articles by two independent  
123 reviewers. Full details of the modular review are provided in Supplementary methods. In  
124 brief, we performed eight systematic searches in MEDLINE (OvidSP), Embase (OvidSP),  
125 Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (Wiley Cochrane Library), Cochrane Central  
126 Register of Controlled Trials (Wiley Cochrane Library), CINAHL Complete (EbscoHOST)  
127 between 17 March 2020 and 26 May 2020. Search strategies were developed as a teamwork,  
128 in collaboration with two information specialists. Search terms were identified through test  
129 searches, database-specific thesauri, benchmarking search results against known relevant  
130 studies, and through the research groups' subject expertise.

131 We included English-language studies that were relevant to population, intervention, study  
132 design and outcomes.

### 133 **Population**

134 The population of interest was pregnant females and studies including females at any stage of  
135 pregnancy prior to the initiation of labor were included. We excluded non-pregnant females  
136 of child-bearing age.

### 137 **Intervention**



138 The selected environmental interventions were chosen because they are particularly relevant  
139 to LMICs. Also, many LMICs lag in legislative and social measures to protect population  
140 from ambient and household air pollutants or unhealthy social behaviors. For instance, the  
141 prevalence of air pollutants from household cooking and heating is higher in LMICs than in  
142 HICs(27) and play a more important role in health determinants of women than tobacco  
143 smoking in low resource settings but remains much unaddressed. In LMICs, people often live  
144 in environments where poor or absent sanitary and hygiene measures play a crucial role as  
145 health determinants and where a high infection load from poor sanitary conditions during  
146 pregnancy can cause fetal malnutrition and poor intrauterine growth(19,20). Similarly, high  
147 altitude residences are more commonly of limited resource settings exposing vulnerable  
148 populations to risk of adverse birth outcomes(28). The implementation of the interventions  
149 reviewed in this paper is not currently explicitly recommended by the World Health  
150 Organization (WHO) although screening of the risk factor may be so. However, the  
151 international research community has considered these interventions as potential tools to  
152 reduce the burden of LBW, because they address potentially modifiable environmental risk  
153 factors for LBW, PTB, or SGA that are prevalent in (LMICs) (**Table 1**).

154 Overall, searches for the environmental interventions were built on risk factors. For some  
155 interventions, we applied an additional modified search strategy to capture all relevant  
156 articles of interest (screening and treatment of maternal EED and antenatal counselling about  
157 moving from high altitude to low, and WASH).

#### 158 **Controlled studies:**

159 For each intervention, we sought the best estimate of effect size (ES) from the included  
160 studies. ES documents consisted of the most recent quantitative evidence, with reviews of  
161 reviews (umbrella reviews, meta-reviews, reviews of (systematic) reviews) constituting the

162 highest level of evidence. The Next level consisted of reviews from the Cochrane  
163 collaboration, followed by high quality systematic reviews with or without meta-analyses. If  
164 there were no reviews available, we used peer reviewed published RCTs to estimate the  
165 combined effect size. In addition to identifying the latest reviews as ES documents, we also  
166 identified RCTs published after the review as ES documents. In such case, results from the  
167 more recent RCTs were reported separately. In reporting of effect size, we used relative risk  
168 (RR) or odds ratio with 95% or 90% confidence intervals (CI), stating the number of  
169 randomized participants.

## 170 **Outcome**

171 Outcomes of interest were LBW, PTB, SGA or SB. As study designs, we included RCTs and  
172 reviews of RCTs. The included studies had to report at least one of the listed outcomes.  
173 While LBW was the starting point of our project, PTB and SGA indicate the two main  
174 pathways that lead to it and SB is an extreme outcome that often results from the same  
175 processes that limit fetal growth or shorten the duration of pregnancy. Thus, all four  
176 outcomes can be partially attributed to the same antecedents(29).

## 177 **Quality**

178 In assessing the quality of evidence, we primarily accepted the assessment given in the  
179 Summary of Findings tables of the utilized ES documents that were reviewed. Typically, the  
180 tables are produced according to the GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment,  
181 Development, and Evaluation) process and they provide the quality of evidence rating for  
182 each outcome(30). In the older ES documents, the assessment was typically described to  
183 indicate the “quality” of evidence, whereas in the newer documents it was marked as the  
184 “certainty” of evidence. For RCTs used as ES documents, we used an applied version of the

185 GRADE system to assess the risk of bias for individual studies. This was converted into an  
186 assessment of quality of evidence (detailed in Supplementary methods).

187 To interpret the impact of the interventions on each outcome, we sorted our findings into 5  
188 categories based on the calculated effect size, the 95% or 90% CI, the number of studies and  
189 the quality of evidence. Each intervention was given standardized statement in relation to its  
190 effect on each outcome, accompanied by a color code (**Table 2**).

191 For reporting the results, we applied a modified preferred reporting items for systematic  
192 reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) 2020 checklist(31). For each intervention, we report  
193 quantitative estimates on the size of effect of the intervention on LBW, PTB, SGA and SB  
194 with an assessment of the quality of evidence.

