

Hospitality & Society  
Volume 12 Number 2

© 2022 The Author(s) Published by Intellect Ltd. Editorial. English language.  
Open Access under the CC BY-NC-ND licence. [https://doi.org/10.1386/hosp\\_00053\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1386/hosp_00053_2)

---

## EDITORIAL

**NICK GILL**

University of Exeter

**JAMES RIDING**

Newcastle University

**KIRSI PAULIINA KALLIO**

Tampere University

**JENNIFER BAGELMAN**

Newcastle University

# Geographies of welcome: Engagements with 'ordinary' hospitality

### ABSTRACT

*We explore the topic of welcome through a geographical lens, setting out the relationships between geographical perspectives and current approaches to welcome and hospitality. We argue that geographers are well positioned to develop engagements with the 'prosaics' of welcome that have recently been advocated by scholars in hospitality studies. To make this case, we identify a series of fruitful directions, offering a critical exploration of 'ordinary' welcomes via recent geographical insights into feminist geographies of intimacy, family and home, other-than-human relations and postcolonialism. The five articles that constitute this Special Issue*

### KEYWORDS

asylum  
refugees  
geopolitics  
other  
everyday  
migration  
feminist geographies

1. We are indebted to an anonymous reviewer of this editorial for this point.

*build on this editorial to develop critical engagements that explore the geographies of welcome, with particular attention to migration and refugees.*

## 1. WELCOME: THE LAWS AND LIMITS OF HOSPITALITY

Building on long-standing connections between currents of thought in hospitality studies and geography, the discipline of geography is uniquely positioned to contribute to the study of ‘prosaics’ in hospitality studies – to ‘the ordinary, the taken-for-granted’ (Lynch 2017: 175). Taking into account geographers’ attention to place, as well as long-standing engagements with the global-intimate, new conceptions of home, more-than-human approaches to ethics and the discipline of geography’s specific and problematic relationship to colonialism, we identify a series of potential crossovers and contributions that can be gleaned from geographers’ recent work, which are further explored in the subsequent articles in this Special Issue.

First, as this Special Issue is called ‘Geographies of Welcome’, we attempt to conceptualize ‘welcome’. Following Emmanuel Levinas, himself a Lithuanian refugee in France, welcome constitutes us (Levinas 1979, 1981). Aiming to radically reinvent the subject in modern western philosophy, he moved beyond the assertion that the metaphysical self precedes the world, to postulate that the ‘I’ is only brought into being via a movement towards the ‘Other’, via an act of welcome. For Levinas, the subject *is* the welcome. The existence of the self is contingent upon that of the newcomer and the self is called forth by the Other. What we do in moments of encounter and potential encounter, how we address or ignore the appeal of the Other, whether and how we respond to newcomers: these are not matters extrinsic to ourselves, nor available to our intervention from some supposedly safe distance. To understand how important the topic of welcome is, we must appreciate that it is fundamentally integral to identity and personhood itself, to our very subjectivity.

Drawing and building on Levinas’s notion of ethical responsibility for the Other, Zygmunt Bauman developed the concept of ‘strangerhood’. In his book, *Modernity and Ambivalence*, Bauman, a Polish refugee himself, identifies strangerhood as the condition of individuals not statically being part of a single societal subsystem, instead becoming part of a mobile, complex socially displaced system (see Bauman 1991).<sup>1</sup> Strangerhood thus intersects with the ethical responsibility advocated by Levinas, who invokes ethics in relation to the Other (see Levinas 1979). Levinas outlines an ethics connected with moral responsibility, which becomes a political act through deconstruction, further advanced by Derrida in his thesis on hospitality. In this Special Issue, Kekstaite’s and Mazzilli’s articles delve into this exilic history of thought, as the authors critically rework hospitality in connection with Derrida. They and other articles in this Special Issue go on to explore that the enactment of welcome cannot be separated from political, economic and cultural circumstances.

If one of Levinas’s contributions was to outline the vitality of welcome, Derrida’s was to illuminate how the impulse towards the Other is never ‘pure’, but always subject to the law of its own self-contradiction (Derrida 1997). Although we might imagine a simple, unquestioning and radical openness to others, hospitality in practice is always conditional, even if implicitly. The stranger must be received with caution and suspicion, as one might frame and manage risks (Herzfeld 2012), because of the ‘potential danger’ (Lynch 2017:

174) they pose. Strangers – even ones who are welcomed – must abide by a set of rules and expectations in the land and language of the host. Indeed, the very speech act of asking ‘what is your name?’ or ‘dar[ing] to say welcome’ (Derrida 1999: 15) situates the host as sovereign and, in so doing, precipitates the ‘implosion’ (Derrida 2000: 5) of the concept of hospitality itself. Hosts seek to surveil, select, sort and vet their guests, often preferring the ‘desirable’ and ‘good’ (Fassin 2011). These preferences reflect and reproduce power imbalances that sometimes lead to exclusion and repulsion (Dikeç 2002). Self-interest, self-assertion and even violence are, tragically, written into hospitality from the start (Derrida and Dufourmantelle 2000; Derrida 2000; Candea and Da Col 2012).

Discussions about the contradictions, aporias and power-dynamics involved in hospitality have played a decisive role in hospitality studies since the contributions of Levinas and Derrida. A concomitant strand of scholarship, however, has sought to complement attention to the philosophical laws, moral duties and principles of hospitality with a closer attention to the everyday, situated and mundane practices and experiences of welcome. ‘Most welcome is ordinary, hardly noticed’ Lynch (2017: 180) observes. As he notes: ‘Derrida’s exploration of hospitality [...] is illuminating and thought-provoking, and helpful in highlighting hospitality as a micro and macro phenomenon. However, Derrida is not concerned with providing an exposé of day-to-day hospitality as welcome’ (Lynch 2017: 176).

Lynch’s work, and particularly his emphasis on unwelcome, is taken up in Lyytinen’s article in this Special Issue. His observations align with various dissatisfactions with Derrida’s approach, including its ‘scale free abstraction’ (Candea and Da Col 2012: 34) and universalism, which seems to flatten differences,<sup>2</sup> as well as its state-centric fusion of popular imaginations of hospitality with those of imagined national communities.<sup>3</sup> There have therefore been various calls to attend to the ‘mundane, taken-for-granted, fleeting, mobile performance’ of welcome (Bell 2007: 38). While this lens complements and connects with more abstract theorizing about hospitality in various ways, it emphasizes the grounded, practical and experiential aspects of welcome over the rules, laws and duties that are prominent in Levinas’s and Derrida’s work. It is attuned to the interactional as well as the institutional properties of hospitality (Pogge 1992), the fact that hospitality is as much an *engagement* as an arrangement of host and guest (Joseph 1997: 137) and that an ethic of welcome can be productively thought about less as a law than as a sensibility (Dikeç 2002).

Lynch (2017) is drawn to thinking about welcome not only according to ethical rules and duties, or even the social power plays that underpin the welcoming act, provocative and productive though these approaches are. Rather, he advocates paying attention to ‘the ordinary, the taken-for-granted [...] the prosaic’ (Lynch 2017: 175) in thinking about welcoming practices and their sites of execution and experience.