195

196 **Results:**

197 We found 4995 records across seven searches. After electronic removal of duplicate records,  
198 we screened 3013 records for eligibility and reviewed 542 full texts. Eleven randomized  
199 controlled trials and systematic reviews and meta-analyses fulfilled the inclusion criteria.  
200 Seven studies were excluded from the effect size estimate as they only reported birth  
201 weight/length but no prespecified birth outcomes (LBW, PTB, SGA or SB) or the focus of  
202 analysis did not allow clear conclusions on the effect of the intervention on birth outcomes.  
203 The remaining four records qualified as effect size (ES) documents (**Figure 1**).

204 **Antenatal interventions to reduce toxin exposure in pregnant women**

205 Two ES documents (both RCTs) covered interventions addressing toxin exposure among  
206 pregnant women. The documents were published between 2011-2018 and data was collected  
207 in upper or lower middle-income countries from (UMIC or LMIC) (**Table 3**).

208 Both of the identified ES documents contributed to the estimate on the size of effect on the  
209 *Reduction of indoor air pollution exposure*. These documents reported results from RCTs that  
210 were conducted in Guatemala and Mongolia. The target group included all healthy pregnant  
211 women from households or communities cooking on poor quality solid biomass stoves. Both  
212 studies reported an outcome data for LBW (number of participants=637) and one (N=463) for  
213 PTB and SGA. Among women receiving the intervention, the relative risk (95% CI) of LBW  
214 was 0.90 (0.56, 1.44), whilst the odds ratio (95% CI) for PTB was 2.37 (1.11, 5.07) and that  
215 for SGA was 0.81 (0.40, 1.64). No data was available for SB. The quality of evidence was  
216 moderate. A detailed summary of the impact of environmental interventions to reduce indoor  
217 air pollution exposure is shown in (**Supplementary data 1**).

218 No ES documents reported the impact of interventions to *reduce outdoor or ambient air*  
219 *pollution exposure*, or the *reduction of heavy metal or aflatoxin exposure* on birth outcomes  
220 (**Supplementary data 2-3**).

221 In summary, for the interventions to reduce environmental toxin exposure there was very  
222 little data or data was insufficient to draw conclusions of their effect on birth outcomes, more  
223 specifically on the intervention to reduce outdoor air pollution exposure or heavy metal or  
224 aflatoxin exposure. Moderate quality evidence from LMIC and UMIC suggested that  
225 interventions to reduce indoor air pollution were not likely to reduce the prevalence of LBW,  
226 PTB or SGA (**Table 4**).

227

228 **Antenatal interventions to improve sanitation, hygiene, and health-seeking behaviors in**  
229 **pregnant women**

230 Two ES documents, one Cochrane SRMA from 2015 and one RCT from 2013 covered  
231 interventions on sanitation, hygiene, and health-seeking behaviors. The two documents  
232 described results from a total of four studies, conducted both in high-, middle- and low-  
233 income countries (**Table 5**).

234 One SRMA contributed to the effect size estimate on the *Preventative anthelmintic*  
235 *treatment during pregnancy*. This review consisted of three RCTs published between 2006  
236 and 2010, from Uganda (two) and Peru (one). The target group included pregnant women at  
237 risk of poor hygiene and sanitation, which may result in higher risk of helminth infection.  
238 The intervention included a single dose of albendazole or mebendazole, or a respective  
239 placebo, given after the 1st trimester of pregnancy with or without concomitant iron  
240 supplementation. The number of studies (participants) reporting specific outcome data was  
241 three (N=3255) for LBW and two (N=1318) for PTB. No study reported SGA or SB as an  
242 outcome. Compared to control women, the relative risk (95%CI) of LBW among women who  
243 received antihelminth treatment was 1.00 (0.79 to 1.27). The corresponding risk of PTB was  
244 0.88 (0.43 to 1.78). The authors of the review considered the evidence of moderate quality  
245 (**Supplementary data 4**).

246 One unblinded RCT, including 871 participants from Australia and published in 2013  
247 contributed to the effect size estimate for *antenatal counselling against unnecessary c-*  
248 *sections*. The target group was pregnant women with no risk or no medical indication for a  
249 caesarean section delivery. Compared to control women, the odds ratio (95% CI) of PTB  
250 among women in the intervention group was 0.76 (0.49, 1.16); the prevalence of LBW, SGA,

251 and SB was not reported. The quality of evidence was considered low (**Supplementary data**  
252 **5**).

253 No ES documents reported the impact of *WASH interventions, screening and treatment of*  
254 *maternal environmental enteric dysfunction (EED), or counselling to pregnant women to*  
255 *temporarily move from high to lower altitude during pregnancy* on any of the selected birth  
256 outcomes (**Supplementary data 6-8**).

257 In summary, there was very little data or data was mostly insufficient to draw conclusions on  
258 the impact of interventions to improve sanitation, hygiene, and health-seeking behaviors on  
259 birth outcomes. There was moderate quality evidence from LMIC settings indicating that  
260 preventative antihelminth treatment during pregnancy is not likely to reduce the prevalence of  
261 LBW or PTB (**Table 6**).

## 262 **Discussion**

263 The aim of this paper was to review and summarize English-language literature on RCT  
264 evidence about the impact of eight antenatal interventions to reduce the risk of LBW and  
265 related adverse outcomes, focusing on environmental risk factors during pregnancy.  
266 Synthesizing data from five databases, we established a scarcity of evidence on interventions  
267 addressing environmental risk factors on birth outcomes. Particularly, RCT evidence was  
268 missing on interventions addressing outdoor air pollution, aflatoxin exposures, antenatal  
269 high-altitude exposure, poor sanitation, and hygiene, and maternal EED. Of eight antenatal  
270 interventions aiming to reduce environmental exposures, indoor air pollution reduction  
271 intervention and preventative antihelminth treatment were summarized as likely not to reduce  
272 the prevalence of adverse birth outcomes. For antenatal counselling against non-medically  
273 indicated caesarian section, the effect of the intervention was uncertain and limited to HIC.

274 The validity of our sample findings may be compromised by our search method in cases  
275 where birth outcomes of interest were not included in the title and abstract, or they were  
276 reported as secondary outcomes. Moreover, a single risk-factor based search method for some  
277 of the interventions may potentially have led to exclusions of some relevant work. However,  
278 we verified systematically the comprehensiveness of identified literature, through random  
279 checks and the use of multiple search engines(26). Thus, the identified scientific literature is  
280 likely to be representative of the existing evidence on pregnancy interventions to improve  
281 birth outcomes. Of the eight reviewed antenatal interventions targeting environmental  
282 exposures, none is therefore likely to improve birth outcomes, or the evidence is insufficient  
283 to make conclusions.

284 Modifiable environmental toxin exposures represent a disproportionately high adverse health  
285 burden in low-income context. In 2005, WHO declared household fuel pollution as a silent



286 killer of women in low resource settings where poor quality solid biomass fuels and  
287 chimneyless stoves are commonly used for cooking and heating(32,33). Women and small  
288 children are the most vulnerable to adversities from fuel pollution exposure as they spend  
289 much time at home and are chronically exposed to pollutants from cooking and heating. This  
290 predisposes women in reproductive age and their unborn babies and small children to early  
291 life and long-term health problems(34).

292 Our findings on interventions reducing indoor air pollution are consistent with more recent  
293 evidence from Nepal, where households were provided liquid petroleum gas stoves to reduce  
294 pollution exposure and reported no effect on birth outcomes as a result of intervention(35).  
295 This work, however, suggested that despite lower pollutant levels, the measured values were  
296 still far beyond the recommended safe exposure levels recommended by WHO(35). It may be  
297 that interventions to reduce indoor air pollution are not actually efficient enough to reduce  
298 pollutant levels, hence it is not surprising that there are no impacts on birth outcomes.  
299 Furthermore this work speculated on high ambient air pollution as the contributor of  
300 persistently high indoor air pollution levels(35). Given that the 2021 WHO air quality  
301 guidelines estimate that 90% of global population is at risk of harmful air pollution  
302 exposure(36), it is clear that a reduction of environmental pollution exposures is paramount,  
303 but also the complexity of factors which may influence intervention success requires  
304 recognition(37).

305 Currently, WHO recommends a periodic antihelminth treatment to all children and women at  
306 reproductive age in endemic areas where helminth infestation affects approximately 44  
307 million pregnancies(38). Even though our search found no data to support deworming during  
308 pregnancy to improve birth outcomes, it may reduce neonatal mortality(15). This recent  
309 multicountry study also suggested a risk reduction in LBW in LMIC(15). In any case,

310 deworming is considered safe and provides health benefits when given to pregnant women  
311 when there is a population level deworming campaign(39).

312 Our review highlighted the scarcity of clinical trial evidence on environmental interventions  
313 targeting pregnant women, which systematically measure the effect on birth outcomes.

314 However, lack of evidence does not necessarily signal lack of effect. The effect of  
315 interventions reducing environmental risk factors may be harder to quantify or separate from  
316 other socio-behavioral factors, which may influence the success of the intervention(37). This  
317 could at least partially explain the absence of evidence on WASH interventions or

318 intervention targeting maternal environmental enteric dysfunction during pregnancy. A  
319 potential direction for future research could be moving the focus from risk factor analysis to

320 designing more multifaceted interventions to reduce modifiable environmental exposures

321 among women in reproductive age. Whilst single-pronged antenatal interventions have not  
322 been effective in improving pregnancy outcomes, It may be that broader investigation of

323 social determinants of health including housing and availability of adequate health care

324 would reveal more effective solutions to reduce harm from environmental exposures. It may

325 be that broader investigation of social determinants of health including housing and

326 availability of adequate health care would reveal more effective solutions to reduce harm

327 from environmental exposures. It is important to also recognize that what may work in HIC

328 settings, might not work in low resource settings. Furthermore, a contextual understanding of

329 local settings is highly relevant, but equally important is the learning from multicountry

330 studies alongside. Learning from these approaches may help to contribute to the progress

331 flagged by the World Health Assembly on the reduction of global LBW prevalence(9).

332 Our work focused on RCT designs and excluded other study designs, such as non-

333 randomized designs, cohorts, and cross-sectional studies. However, environmental exposures

334 are often part of an integrated living environment and therefore very complex to tackle.