Lynch (2017) begins with everyday associations and meanings attached to the notion of welcome including kindness, reaching out, accepting and embracing difference, consideration and receiving others with pleasure. With this, he (re)opens a set of questions about welcome, including ‘[w]hat exactly is welcome/unwelcome? What is the nature, the essence of welcome/unwelcome? [and] What determines an experience of welcome/unwelcome?’ (Lynch 2017: 176). If we are to take prosaic studies of welcome seriously, Lynch argues, then we need to understand welcome not just as a set of practices

2. Candea and Da Col object to the notion that ‘Derrida’s “interpretive acrobatics” could somehow shed light on the actual relationships, tensions, and ethnographic complications of hospitality’ in specific localities, as if ‘the work of analysing these were little more than a straightforward process of “zooming out”’ (2012: 545).
3. Although similar statism has been traced back to Kant’s much earlier attempts to construct a ‘law’ of universal hospitality (Dikeç 2002; Westmoreland 2008) rooted in legislation, rights, duties and obligations (see Germann Molz and Gibson 2007), concerns with the framing of hospitality in statist terms have persisted with respect to Derridean thinking. Derrida’s close attention to the ‘laws’ of hospitality has been criticized for reproducing a statist imaginary, by linking ‘the notion of hospitality as ethics to an understanding of power and control as sovereign mastery, a link which is potentially very limiting for how we use and understand hospitality in a global context’ (Bulley 2015: 186).

4. As a critical lens, it has also been critiqued: by focusing on everyday occurrences and practices, for example, operations of power can be missed (Gardiner 2000; Sandywell 2004).
5. Conviviality refers to 'the processes of cohabitation and interaction that have made multi-culture an ordinary feature of social life in Britain's urban areas and in postcolonial cities elsewhere' (Gilroy 2005: xv). Conviviality occurs at a grounded level and can offer a kind of liberation from the polarization of and opposition between 'closed, fixed and reified' (Gilroy 2005: xv) identities in discourse and more formal settings.
6. In providing a sober and sometimes critical assessment of what he calls the 'convivial turn' (Nayak 2017: 290) amongst geographers, Nayak makes connections between conviviality and work on contact zones and micro-publics (Amin 2002; Valentine 2008; Askins and Pain 2011), the 'throwntogetherness' of urban life (Massey 2005), encounters (Wilson 2017), super-diversity (Vertovec 2007) and work on everyday multi-culture (Neal et al. 2013; Wise and Velayutham 2009; Datta 2009).

or abstract rules but ultimately as a *feeling*. Delving into welcome as a feeling begins with such questions as '[w]here makes you feel welcome? What place makes you feel welcome? What's your favourite place or small corner of your town, city or country that you like to be in? What is it that makes it special?' (Lynch 2017: 178).

The significance of attending to welcome through affective and emotional relations is that it restores the centrality of the person seeking or securing hospitality. Viewing 'welcome as a sense' Lynch writes 'communicates the idea of the individual as an interpreter, recipient and sensory negotiator of welcome' (Lynch 2017: 178). In this way, it promotes a 'greater engagement with the individual's inner monologue, experience and agency' (Lynch 2017: 182), an insight that Lynch suggests holds promise for opening up hospitality studies to work with 'more diverse groups than those involved in the current research' (2017: 182), as well as developing heightened sensitivity to cultural interpretations of welcome and experiences of unwelcome. In other words, Lynch's suggestions aim to challenge hospitality studies' 'guilty [...] focus on the host' (Still 2010: 9).

## 2. PROSAIC HOSPITALITY: ATTENDING TO PRACTICES AND EXPERIENCES OF WELCOME THROUGH A GEOGRAPHICAL LENS

Geographers' fascination with everyday life (see e.g. Katz 2004; Delaney 2010; Holloway and Hubbard 2014),<sup>4</sup> often drawing on seminal work published from the 1940s to the 1980s by spatial theorist Henri Lefebvre (see Lefebvre 2014), has helped to foreground practice, emotion, experience and agency in their work (Clayton 2017). The lens of the ordinary has been used to both challenge unhelpful abstractions, binaries and assumptions in popular and academic discourse and reveal the mundane operation of power in context. Jennifer Robinson's evocation of ordinariness in cities around the world, as just one such illustration, destabilizes the imagined spatial hierarchy of global cities, typical in discourses about the urban, that can reproduce a colonial register by locating innovation and creativity primarily in the global north (Robinson 2013). Engagement with the concept of conviviality<sup>5</sup> similarly hinges upon the ordinary as a type of humdrum, everyday space of lived multi-culture in cities that pushes back against reductive discourses of racial stereotyping.<sup>6</sup>

Ordinariness has also been used to throw into relief sites of intense subjugation and drudgery as a result of power relations and domination, by asking how practices of domination become settled, stable, unquestioned and unnoticed. A key point of interest concerns what counts as ordinary in everyday contexts. Commentators have called attention to the production of 'order' through repetition and mundanity in everyday situations, pointing to the effect of citizenship rules and laws for example, not just at an abstract and general level, but within the everyday lives of those that are affected (Staeheli et al. 2012). Approached critically, ordinariness connects the phenomenon of everyday life to normative political questions, highlighting and calling into question what becomes sedimented as normal and abnormal (Delaney 2010: 43). In particular, the 'political ordinary' (Häkli and Kallio 2018) raises questions regarding matters of concern in everyday life: whose perspectives are defined to the *emergent* political reality, experienced and enacted by people as part of their ordinary living?

Geographers have increasingly become attuned to the ordinary, thus drawing attention to everyday events and locations that have been systemically

overlooked in traditional studies of place (Riding 2017). Seemingly unremarkable places are indeed where things happen in distinctive ways – where general phenomena are interpreted, moulded and converted into specific happenings with their own character and flavour (Cresswell 2014). The attention geographers and hospitality scholars have paid to coffee houses, bars, inns, cafes, clubs, hotel atriums, restaurants, rest-stops and other sites of both commercial and private hospitality (see Bell 2016 for a review) serves as a way of peering behind and beyond the universal and abstract imaginaries of hospitality to enquire into its grounded, everyday manifestations. For Bulley (2017), the complex interplay of ethics and power relations inherent to hospitality is best conveyed via an understanding of hospitality as both spatial and affective.<sup>7</sup> Places help to address philosophers' purported lack of 'attention to the substances and materialities involved' in hospitality (Candea and Da Col 2012: S8). This includes the 'everyday micro-geographies' (Lugosi et al. 2014: 225) of music, beds, body language and 'warm smile[s]' (Germann Molz and Gibson 2007: 1) as well as the 'holy trinity' of food, drink and accommodation (Lynch et al. 2011: 4). This growing literature on everyday place is attuned not only to mundane spatialities but also to temporalities – for example of protracted periods of waiting encountered by those seeking asylum (Bagelman 2013; Squire and Bagelman 2012).

In other words, thinking geographically about welcome brings the *materialities, spaces, temporalities and contexts* of welcome and hospitality into view. What is more, places are always connections between specific and wider phenomena, existing as intensities in global networks of relations (Massey 1991). They are therefore both reflective of, and constitutive of, political, cultural and social forces at a range of scales. Some places, like many cities, also project images of themselves, which intersect in complex ways with reality (see Mazzilli's contribution to this Special Issue). In short, places provide a tangible meeting point 'between [the] abstract and more mundane' (Lugosi et al. 2014: 225) approaches to hospitality studies, connecting 'the minutiae of social life' (2014: 228) with 'much wider ideologies, institutions, structures and forces' (2014: 228). Perhaps in particular, in relation to conflict zones, the more recent emphasis upon the mundane, minor and small is key in allowing places and people to achieve a form of recovery from the trauma of conflict and displacement, as it enables the telling of personal narratives: survivors need to tell their stories in order to survive (Riding 2019).