335 Research on interventions addressing environmental risk factors is commonly carried out as  
336 community-wide interventions, which are often of non-RCT design, and could have  
337 contributed relevant data on the effectiveness of interventions on birth outcomes. We also did  
338 not include studies where the intervention started in preconception time or after the start of  
339 labor, which may have limited the effect of the intervention in this review. There is an inbuilt  
340 problem with interventions focusing on pregnancy. By design an intervention can start from  
341 the confirmation of pregnancy in late first trimester, which may actually be too late in terms  
342 of fetal development(40). Furthermore, we did not specifically review the work which  
343 focused on PTB before 34 weeks of gestation.

344 Our work has highlighted a scarcity of scientific evidence of RCT interventions on the impact  
345 of antenatal interventions to reduce harmful environmental exposures to improve birth  
346 outcomes. Harmful environmental exposures are highly prevalent across the globe but  
347 particularly problematic in resource poor settings where the infrastructure to mitigate the  
348 problem is lacking. Changing viewpoint from RCTs to broader intervention designs and  
349 focusing resources into the reduction of environmental risk factors at local level with  
350 multicountry comparisons is likely to be effective and the way forward to improve birth  
351 outcomes and long-term health in LMIC settings. Global interdisciplinary action towards  
352 reducing harmful environmental exposures can contribute to the progress global LBW  
353 prevalence reduction and improve long-term population health sustainably.



## References

1. Hughes MM, Black RE, Katz J. 2500-g Low Birth Weight Cutoff: History and Implications for Future Research and Policy. *Matern Child Health J.* 2017 Feb;21(2):283–9.
2. McCormick MC. The Contribution of Low Birth Weight to Infant Mortality and Childhood Morbidity. *N Engl J Med.* 1985 Jan 10;312(2):82–90.
3. Katz J, Lee AC, Kozuki N, Lawn JE, Cousens S, Blencowe H, Ezzati M, Bhutta ZA, Machant T, Willey BA., et al. Mortality risk in preterm and small-for-gestational-age infants in low-income and middle-income countries: a pooled country analysis. *The Lancet.* 2013 Aug;382(9890):417–25.
4. Victora CG, de Onis M, Hallal PC, Blossner M, Shrimpton R. Worldwide Timing of Growth Faltering: Revisiting Implications for Interventions. *Pediatrics.* 2010 Mar 1;125(3):e473–80.
5. Doyle LW, Andersson S, Bush A, Cheong JLY, Clemm H, Evensen KAI, Cough A, Halvorsen T, Hovi P, Kajantie E, et al. Expiratory airflow in late adolescence and early adulthood in individuals born very preterm or with very low birthweight compared with controls born at term or with normal birthweight: a meta-analysis of individual participant data. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2019 Aug;7(8):677–86.
6. Näsänen-Gilmore P, Sipola-Leppänen M, Tikanmäki M, Matinolli HM, Eriksson JG, Järvelin MR, Vääräsmäki M, Hovi P, Kajantie E. Lung function in adults born preterm. Ryckman KK, editor. *PLOS ONE.* 2018 Oct 19;13(10):e0205979.
7. Osmond C, Barker DJP. Fetal, Infant, and Childhood Growth Are Predictors of Coronary Heart Disease, Diabetes, and Hypertension in Adult Men and Women. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2000;108:9.
8. Risnes KR, Vatten LJ, Baker JL, Jameson K, Sovio U, Kajantie E, Osler M, Morley R, Jokela M, Painter RC, et al. Birthweight and mortality in adulthood: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2011 Jun;40(3):647–61.
9. Blencowe H, Krasevec J, de Onis M, Black RE, An X, Stevens GA, Borghi E, Hayshi C, Estevez D, Cegolon L, et al. National, regional, and worldwide estimates of low birthweight in 2015, with trends from 2000: a systematic analysis. *Lancet Glob Health.* 2019 Jul;7(7):e849–60.
10. Lawn JE, Blencowe H, Oza S, You D, Lee AC, Waiswa P, Lalli M, Bhutta Z, Barros AJD, Christian P, et al. Every Newborn: progress, priorities, and potential beyond survival. *The Lancet.* 2014 Jul;384(9938):189–205.
11. Amegah AK, Quansah R, Jaakkola JJK. Household Air Pollution from Solid Fuel Use and Risk of Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of the Empirical Evidence. *PLoS ONE.* 2014 Dec 2;9(12):e113920.
12. Nasanen-Gilmore SPK, Saha S, Rasul I, Rousham EK. Household environment and behavioral determinants of respiratory tract infection in infants and young children in northern Bangladesh. *Am J Hum Biol.* 2015 Nov;27(6):851–8.

13. Pope DP, Mishra V, Thompson L, Siddiqui AR, Rehfuess EA, Weber M, Bruce N. Risk of Low Birth Weight and Stillbirth Associated With Indoor Air Pollution From Solid Fuel Use in Developing Countries. *Epidemiol Rev.* 2010 Apr 1;32(1):70–81.
14. Rahman A, Vahter M, Smith AH, Nermell B, Yunus M, El Arifeen S, Persson LÅ, Ekström EL. Arsenic Exposure During Pregnancy and Size at Birth: A Prospective Cohort Study in Bangladesh. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2008 Nov 25;169(3):304–12.
15. Walia B, Kmush BL, Lane SD, Endy T, Montresor A, Larsen DA. Routine deworming during antenatal care decreases risk of neonatal mortality and low birthweight: A retrospective cohort of survey data. Chai jong Y, editor. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2021 Apr 29;15(4):e0009282.
16. Wylie BJ, Kishashu Y, Matechi E, Zhou Z, Coull B, Abioye AI, Dionisio KL, Mugusi F, Premji Z, et al. Maternal exposure to carbon monoxide and fine particulate matter during pregnancy in an urban Tanzanian cohort. *Indoor Air.* 2017 Jan;27(1):136–46.
17. Passarelli S, Bromage S, Darling AM, Wang J, Aboud S, Mugusi F, Griffiths JK, Fawzi W. Aflatoxin exposure in utero and birth and growth outcomes in Tanzania. *Matern Child Nutr [Internet].* 2020 Apr;16(2).
18. Moghaddam-Hosseini V, Dowlatabadi A, Najafi ML, Ghalehovi M, Pajohanfar NS, Ghezi S, Mehrabadi S, Estiri EH, Miri M. Association of traffic-related air pollution with Newborn's anthropometric indexes at birth. *Environ Res.* 2022 Mar 1;204:112000.
19. Cameron L, Chase C, Contreras Suarez D. Relationship between water and sanitation and maternal health: Evidence from Indonesia. *World Dev.* 2021 Nov 1;147:105637.
20. Prüss-Ustün A, Wolf J, Bartram J, Clasen T, Cumming O, Freeman MC, Gordon B, Hunter PR, Medlicot K, Johnston R. Burden of disease from inadequate water, sanitation and hygiene for selected adverse health outcomes: An updated analysis with a focus on low- and middle-income countries. *Int J Hyg Environ Health.* 2019 Jun 1;222(5):765–77.
21. Yuill C, McCourt C, Cheyne H, Leister N. Women's experiences of decision-making and informed choice about pregnancy and birth care: a systematic review and meta-synthesis of qualitative research. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2020 Jun 10;20(1):343.
22. Symon A, Pringle J, Downe S, Hundley V, Lee E, Lynn F, McFadden A, McNeil J, Renfrew MJ, Ross-Davie M. Antenatal care trial interventions: a systematic scoping review and taxonomy development of care models. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2017 Dec;17(1):8.
23. Hunter, P et al. [one of other three review articles submitted in the Low Birth Weight Supplement in connection to this Manuscript].
24. Muthiani, Y et al. [one of other three review articles submitted in the Low Birth Weight Supplement in connection to this Manuscript].
25. Koivu AM et al. [one of other three review articles submitted in the Low Birth Weight Supplement in connection to this Manuscript].
26. Koivu AM, Hunter PJ, Näsänen-Gilmore P, Muthiani Y, Isojärvi J, Pörfors P, Ashorn U, Ashorn P. Modular literature review: a novel systematic search and review method to

support priority setting in health policy and practice. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2021 Dec;21(1):268.

27. Ritchie, Hannah, Roser, Max. Air Pollution [Internet]. Published online at OurWorldInData.org.; Available from: '<https://ourworldindata.org/air-pollution>' [Online Resource]

28. Hartinger S, Tapia V, Carrillo C, Bejarano L, Gonzales GF. Birth weight at high altitudes in Peru. *Int J Gynecol Obstet*. 2006 Jun;93(3):275–81.

29. Malacova E, Regan A, Nassar N, Raynes-Greenow C, Leonard H, Srinivasjois R, Shand AW, Lavin T, Pereira G. Risk of stillbirth, preterm delivery, and fetal growth restriction following exposure in a previous birth: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BJOG Int J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2018;125:183–92.

30. Schünemann H, Brożek J, Guyatt G, Oxman A. GRADE handbook for grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations [Internet]. The GRADE Working Group; 2013. Available from: Available Guidel Orghandbook. 2013

31. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, Shamseer L, Tetzlaff JM, Akl EA, Brennan SE, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*. 2021 Mar 29;n71.

32. World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe. Air quality guidelines: global update 2005: particulate matter, ozone, nitrogen dioxide and sulfur dioxide. World Health Organization. Regional Office for Europe; 2006.

33. Austin KF, Mejia MT. Household air pollution as a silent killer: women's status and solid fuel use in developing nations. *Popul Environ*. 2017 Sep 1;39(1):1–25.

34. Vanker A, Barnett W, Workman L, Nduru PM, Sly PD, Gie RP, Zar HJ. Early-life exposure to indoor air pollution or tobacco smoke and lower respiratory tract illness and wheezing in African infants: a longitudinal birth cohort study. *Lancet Planet Health*. 2017 Nov 1;1(8):e328–36.

35. Katz J, Tielsch JM, Khatri SK, Shrestha L, Breyse P, Zeger SL, Kozuki N, Checkley W, LeClerg SC, Mullary LC. Impact of Improved Biomass and Liquid Petroleum Gas Stoves on Birth Outcomes in Rural Nepal: Results of 2 Randomized Trials. *Glob Health Sci Pract*. 2020 Sep 30;8(3):372–82.