In addition, research on commercial hospitality exemplifies the usefulness of thinking about hospitality in relation to places.<sup>8</sup> A close look at hotel spaces for instance reveals the existence of what Lugosi (2008) calls moments of 'meta-hospitality' – short lived emotional bonds that can be built and experienced through hospitality transactions but are irreducible to their functional purpose, even in the most corporatized of settings. Similar phenomena have been detected in sharing economies such as Airbnbs (Germann Molz 2014; Roelofsen 2018; Veijola et al. 2014), illustrating how emplaced and contextual emotions can exist beyond, and trouble, the formal laws and rules that govern hospitable relationships.<sup>9</sup>

Hospitality studies has underscored how fluid host–guest identities can be (Germann Molz and Gibson 2007; Lynch et al. 2011; Bulley 2015; Veijola et al. 2014; Lugosi 2008). Attending to the complexity of interrelationships in particular spaces of welcome provides an ideal window onto the constantly shifting roles of host and guest. From the situated welcome that customers provide to other customers via the subtleties of their comportment in *cafés* and

7. Bulley defines hospitality as 'a spatial relation with affective dimensions' (2017: 7).
8. While it might be tempting for critical scholars to dismiss work on commercial hospitality given its closeness to capitalist profitability, Bell (2007) has warned against too strict a distinction between market-driven, profit-orientated forms of hospitality and other, more 'genuine' forms of welcome (see also Herzfeld 2012: S210).
9. Geographers have focused upon 'hotel geopolitics' and the ways in which hospitality sites can become representative of a place, as they are the meeting-points in which the stories of war are revealed to the wider world – as was the case with the Holiday Inn in Sarajevo during the war in Bosnia (1992–95) and the siege of Sarajevo (1992–96) (Fregonese and Ramadan 2015).

10. This question, Germann Molz and Gibson suggest, affords an appreciation that social categories such as tourist and migrant can be 'temporally constrained social performances rather than [...] strictly-bounded identity categories' (2007: 7).

other sites of consumption (Laurier and Philo 2006a, 2006b) to migrants revisiting their homelands as tourists (Duval 2003), second home owners (O'Reilly 2003; Hall and Mueller 2004), sharing economies of tourism (Germann Molz 2014; Roelofsen 2018; Veijola et al. 2014), migratory labourers (Choi et al. 2000) and travellers employed on working holiday programmes (Clarke 2004), exploring the emplaced spatiality of relations of hospitality reveals their complexity and provisionality.

Bound up with an attention to place is an attention to spacetime, which extends to the rhythms, schedules and routines associated with particular sites. Hospitality scholars have associated hospitality with slowing down, resting and mooring (Germann Molz and Gibson 2007) and have also found it productive to ask not only who is a newcomer, or where, but *when* (O'Reilly 2003).<sup>10</sup> Geographers' fascination with the temporality and rhythm of social life (Edensor 2010) helps to reveal the 'moments' of hospitality that 'flicker' (Bell 2007: 31) between welcomer and newcomer in a diverse range of settings (see also Laurier and Philo 2006a; Sheller and Urry 2006: 222; Bagelman 2018; Norum 2018; Simonsen et al. 2017). Norum notes that, 'temporalities of hospitality thus allow us to consider the profound tensions created by time, the multi-faceted assemblage of spatialities and relations articulated in and through mobility, and the complexities of the guest's desire for becoming *through* the moment of welcome' (2018: 114, original emphasis).

A focus on the times of welcome offers the particular advantage of throwing into relief the everyday conditions of welcome-labour (see, e.g. Nickson and Warhurst 2007; Sheehan 2012; McIntosh and Harris 2012). As geographers have been at pains to emphasize, it *takes time* (and effort, and resources) to welcome (Dikeç et al. 2009), and attending to the temporalities of welcoming spaces is an effective way to highlight the work that this involves. In diverse sectors of the tourist economy, and increasingly the economy of refugee and migrant welcome, this work is not only often obscured and dangerous, but gendered, raced and classed (Pascucci 2018; Bagelman 2018; Veijola and Jokinen 2008). In his contribution to this Special Issue, Kocher uses an everyday lens to highlight the energy-intensive work that protracted church-based sanctuary entails, demonstrating how effective the everyday lens is to revealing how welcome can fail to live up to its imagined potential.

In light of the commensurability between geographers' attention to places, and hospitality scholars' call for a renewed engagement with the prosaics of welcome, we now outline some key contact points that we see in the contributions to this Special Issue, as fertile for future engagement and intersecting with recent currents of thought in human geography.

### **2.1. Intimate (in)hospitality**

The analytical language of 'intimacy' has been widely developed within geographical scholarship to deepen our understandings of diverse, daily geographies (Pratt 2012). Feminist scholarship on intimacy has been particularly important in unsettling conventions that privilege the 'global' as a sphere that is inevitable, rational and causal while the local is aligned with irrationality or emotionality, and as passively responding to uncontrollable outside forces. Feminist geographers have challenged this framing by employing the concept of intimacy to reckon with and trace the political connections between global power and the realm of everyday, embodied practice (Pratt and Rosner 2006; Pratt 2012; Pain 2014; Little 2019). With this move, they object to the notion

of everydayness and mundanity as non-political, using intimacy to push back against a hierarchical approach, which views the local and global as distinctive, separate, scales (Dowder 2012; Dowder and Sharp 2001; Hyndman 2001; Staeheli et al. 2004). In this Special Issue, feminist reactions to Derridean hospitality studies are articulated in Kekstaite's and Kocher's articles. Kekstaite emphasizes the embodied nature of welcome, care ethics (and their commensurability with politics) and an attention to the centrality of personal relations over universal laws and duties. Kocher's focus is also on everyday practices of care, as part of the intense work of welcoming that is typically not discussed in terms of care work.

Various conceptual resources are available to facilitate this re-connection between the prosaic and the political. The analytical prisms of the 'counter-topographical' and 'global-intimate', for example, have been particularly important in resisting the duality that positions the local 'under' the global. Katz has argued that the notion of 'counter-topographies' allows us to make counter-intuitive connections that move towards 'a different spatial and political consciousness' (Katz 2004: 156). She gives the example of links between New York City and Howa, demonstrating how people's lives are connected from 'rural Sudan to the urban United States' (Katz 2004: 259). Cutting across familiar binaries, this remapping enables new means of understanding the various ways our lives are connected through exploitative processes as well as networks of care. Based on work with migrant workers, Pratt and Rosner illustrate how '(g)lobal forces penetrate and haunt the intimate spaces of our psyches and bodies' (Pratt and Rosner 2006: 18; see also Pratt 2012). The concept of 'geosociality' (Kallio and Häkli 2017; Ho 2017; Hörschelmann and Reich 2017; Peña and Ybarra 2017; Sparke 2018) might be further developed in political-geographical analysis, to complement the well-established couplet of geoeconomics and geopolitics, to draw attention to their interconnectedness with day-to-day practices and relations.

## **2.2. Rethinking 'home'**

Another way into thinking about ordinary hospitality is through geographical work on a quintessential site of everyday life: the home. Germann Molz and Gibson note that 'the concept of home is evoked in the ethics and politics of welcoming the other' (2007: 10) and that '[t]he model of home and hospitality assumes that the home is secure against what is foreign, strange, and unfamiliar' (2007: 12). Hospitality studies has emphasized the importance of grappling with and challenging entrenched notions of home (Russo 2012) and geographers have worked to destabilize settled representations of it (Clope et al. 2008; Blunt 2005). These efforts have exposed not only the exclusions inherent to dominant narratives but also the instability of the boundaries of the term 'home' (Handel 2019) as well as its geopolitical associations (Brickell 2012). In this Special Issue, Kekstaite's article sets out to cross the boundaries of a home between emplaced and displaced. Kocher's introduction of the church, as a sanctuary where people live, brings forth the question of what makes a home in a hostile society, *without* linking this safe space conceptually with home as commonly understood.

Recent geographical work on home promises to productively challenge and destabilize the concept even further. Scholars have developed more open-ended conceptions of the family – re-understood as 'familiality' – as a way to 'challenge categorical conceptions of the family, manifested prominently by

the Western nuclear family ideal based on a specific scope of hierarchical and fixed generational relations, blood lineage, descent and kinship institutionally defined' (Kallio and Häkli 2019: 1). The concept of familiarity places emphasis not on categories, but upon what family *means* in people's lives. Thinking of family in these ways reveals the plurality of intimate relations and is reflected in how Lyytinen discusses 'family-like' relationships in her article in this Special Issue. Such familiarities can be identified by tracing intimate caring relations, 'sharing the sacrifice' (Duque-Páramo 2013: 214) and 'motherwork' (Lind 2019: 2) for instance, by which people maintain, create, repair and are ready to defend their familial lives as part of everyday living (see also Bondi 2008; Bartos 2012; Baines 2015).

Such thinking brings into question the home as a space from which welcome is offered, including the commercial home. The notion of 'topological home' has been introduced by Kallio (2016) as one way of framing these spatialities, referring not to a territory or place, but to an 'intersubjectively established and mutually shared lived space of the family (whomever it may include), existing particularly to each of its members through subjective engagements' (2016: 375). In line with Secor's (2013) conception of topological urbanity, such familial spatiality is known by the people who share it yet has no singular shape. Thus understood, homes can be located only partially on Euclidean maps because they are formed at root from relational spatial attachments. This is significant for studies of hospitality because it destabilizes the idea of a settled place from which a powerful and secure host can offer hospitality.

### **2.3. Decolonizing welcome**

The history of geography as a discipline is inexorably tied to making war. Geography has been at the centre of colonial practices via its involvement in cartographic and navigational technologies, and the role these have played in exploration and conquest leave it heavily and indelibly implicated in colonial relationships. As Noxolo (2017) puts it, 'geography's history is of a terrible and problematic opening out of the world to colonial and exploitative forces' (2017: 317; see also Noxolo et al. 2008). To make matters worse, the discipline continues to display 'little *practical* contemporary openness to difference and diversity in its knowledge production processes' (Noxolo 2017: 317, original emphasis).

Geographers have defined postcolonialism as 'the geographically dispersed contestation of colonial power and knowledge' (Blunt and Wills 2000: 170). They recognize the difficulties, too, of the prefix 'post': colonial practices are *present* practices, although varied and dispersed. Settler colonialism is 'an ongoing mode of empire' (Bonds and Inwood 2016: 715), and 'neither White supremacy nor settler colonialism can be relegated to historical contexts' (Bonds and Inwood 2016: 715). In this regard, geographers have advocated for historicized, rather than historical, approaches to reckoning with colonialism (Schein 2011).

Hospitality raises a set of issues in relation to this embedded colonialism (Rosello 2001). For Achiume (2019) – working with and deconstructing older descriptive ideological, geopolitical colonial categories such as First, Second, and Third World – First World nation states have no right to exclude Third World migrants owing to the distributive and corrective justice implications of the legacies of colonialism. Colonialism created a system in which Third



and First World countries became inextricably linked, and formal decolonization has failed to bring an end to the relationships of interconnection and exploitation that colonialism initiated. The fact of this persistent interconnection 'obligates former colonial powers to open their borders to former colonial subjects' (2019: 1510) because 'Third World peoples are entitled to operative equality within this association' (2019: 1520). In other words, Achiume (2019) links liberal borders to decolonization (although she also warns that there are no easy answers – migration may be perfectly commensurate with continued economic exploitation<sup>11</sup>). For Achiume, citizens of formerly colonized countries should be seen not as strangers, but 'political insiders bound [...] to First World nation-states' (2019: 1520) as a result of the colonial linkages they once shared.

For geographers reckoning with the history of their discipline, self-consciousness is an important step. To welcome from a position of colonial privilege with no attention to this positionality is to partake in racial obliviousness, which is part of White privilege (see Bonds and Inwood 2016, citing Rothenberg 2008). The causes of many contemporary migrants' appeals for hospitality are often rooted in histories of slavery, colonial expropriation and extraction, capitalist relations and unfair trading arrangements. To welcome without historical or geographical referents risks replaying and repeating the violence of colonialism in the very moment of hosting a newcomer, especially if the newcomer is expected to resemble the host in the culture and traditions of the society that they are joining (Rosello 2001; Tuck and Yang 2012).

We advocate for a continuous process of making connections between, disrupting and questioning the position of the 'host' and the 'guest' in light of these observations. Prosaic hospitality studies should entail critical historicized awareness of the making-ordinary of past aberrations and violence. Kocher's article in this Special Issue reminds us that welcome is possible without fundamentally challenging racialized power structures and indeed whilst reproducing and reinscribing them (see also Ehrkamp and Nagel [2014] on hospitality and depoliticization). Geographers and scholars of hospitality must cultivate habits of thought that recognize the links between migratory pressures, exile, flight, refuge and exodus 'elsewhere' and everyday life 'here'. This involves attending to the hidden systems of association, like the arms trade, both the historic and contemporary slave trades, and border controls, that not only produce conflict, danger and discomfort but also cordon off the lives of those supposedly not involved or affected, of 'hosts', from that conflict and contain it at a safe distance. These ongoing mechanisms of colonialism are what allows the West to play host in the first place – or new dominating geopolitical forces, such as China, with reference to African migration (Ho 2017).

But self-consciousness is not enough. Decolonization refers to 'a long-term process involving the bureaucratic, cultural, linguistic and psychological divesting of colonial power' (Tuhiwai Smith 2010: 33). Colonial power is so entrenched that it has itself become ordinary, mundane and everyday and is thus thoroughly intertwined with contemporary geopolitical hospitality. In this Special Issue, Bernhardt introduces the concept of 'double othering' to explore how such relations may be created in the administrative, apparently hospitable practices of current liberal democracies (see also Mazzilli on selective welcoming). The modern episteme is always and intrinsically saturated with coloniality. Examining and critiquing the 'colonial present' (Gregory 2004) must therefore involve an attunement to the colonial as 'integral to socio-spatial

11. Intractable complexities also arise when settlers take it upon themselves to welcome migrants, claiming the position to do so as a result (Walia 2013).

12. As a result of advances in Artificial Intelligence, machines are increasingly capable of provoking emotional commitment from people – caring robots being a case in point (Bissell and Del Casino 2017) and electronic pets another (MacPherson 2011).
13. From a less-than-human perspective, Philo (2017) instead reveals the inhospitability of humans towards other humans, when *humanity* gets stripped away, cautioning against the romanticization of animality in human species.
14. They can also make humans unwelcome in myriad ways.

relations across multiple differentiated terrains and scales’ (Radcliffe 2017: 330; Rivera Cusicanqui 2012). This attunement can be deeply uncomfortable (Derickson 2017). If hospitality studies is to attend more closely to prosaics though, it must be prepared not only to detect but to actively push against the hallmarks and effects of past violence in everyday practices of welcome.