36. World Health Organization. WHO global air quality guidelines: particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub>), ozone, nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide and carbon monoxide. World Health Organization; 2021.

37. Allen RW, Barn PK, Lanphear BP. Randomized controlled trials in environmental health research: unethical or underutilized? *PLoS Med*. 2015 Jan 6;12(1):e1001775–e1001775.

38. World Health Organization. Guideline: preventive chemotherapy to control soil-transmitted helminth infections in at-risk population groups [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017 [cited 2022 Jun 9]. Available from: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/260297>

39. Imhoff-Kunsch B, Briggs V. Anthelmintics in Pregnancy and Maternal, Newborn and Child Health. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol.* 2012;26(s1):223–38.
40. Barn P, Gombojav E, Ochir C, Boldbaatar B, Beejin B, Naidan G, Gallsuren J, Legtseg B, Byambaa T, Hutcheon JA, Janes C, et al. The effect of portable HEPA filter air cleaner use during pregnancy on fetal growth: The UGAAR randomized controlled trial. *Environ Int.* 2018 Dec;121:981–9.
41. Kannan S, Misra DP, Dvonch JT, Krishnakumar A. Exposures to airborne particulate matter and adverse perinatal outcomes: a biologically plausible mechanistic framework for exploring potential effect modification by nutrition. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2006 Nov;114(11):1636–42.
42. World Health Organisation. Soil-transmitted helminth infections, fact-sheet [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/soil-transmitted-helminth-infections>
43. Dewey KG, Mayers DR. Early child growth: how do nutrition and infection interact?. *Maternal & Child Nutrition. Matern Child Nutr.* 2011;7:129–42.
44. The WHO/UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme (JMP). 2020. Available from: <https://washdata.org/data>
45. Chen I, Opiyo N, Tavender E, Mortazhejri S, Rader T, Petkovic J, Yogosingam S, Taljaard M, Agarwal S, Laopaiboon M, et al. Non-clinical interventions for reducing unnecessary caesarean section. *Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care Group, editor. Cochrane Database Syst Rev [Internet].* 2018 Sep 28 [cited 2022 Jan 11];2018(9).
46. Tremblay JC, Ainslie PN. Global and country-level estimates of human population at high altitude. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 2021 May 4;118(18):e2102463118.
47. Thompson LM, Bruce N, Eskenazi B, Diaz A, Pope D, Smith KR. Impact of Reduced Maternal Exposures to Wood Smoke from an Introduced Chimney Stove on Newborn Birth Weight in Rural Guatemala. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2011 Oct;119(10):1489–94.
48. Salam RA, Haider BA, Humayun Q, Bhutta ZA. Effect of administration of anthelmintics for soil-transmitted helminths during pregnancy. *Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group, editor. Cochrane Database Syst Rev [Internet].* 2015 Jun 18 [cited 2021 Sep 6].
49. Tracy SK, Hartz DL, Tracy MB, Allen J, Forti A, Hall B, White J, Lainchbury A, Stapleton H, Beckmann M, et al. Caseload midwifery care versus standard maternity care for women of any risk: M@NGO, a randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet.* 2013 Nov;382(9906):1723–32.



## **Acknowledgements**

The authors gratefully acknowledge Jaana Isojärvi, Taina Peltonen, Päivi Lukin and Heather Chesters for support in information retrieval. We thank all members of the TAU Center for Child, Adolescent and Maternal Health for their advice and feedback, in particular, Juho Luoma for statistical support and Maryam Hadji, Meeri Salenius, Kalpana Bastola, and Raija Vimpeli for research assistance.

PA, UA, PNG, AK, PH and YM designed research, including project conception and development of overall research plan. PA and UA provided study oversight. PNG, AK, PH and YM conducted research. PNG, AK, PH, YM, PP, VK collected or analyzed data. OH performed statistical analysis. PNG and AK drafted the manuscript. PNG had primary responsibility for final content. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Data described in the manuscript will be made available upon reasonable request.

Table 1: List of interventions to tackle environmental and related risk factors

Intervention	Addressed risk factor	Prevalence of the risk factor	Assumed mode of action
<b>Antenatal interventions to reduce toxin exposure in pregnant women</b>			
Reduction of ambient/outdoor air pollution	Exposure to ambient/outdoor air pollution	Over 90% of the world's population is exposed to poor quality air. Whilst in HIC countries air quality has improved through intervening, but the problem persists in LMIC countries(27).	Reduced inhalation of particulate matter and carbon monoxide from traffic and industrial fumes through improved combustion of fuels, use of cleaners and filters to trap harmful pollution. Reduced exposure reduces airway irritation and symptoms of asthma and airway diseases as well as subclinical inflammation. Systemic inflammation has been linked to poor birth outcomes(41). Reduction of systemic inflammation caused by pollution exposure may improve birth outcomes.
Reduction of indoor air pollution exposure	Exposure to household fuel pollution or the use of low quality SBF	Globally 36% of world's population cooked using low quality solid biomass fuels (SBF) in 2020. Despite a drastic decline from over 50% prevalence in 1990s the use of poor quality SBF continues in rural areas and particularly in LMIC(27)	Reduced inhalation of particulate matter and carbon monoxide from fumes resulting from household cooking/heating. Use of higher quality fuels to improve combustion of fuels, use of chimneys, air filters to reduce pollution levels. Systemic inflammation has been linked to poor birth outcomes(41). Reduced exposure may reduce chronic

			airway irritation and subclinical systemic inflammation resulting from particulate matter irritation in the lungs.
Antenatal counselling about avoidance of aflatoxins or heavy metals	Exposure to aflatoxins and heavy metals	Details of prevalences globally or in LIC are not available. For aflatoxins the highest occurrences are in hot and humid regions which is optimal for fungal growth(17) .	Exposure to heavy metal and aflatoxins have been linked to poorer birth outcomes(14,17) Education and counselling in pregnancy of health dangers of heavy metal and aflatoxin exposure eradicate behaviors which lead to unnecessary exposure. and improve birth outcomes.
<b>Antenatal interventions to improve sanitation, hygiene, and health-seeking behaviors in pregnant women</b>			
Preventative anthelmintic treatment during pregnancy	High infectious load, poor gut absorption, micronutrient deficiencies and anemia	24% of global populations are infected with helminths. The prevalence is highest in tropical areas in Sub-Saharan Africa, China, and East Asia(42)	Inflammatory state and poorer gastrointestinal function and reduced nutrition absorption(43). Medical treatment targeting anthelmintic infectious agents, e.g., helminthic worms will clear the worm infestation in the gastrointestinal track (GI), reducing inflammatory state which give a rise to improved GI immune defense and better absorption of nutrients through the GI track which leads to a better nutritional status and improve birth outcomes.

<p>Water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) interventions in pregnancy to improve sanitation</p>	<p>Poor hygiene and sanitation</p>	<p>46% of the world population have no access to improved sanitation facilities, representing 82% in LIC. Of global population 26% do not have an access to clean drinking water, compared with 71% in LIC(44)</p>	<p>Inflammatory state and poorer gastrointestinal function and reduced nutrition absorption(43). Improved hygiene and sanitation through hand washing, use of soap and proper sewage disposal, use of latrines, hygiene in food preparation These actions reduce infection load, lower infections burden, improve immunity and nutritional status to improve GI immune defenses and improve birth outcomes.</p>
<p>Screening and treatment for maternal EED during pregnancy</p>	<p>Poor hygiene and sanitation, certain gut infections, and micronutrient deficiencies</p>	<p>The global prevalence of maternal EED is impossible to estimate as much goes asymptomatic, particularly in low resource settings where other health burdens are high.</p>	<p>Inflammatory state and poorer gastrointestinal function and reduced nutrition absorption(43). Reduced infection load may lower infection burden, improved immunity, and improve nutritional status and potentially improve birth outcomes.</p>
<p>Antenatal counselling against unnecessary c-sections</p>	<p>Shortened gestation due to elected, non- medically indicated caesarian sections</p>	<p>Global prevalence of performance of unnecessary c-sections have increased from 6.7% to 19.1%, with an average annual increase rate of 4.4%: region-specific increases are Europe 11.2 to 25%, in Asia 4.4 to 19.5%, in Africa 2.9% to 7.4% (45)</p>	<p>Education and antenatal counselling on benefits of natural birthing. Education on short-term and long-term harmful impact of reduced gestation. Promotion of appropriate medical care and promotion of freedom of choice in birthing practices and may improve birth outcomes.</p>

<p>Counselling to temporarily move from high to low altitude during pregnancy</p>	<p>Altitude-related hypoxia during pregnancy</p>	<p>23% of the world's population live in above 500 m from the sea level. Of which approximately 12% at altitude of 500-2500m. Much of these areas are in East Africa, China, Nepal, Chile, Peru.(46)</p>	<p>Hypoxia from altitude residence during pregnancy may influence birth outcomes(28) Education and antenatal counselling will improve the understanding of adversities of altitude-induced hypoxia during pregnancy and the importance of temporary relocation to low altitude settings in order to avoid avoidable harm from hypoxia related to altitude may improve birth outcomes.</p>
---	--	--	---

Table 2: Summary of categorization of the evidence.

<b>Color</b>	<b>Interpretation</b>	<b>Criteria</b>
Green	The intervention likely reduces the risk of the adverse outcome.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>At least two moderate-to-high quality RCTs in a meta-analysis / IPD analysis, with 95% CI of the point estimate of the RR entirely below 1.</li> </ul>
Yellow	The intervention may reduce the risk of the adverse outcome.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>At least two RCTs in a meta-analysis / IPD analysis, where either the 95% CI of the point estimate of the RR is entirely below 1 but the quality of the evidence is low, or the quality is moderate-to-high and the 90% CI of the point estimate of the RR entirely below 1.</li> <li>One moderate-to-high quality RCT, with 95% CI of the point estimate of the RR entirely below 1.</li> </ul>
Red	The intervention is not likely to reduce the risk of the adverse outcome.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Situations that do not meet the requirements for other categories, including meta-analysis results suggestive of harm. In other words, there is sufficient evidence to conclude that the intervention is unlikely to have a positive effect on the outcome.</li> </ul>
Grey	Inconclusive published research on the intervention's effect on the outcome.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>At least two RCTs, 95% CI of the point estimate of the RR ranges from <math>&lt; 0.5</math> to <math>&gt; 2</math>.</li> </ul>
White	Insufficient published research on the intervention's effect on the outcome.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No RCTs or one low quality RCT (any result)</li> <li>One moderate-to-high quality RCT where 95% CI of the RR includes 1.</li> <li>Narrative reporting</li> </ul>