#### **2.4. Expanding the relations of hospitality**

Thinking about ordinary welcome can also be enriched by attending beyond the human to machines as well as other organic life forms. Although the authors in our Special Issue do not take up this theme explicitly, we see it as an important area for further expansion and elaboration when attending to ordinary welcomes. Many machinic inventions are intended to release humans from hospitality work, and indeed the automating of welcome is noted by Lyytinen and Bernhardt in different ways in their articles. Hospitality scholars have also noted that social media are increasingly key to facilitating such mediated absence–presence by gradually substituting human welcomers for automatic, robotic and digital hosts in an increasing number of places and online communities such as CouchSurfing, Airbnb and self-service hotels (Bialski 2012; Oh et al. 2013).<sup>12</sup>

In terms of living organisms such as animals and plants, geographers have spent considerable time grappling with the anthropocentrism of their discipline (consider ‘human geography’ for instance) and thinking about more-than-human hospitality holds similar promise. It is clear, for instance, that humans are making the world less hospitable for many other forms of life. This includes via the effects of human industry and over-consumption, which produces waste and pollution that is either directly harmful to other forms of life or that degrades the environments that sustain them. This might occur at a localized or planetary scale – global warming being an example of the latter. These processes of unwelcome operate not only, or even primarily, through animosity towards other beings or future generations, but through ambivalence, abandonment, indifference and weak governance.<sup>13</sup>

Conversely, animals and plants make multitudinous contributions to human comfort, safety, development and hospitality, such as animal welcome-labour.<sup>14</sup> Dogs have historically provided a range of welcoming services from loyalty and companionship to the lonely, guidance to the blind and lost and rescue to the imperilled, while equine animals, elephants and camels have literally carried humans across natural and man-made borders for centuries. Pets of many varieties are a constitutive feature of many human spaces of welcome, such as homes and urban spaces (Holmberg 2014).

To treat animals and plants merely as service providers for humans, however, reproduces deep-rooted anthropocentric assumptions. When welcome is extended to animals and other species (this phenomenon touches on symbiosis), it is discussed in a rather different register to how people experience and enact culturally embedded and contextually conditioned social relations, let alone political positions (Kakoliris 2016; see also de Mul 2014). In other words, non-human organic beings can be identified as co-dwellers in the world, welcomed and cared for by each other and in the case of animals sometimes building trusting relationships through mutual enjoyment and comfort.

Thus, rather than juxtapose human and non-human, geographers have aimed to subvert dominant discourses and problematic binaries such as this

(Srinivasan 2016). In so doing, geographers promote 'less fixedly human' (Buller 2013: 314) approaches to planetary co-habitation that place emphasis on inter-species welcome including humans, animals and vegetal life (Hinchliffe et al. 2005). Underpinning a renewed sense of interdependence in this work is an awareness of 'the web of multidirectional flows and connections of mutual nourishment held together with care' (Graddy-Lovelace 2018: 4). At its broadest, this web includes humans, plants, livestock, soil biota, pollinators and fungi. Exploring this dimension of hospitality studies requires interdisciplinary partnerships with approaches in natural sciences, for example biology and ecology, such as in Helmut Plessner's ([1975] 2019) anthropological philosophy, which builds on biological–philosophical grounds, offering a fertile perspective on refugee studies among other fields (e.g. Häkli and Kallio 2021).

15. Bell (2016), for example, uses scale to organize his observations about hospitality into categories from bodily practices, venues and cities to nations.

### 3. HOSPITALITY, GEOGRAPHY AND REFUGEES

The articles in this Special Issue critically engage with the relationship between hospitality studies and geography through the shared focus on migration and refugee politics. This attention to migration and refugee politics also builds on and opens new avenues for thinking about the foregoing themes of intimacy, home and decolonizing welcome and broadening the approaches through non-human relations of hospitality. The issue of forced migration and receptivity towards refugees has been prominent in hospitality studies for some considerable time (see Lynch et al. 2011). Scholars have drawn attention to the political tropes of 'reluctant hosts' and 'untidy guests' and the conceited image of states as moral agents gallantly helping refugees (Germann Molz and Gibson 2007; Rosello 2001; Veijola et al. 2014). Others have set out to critically explore existing practices of state security, bordering, immigration control and humanitarian governance, identifying both the problematics and potential for welcome within and between states, transnational polities, UN agencies, INGOs, NGOs, grassroots organizations, activist groups and individual people (e.g. Mountz 2011; Laine 2018; Morrissey 2018; Rozakou 2019).

Each article in this Special Issue approaches these issues by drawing on empirical work at different scales, although choosing to organize the articles according to the different scales they work with is not unproblematic. We are aware that employing scalar notions as Germann Molz (2018) highlights, which delineate between global, national, urban, local and embodied, can reify these distinctions and give the impression of the very fixed categories that concepts like the global-intimate seek to challenge. Notions such as a 'global refugee crisis', for example, can give a misleading impression of an unbreachable division between local practices of solidarity and 'higher', seemingly unassailable, forces. Other sorts of scalar imaginations are also powerfully reinforced by popular hospitality discourses. As Ahmed's (2014) work on the cultural politics of emotion reveals, media stories about places, especially 'nations', 'countries' and 'states' being 'overwhelmed', 'flooded' or 'swamped' by migrants perpetuates assumptions and discourses about capacity, for example, which are powerfully active in constraining hospitality.

At the same time, the relational notion of scale can be an effective way to organize and distinguish between practices, if accompanied by careful reflection on the potential essentialism of scalar thinking and a recognition that scales are socially produced and often overlapping and indistinct (Keil and Mahon 2010).<sup>15</sup> The scale at which welcome is enacted matters: whether this is

the seat of an aeroplane, the cold nondescript room where an asylum hearing takes place, the house of a volunteer at a refugee charity, the corner of a street where a *refugees welcome* protest takes place or a neighbourhood where posters are stuck to lampposts with racist slogans. What is more, since scale is neither stable nor ontologically prior to social relationships, welcoming practices are not only scaled but also *partake in the very construction of scales*, as welcome or unwelcome features prominently in place-identity and place-formation.

The articles in this Special Issue spiral loosely outwards from the individual to the national, demonstrating how welcome is enacted, negotiated and experienced at different scales. We begin small, as Lyytinen's article reflects on the story of a particular individual, 'Zaki', as a way to repopulate the sometimes abstract discussions about welcome and hospitality. This focus on individual narratives resonates with Lynch's (2017) call to focus on the ordinariness of welcome by paying attention to newcomers' experiences and the grounded, everyday business of being welcome and unwelcome. As becomes clear in the article, Zaki's case, and Lyytinen's treatment of it, raises at least two original lines of thought with respect to the geographies of welcome. First, she deals with deportation and deportability, which raises the question of how to conceive of unwelcoming not as an attitude or a passive rejection but as a set of active and sustained practices and laws. In so doing, she is able to examine how people, laws and spaces are combined by state machinery to construct and enact unwelcome. Second, as a result of her focus on the experiences of newcomers, she is able to offer an innovative perspective on the relationship between trust and hospitality. Alongside the established notion that hosts overcome their suspicion of guests via a process of familial hospitality, which 'converts: strangers into familiars, enemies into friends' (Selwyn 2000: 19), Lyytinen demonstrates how newcomers, too, struggle to overcome their suspicions about their hosts – even including those that seek to welcome them and advocate for their presence.

Kocher's article also discusses an individual case in the context of the threat of deportation: this time of a woman who lived in a church under sanctuary protection for a year and a half in Ohio, United States. Like Lyytinen's article, the account draws upon rich empirical material to illuminate the everyday experiences of (un)welcome felt by newcomers. The focus on the church adds organizational and institutional considerations to the attention given to individual experience, however. This produces an additional valuable set of insights and brings the article into conversation with work in hospitality studies that has examined how hospitality practices are both constrained by, but also productive of, new forms of organizations and institutions (Lugosi 2014). Focusing on the prosaic routines of welcome, Kocher deploys work from feminist geography that views the everyday practices of endurance, care and social reproduction as essential to, but often hidden within, more traditional political and economic analyses of power. His article argues for more attention to be paid to the energy-intense work that is often excluded from official media and academic accounts, yet which is essential to understanding what makes welcome function or fail. By taking this focus, the article takes forward hospitality scholars' concern for how issues of power and dependency can endanger hospitality towards refugees (Komter and Leer 2012), to lay bare the spatial, material and relational processes that participants implement in attempting to construct a 'welcoming' environment as well as the ways that welcome fails to live up to its imagined, spectacularized, potential.

Kekstaite's article and Mazzilli's article both move to the city as a scale of welcome. Kekstaite's article examines the role that care plays in relations of

hospitality in the context of providing short-term shelter for migrants who would otherwise be homeless in Brussels, Belgium. Kekstaite argues that a close look at the practices of hospitality through a feminist lens troubles the philosophical reading of hospitality in Derrida's approach, sidestepping the fatalism of Derrida's law of hospitality while at the same time exposing the complex relational power geometries of situated ethical acts. Incisively reviewing a range of literature, Kekstaite emphasizes the relational and spatial character of welcoming practices and explores the way that political questions inhere within personal and intimate encounters, emphasizing the scale of the home. Alongside this editorial and Kocher's article, Kekstaite's intervention further elucidates a feminist critique of the Derridean tendency to treat hospitality in abstract and philosophical terms.

Mazzilli's article examines the claims made by 'cities' – or more precisely the cultural representations of cities – that they are 'welcoming' places. Focusing on Brighton and Bologna, Mazzilli's article explores the distinction between the image, or portrayal, of welcome and the reality, highlighting the way that terms such as hospitality, diversity and openness can be easily mobilized in discourses about cities, but can be interpreted in partial and selective ways on the ground. By making these arguments, Mazzilli's article connects with a long-standing interest in geography with urban marketing in the context of intra-city competitiveness and illustrates how 'empty' and 'plastic' the notion of hospitality can be (see DeBono 2019). Her article sheds light on the abstraction of welcome, which can render it banal and meaningless in practice (Gill 2018). It also underscores the importance of attending to everyday lives because representations of welcome might very well overstate or misrepresent the poisons.

The final article of the Special Issue by Franz Bernhardt concerns the country of Wales and sets out to challenge the often implicit assumption that states are homogenous and – more often than not – structurally inclined towards closure and exclusion rather than the facilitation and promotion of welcome. Wales offers an interesting case because it is not only a nation state but also a devolved subnational government of the British state. Under these conditions of multi-level governance, Bernhardt offers innovative insights into the potential of states to challenge the logic of exclusion often ascribed to them, with a particular focus on Wales' intention to become a 'nation of sanctuary', expressed in a formal action plan published in 2019 (see Nation of Sanctuary – Refugee and Asylum Seeker Plan). Building on the success of the charity City of Sanctuary in the United Kingdom since 2005 (see Darling 2010), Wales is the first country to pursue such an objective. These developments challenge neat scalar interpretations of welcome in various ways – first by highlighting not only the multi-scalar nature of the nation state but also the contradictions across scale that this produces, and second by throwing into question the urban seat of the sanctuary movement. In so doing, entrenched discourses and assumptions about sovereignty and what it means to be a host are called into question.

In conclusion, it transpires that the encounter between geography and hospitality studies, and the focus on everyday welcome that hospitality scholars are currently advocating, can be deeply enriched by taking into account geographers' attention to place, as well as their recent engagements with the global-intimate, new conceptions of home, the discipline of geography's specific and problematic relationship to colonialism and non-human approaches to ethics. Geographers have recently asked 'what does welcome

mean in different societies? What should it mean? What can it lead to?' (Kallio and Riding 2018: 131), inviting a renewed engagement between hospitality studies and geography. In responding to these questions, this Special Issue offers a critical gaze upon an often geographically simplified welcome or unwelcome as an individual act or an act of the state. The articles discuss not only states or countries of welcome but also cities, streets, homes, institutions and bodies. Through this work, they draw attention to the multiplicity and duplicity of welcome, and the relationship that geographical imaginaries of welcome share with concrete practices of hospitality.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the editors of this journal, in particular to Jennie Germann Molz for her guidance, as well as to an anonymous reviewer for their very helpful suggestions on an earlier draft.

## FUNDING

Nick Gill would like to acknowledge the support of the European Research Council (StG-2015\_677917). James Riding acknowledges funding from the British Academy (SRG1920\101002). Kirsi Pauliina Kallio acknowledges funding for the project 'The Politics of Embodied Encounters in Asylum Seeking (POEMS)' funded by the Academy of Finland (339833).

## REFERENCES

- Achiume, E. T. (2019), 'Migration as decolonization', *Stanford Law Review*, 71:6, pp. 1509–74.
- Ahmed, S. (2014), *The Cultural Politics of Emotion*, London: Routledge.
- Amin, A. (2002), 'Ethnicity and the multicultural city: Living with diversity', *Environment and Planning A*, 34:6, pp. 959–80.
- Askins, K. and Pain, R. (2011), 'Contact zones: Participation, materiality, and the messiness of interaction', *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 34:5, pp. 612–33.
- Bagelman, J. (2013), 'Sanctuary: A politics of ease?', *Alternatives*, 38:1, pp. 49–62.
- Bagelman, J. (2018), 'Who hosts a politics of welcome?: Commentary to Gill', *Fennia: International Journal of Geography*, 196:1, pp. 108–10.
- Baines, E. K. (2015), '"Today, I want to speak out the truth": Victim agency, responsibility, and transitional justice', *International Political Sociology*, 9:4, pp. 316–32.
- Bartos, A. E. (2012), 'Children caring for their worlds: The politics of care and childhood', *Political Geography*, 31:3, pp. 157–66.
- Bauman, Z. (1991), *Modernity and Ambivalence*, Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Bell, D. (2007), 'Moments of hospitality', in J. Germann Molz and S. Gibson (eds), *Mobilizing Hospitality: The Ethics of Social Relations in a Mobile World*, Farnham: Ashgate, pp. 29–44.
- Bell, D. (2016), 'Geographies of hospitality', in C. Lashley (ed.), *The Routledge Handbook of Hospitality Studies*, London: Routledge, pp. 46–58.
- Bialski, P. (2012), *Becoming Intimately Mobile*, Frankfurt: Peter Lang.
- Bissell, D. and Del Casino, V. J. (2017), 'Whither labor geography and the rise of the robots?', *Social & Cultural Geography*, 18:3, pp. 435–42.
- Blunt, A. (2005), 'Cultural geography: Cultural geographies of home', *Progress in Human Geography*, 29:4, pp. 505–15.