Table 3: Source documents for effect size (ES) estimate

Antenatal intervention	Authors	Year	Study design	Country of data collection	Population	Sample size	Description of Intervention	Description of Control
Reduction of indoor air pollution exposure	Thompson(47)	2011	RCT - single-blinded	Guatemala (1)	Healthy pregnant women	N=174	Wood-burning stoves with chimneys	Open fires without chimneys
Reduction of indoor air pollution exposure	Barn(40)	2018	RCT - single-blinded	Mongolia, Ulaanbaatar (1)	Women were less than 18 weeks of GA, with singleton pregnancy, had no air filter in their house and were planning to give birth at a medical facility	N=463	HEPA air filter fitted in the house to clean the household air. One filter per 40m <sup>2</sup> , if larger accommodation a second filter was provided.	No HEPA filter

Table 4: Effect size estimates per intervention type: Reduction of toxin exposure

Intervention	Does the indicated intervention reduce the prevalence of the following adverse birth outcomes?			
	Low Birth Weight (LBW)	Preterm birth (PTB)	Small for Gestational Age (SGA)	Stillbirth (SB)
Reduction of indoor air pollution	No	No	Insufficient data	Insufficient data
	RR: 0.90 [0.56 to 1.44]. (N=636)	OR: 2.37 [1.11 to 5.07] (N=463)	OR: 0.81 [0.40 to 1.64] (N=463)	N/A
	MODERATE	MODERATE	Moderate	N/A
Reduction of outdoor air pollution	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Antenatal counselling about avoidance of aflatoxins or heavy metals	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



Table 5: Source documents for effect size (ES) estimate. Sanitation, hygiene, and health-seeking behaviors

Antenatal intervention	Authors	Year	Study design	Country of data collection	Population	Sample size	Description of intervention	Description of control
Preventative anthelmintic treatment	Salam(48)	2015	SRMA	Uganda (2), Peru (1)	Pregnant women in the second or third trimester.	LBW 3 studies (N=3255) PTB: 2 studies (N=1318)	Albendazole or mebendazole with or without iron	Placebo
Antenatal counselling against non-medically indicated caesarian sections.	Tracy(49)	2013	Unblinded RCT, parallel-group trial	Australia (1)	Pregnant women >18 years of age and <24 weeks of gestation at the 1st booking. Excluded: Women who had planned caesarian, with multiple fetus, planning to use GP, private obstetrician or participate in the MANGO study.	PTB: 1 studies (N=871)	The M@NGO study: Caseload midwives work on annual salary and regular shifts. Each midwife cares for 40 women/year and shadows another 40. Women have a designated midwife from early pregnancy to postnatal care. Women can attend antenatal/postnatal groups. Women are advised by their midwife throughout and are encouraged to go home early where midwife visits regularly for 6 weeks to provide support.	Standard midwifery care: midwives employed to provide rostered care min 38 hours a week, to match the workload requirements. Women can have several carers, attend routine antenatal clinics and during labor. Routine birthing care and are discharged early if appropriate as according to the Australian national midwifery guidelines.

Table 6: Effect size estimates per intervention type: Improving Hygiene and Sanitation and health-seeking behaviors

Intervention	Does the indicated intervention reduce the prevalence of the following adverse birth outcomes?			
	Low Birth Weight (LBW)	Preterm birth (PTB)	Small for Gestational Age (SGA)	Stillbirth (SB)
Preventive antihelminth treatment in pregnancy	No	No	Insufficient data	Insufficient data
	RR: 1.00 [0.79 to 1.27] (N=3255)	RR: 0.88 [0.43 to 1.78] (N=1318)	N/A	N/A
	MODERATE	MODERATE	N/A	N/A
Antenatal counselling against medically non-indicated C-sections	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data
	N/A	OR: 0.76 [0.49 to 1.16] (N=871)	N/A	N/A
	N/A	LOW	N/A	N/A
WASH <sup>1</sup> interventions in pregnancy	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Screening and treatment for maternal EED <sup>2</sup> environmental enteric dysfunction during pregnancy	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Counselling to temporarily move from high to lower altitude during pregnancy	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>1</sup>WASH: Water, hygiene, and sanitation

<sup>2</sup>EED: environmental enteric dysfunction during

Figure 1: Summary flow diagram. Selection of publications for the analysis of interventions targeting modifiable environmental factors to reduce adverse birth in pregnancy. Adapted from Prisma 2020. (31) Some records occur more than once due to being relevant to more than one intervention.