- Blunt, A. and Wills, J. (2000), 'Decolonising geography: Postcolonial perspectives', in A. Blunt and J. Wills (eds), *Dissident Geographies: An Introduction to Radical Ideas and Practice*, Harlow: Prentice Hall, pp. 167–207.
- Bondi, L. (2008), 'On the relational dynamics of caring: A psychotherapeutic approach to emotional and power dimensions of women's care work', *Gender, Place and Culture*, 15:3, pp. 249–65.
- Bonds, A. and Inwood, J. (2016), 'Beyond white privilege: Geographies of white supremacy and settler colonialism', *Progress in Human Geography*, 40:6, pp. 715–33.
- Brickell, K. (2012), 'Geopolitics of home', *Geography Compass*, 6:10, pp. 575–88.
- Buller, H. (2013), 'Animal geographies I', *Progress in Human Geography*, 38:2, p. 308.
- Bulley, D. (2015), 'Ethics, power and space: International hospitality beyond Derrida', *Hospitality & Society*, 5:2&3, pp. 185–201.
- Bulley, D. (2017), *Migration, Ethics and Power: Spaces of Hospitality in International Politics*, London: Sage.
- Candea, M. and Da Col, G. (2012), 'The return to hospitality', *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, 18, pp. S1–S19.
- Choi, J.-G., Woods, R. H. and Murrmann, S. K. (2000), 'International labor markets and the migration of labor forces as an alternative solution for labor shortages in the hospitality industry', *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 12:1, pp. 61–67.
- Clarke, N. (2004), 'Mobility, fixity, agency: Australia's working holiday programme', *Population Space and Place*, 10:5, pp. 411–20.
- Clayton, J. (2017), 'Geography and everyday life', *Oxford Bibliographies*, <https://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199874002/obo-9780199874002-0095.xml#:~:text=DOI%3A%2010.1093/OBO/9780199874002%2D0095>. Accessed 5 May 2022.
- Cloke, P., May, J. and Johnsen, S. (2008), 'Performativity and affect in the homeless city', *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 26:2, pp. 241–63.
- Cresswell, T. (2014), *Place: An Introduction*, Chichester: Wiley.
- Darling, J. (2010), 'A city of sanctuary: The relational re-imagining of Sheffield's asylum politics', *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 35:1, pp. 125–40.
- Datta, A. (2009), 'Places of everyday cosmopolitanisms: East European construction workers in London', *Environment and Planning A*, 41:2, pp. 353–70.
- DeBono, D. (2019), 'Plastic hospitality: The empty signifier at the EU's Mediterranean border', *Migration Studies*, 7:3, pp. 340–61.
- Delaney, D. (2010), *The Spatial, the Legal and the Pragmatics of World-Making: Nomospheric Investigations*, Abingdon: Routledge.
- Derickson, K. (2017), 'Urban geography II: Urban geography in the age of Ferguson', *Progress in Human Geography*, 41:2, pp. 230–44.
- Derrida, J. (1997), *De l'hospitalité*, Paris: Calmann-Lévy.
- Derrida, J. (1999), *Adieu to Emmanuel Levinas*, Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Derrida, J. (2000), 'Hostipitality', *Angelaki: Journal of Theoretical Humanities*, 5:3, pp. 3–18.
- Derrida, J. and Dufourmantelle, A. (2000), *Of Hospitality: Anne Dufourmantelle Invites Jacques Derrida to Respond* (trans. R. Bowlby), Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Dikeç, M. (2002), 'Pera peras poros: Longings for spaces of hospitality', *Theory, Culture & Society*, 19:1&2, pp. 227–47.

- Dikeç, M., Clark, N. and Barnett, C. (2009), *Extending Hospitality: Giving Space, Taking Time*, Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
- Dowder, L. (2012), 'Gender, militarization and sovereignty', *Geography Compass*, 6:8, pp. 490–99.
- Dowder, L. and Sharp, J. (2001), 'A feminist geopolitics?', *Space and Polity*, 5:3, pp. 165–76.
- Duque-Páramo, M. C. (2013), 'Colombian families dealing with parents' international migration', in J. R. McCarthy, C.-A. Hooper and V. Gillies (eds), *Family Troubles?: Exploring Changes and Challenges in the Family Lives of Children and Young People*, Bristol: Policy Press, pp. 209–22.
- Duval, D. T. (2003), 'When hosts become guests: Return visits and diasporic identities in a Commonwealth Eastern Caribbean community', *Current Issues in Tourism*, 6:4, pp. 267–81.
- Edensor, T. (ed.) (2010), *Geographies of Rhythm: Nature, Place, Mobilities and Bodies*, Farnham: Ashgate Publishing.
- Ehrkamp, P. and Nagel, C. (2014), "'Under the radar": Undocumented immigrants, Christian faith communities, and the precarious spaces of welcome in the US South', *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 104:2, pp. 319–28.
- Fassin, D. (2011), *Humanitarian Reason: A Moral History of the Present*, Oakland, CA: University of California Press.
- Fregonese, S. and Ramadan, A. (2015), 'Hotel geopolitics: A research agenda', *Geopolitics*, 20:4, pp. 793–813.
- Gardiner, M. (2000), *Critiques of Everyday Life*, Hove: Psychology Press.
- Germann Molz, J. (2014), 'Toward a network hospitality', *First Monday*, 19:3, <http://firstmonday.org/ojs/index.php/fm/article/view/4824>. Accessed 5 May 2022.
- Germann Molz, J. (2018), 'Discourses of scale in network hospitality: From the Airbnb home to the global imaginary of "belong anywhere"', *Hospitality & Society*, 8:3, pp. 229–51.
- Germann Molz, J. and Gibson, S. (2007), 'Introduction: Mobilizing and mooring hospitality', in J. Germann Molz and S. Gibson (eds), *Mobilizing Hospitality: The Ethics of Social Relations in a Mobile World*, Farnham: Ashgate, pp. 1–25.
- Gill, N. (2018), 'The suppression of welcome', *Fennia*, 196:2, pp. 88–98.
- Gilroy, P. (2005), *Postcolonial Melancholia*, New York: Columbia University Press.
- Graddy-Lovelace, G. (2018), 'Plants: Crop diversity pre-breeding technologies as agrarian care co-opted?', *Area*, 52:2, pp. 235–43.
- Gregory, D. (2004), *The Colonial Present: Afghanistan, Palestine, Iraq*, Oxford: Blackwell.
- Häkli, J. and Kallio, K. P. (2018), 'On becoming political: The political in subjectivity', *Subjectivity*, 11, pp. 57–73.
- Häkli, J. and Kallio, K. P. (2021), 'Bodies and persons: The politics of embodied encounters in asylum seeking', *Progress in Human Geography*, 45:4, pp. 682–703.
- Hall, C. M. and Mueller, D. K. (2004), *Tourism, Mobility and Second Homes: Between Elite Landscape and Common Ground*, Clevedon: Channel View Publications.
- Handel, A. (2019), 'What's in a home? Toward a critical theory of housing/dwelling', *Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space*, 37:6, pp. 1045–62.
- Herzfeld, M. (2012), 'Afterword: Reciprocating the hospitality of these pages', *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, 18, pp. S210–S217.
- Hinchliffe, S., Kearnes, M., Degen, M. and Whatmore, S. (2005), 'Urban wild things: A cosmopolitical experiment', *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 23:5, pp. 643–58.



- Ho, E. L. (2017), 'The geo-social and global geographies of power: Urban aspirations of "worlding" African students in China', *Geopolitics*, 22:1, pp. 15–33.
- Holloway, L. and Hubbard, P. (2014), *People and Place: The Extraordinary Geographies of Everyday Life*, Abingdon: Routledge.
- Holmberg, T. (2014), 'Wherever I lay my cat? Post-human crowding and the meaning of home', in G. Marvin and S. McHugh (eds), *Routledge Handbook of Human–Animal Studies*, London: Routledge, pp. 72–85.
- Hörschelmann, K. and Reich, E. (2017), 'Entangled (in)securities: Sketching the scope of geosocial approaches for understanding "webs of (in)security"', *Geopolitics*, 22:1, pp. 73–90.
- Hyndman, J. (2001), 'Towards a feminist geopolitics', *Canadian Geographer*, 45:2, pp. 210–22.
- Joseph, I. (1997), 'Prises, réserves, épreuves', *Communications*, 65, pp. 131–42.
- Kakoliris, G. (2016), 'Hospitality and non-human beings: Jacques Derrida's reading of D. H. Lawrence's poem "Snake"', *Hospitality & Society*, 6:3, pp. 243–55.
- Kallio, K. P. (2016), 'Living together in the topological home', *Space and Culture*, 19:4, pp. 373–89.
- Kallio, K. P. and Häkli, J. (2017), 'Geosocial lives in topological polis: Mohamed Bouazizi as a political agent', *Geopolitics*, 22:1, pp. 91–109.
- Kallio, K. P. and Häkli, J. (2019), 'Care as mundane politics in contested familial refugee lives', *Gender, Place and Culture*, 26:6, pp. 795–812.
- Kallio, K. P. and Riding, J. (2018), 'Geographies of welcome', *Fennia*, 196:2, pp. 131–36.
- Katz, C. (2004), *Growing Up Global: Economic Restructuring and Children's Everyday Lives*, Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.
- Keil, R. and Mahon, R. (eds) (2010), *Leviathan Undone?: Towards a Political Economy of Scale*, Vancouver: UBC Press.
- Komter, A. and Leer, M. V. (2012), 'Hospitality as a gift relationship: Political refugees as guests in the private sphere', *Hospitality & Society*, 2:1, pp. 7–23.
- Laine, J. P. (2018), 'Conditional welcome and the ambivalent self: Commentary to Gill', *Fennia*, 196:2, pp. 230–35.
- Laurier, E. and Philo, C. (2006a), 'Cold shoulders and napkins handed: Gestures of responsibility', *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 31:2, pp. 193–207.
- Laurier, E. and Philo, C. (2006b), 'Possible geographies: A passing encounter in a café', *Area*, 38:4, pp. 353–63.
- Lefebvre, H. (2014), *Critique of Everyday Life: The One-Volume Edition* (trans. J. Moore and G. Elliott), London: Verso.
- Levinas, E. (1979), *Totality and the Infinite: An Essay on Exteriority*, New York: Springer.
- Levinas, E. (1981), *Otherwise than Being or Beyond Essence*, New York: Springer.
- Lind, J. (2019), 'Sacrificing parents on the altar of children's rights: Intergenerational struggles and rights in deportability', *Emotion, Space and Society*, 32, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emospa.2018.07.001>. Accessed 5 May 2022.
- Little, J. (2019), 'Violence, the body and the spaces of intimate war', *Geopolitics*, 25:5, pp. 1118–37.
- Lugosi, P. (2008), 'Hospitality spaces, hospitable moments: Consumer encounters and affective experiences in commercial settings', *Journal of Foodservice*, 19, pp. 139–49.

- Lugosi, P. (2014), 'Hospitality and organizations: Enchantment, entrenchment and reconfiguration', *Hospitality & Society*, 4:1, pp. 75–92.
- Lugosi, P., Lambie-Mumford, H. and Tonner, A. (2014), 'Food, drink and hospitality: Space, materiality, practice', *Hospitality & Society*, 4:3, pp. 225–30.
- Lynch, P. (2017), 'Mundane welcome: Hospitality as life politics', *Annals of Tourism Research*, 64, pp. 174–84, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annals.2017.04.001>. Accessed 5 May 2022.
- Lynch, P., Germann Molz, J., McIntosh, A., Lugosi, P. and Lashley, C. (2011), 'Theorizing hospitality', *Hospitality & Society*, 1:1, pp. 3–24.
- MacPherson, S. (2011), 'What Tashi taught me: "Petagogy" and the education of emotions', *Canadian Journal of Environmental Education*, 16, pp. 75–92.
- Massey, D. (1991), 'A global sense of place', *Marxism Today*, 38, pp. 24–29.
- Massey, D. (2005), *For Space*, London: Sage.
- McIntosh, A. and Harris, C. (2012), 'Critical hospitality and work: (In)hospitable employment in the hospitality industry', *Hospitality & Society*, 2:2, pp. 129–35.
- Morrissey, J. (2018), 'Envisioning human security: Commentary to Gill', *Fennia*, 196:2, pp. 225–29.
- Mountz, A. (2011), 'Islands as enforcement archipelago: Haunting, sovereignty, and asylum', *Political Geography*, 30:3, pp. 118–28.
- Mul, J. de (2014), *Plessner's Philosophical Anthropology: Perspectives and Prospects*, Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press.
- Nayak, A. (2017), 'Purging the nation: Race, conviviality and embodied encounters in the lives of British Bangladeshi Muslim young women', *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 42:2, pp. 289–302.
- Neal, S., Bennett, K., Cochrane, A. and Mohan, G. (2013), 'Living multicultural: Understanding new spatial and social relations of ethnicity and multiculturalism in England', *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*, 31:2, pp. 308–23.
- Nickson, D. and Warhurst, C. (2007), 'Opening Pandora's box: Aesthetic labour and hospitality', in C. Lashley, P. Lynch and A. Morrison (eds), *Hospitality: A Social Lens*, London: Routledge, pp. 155–72.
- Norum, R. (2018), 'From welcome to well ... come: The mobilities, temporalities and geopolitics of contemporary hospitality: Commentary to Gill', *Fennia*, 196:2, pp. 111–17.
- Noxolo, P. (2017), 'Introduction: Decolonising geographical knowledge in a colonised and re-colonising postcolonial world', *Area*, 49:3, pp. 317–19.
- Noxolo, P., Raghuram, P. and Madge, C. (2008), "'Geography is pregnant" and "geography's milk is flowing": Metaphors for a postcolonial discipline?', *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 26:1, pp. 146–68.
- Oh, H., Jeong, M. and Baloglu, S. (2013), 'Tourists' adoption of self-service technologies at resort hotels', *Journal of Business Research*, 66:6, pp. 692–99.
- O'Reilly, K. (2003), 'When is a tourist? The articulation of tourism and migration in Spain's Costa del Sol', *Tourist Studies*, 3:3, pp. 301–17.
- Pain, R. (2014), 'Everyday terrorism: Connecting domestic violence and global terrorism', *Progress in Human Geography*, 38:4, pp. 531–50.
- Pascucci, E. (2018), 'Who welcomes? The geographies of refugee aid as care work: Commentary to Gill', *Fennia: International Journal of Geography*, 196:2, pp. 236–38.
- Peña, I. and Ybarra, M. (2017), "'We don't need money, we need to be together": Forced transnationality in deportation afterlives', *Geopolitics*, 22:1, pp. 34–50.

- Philo, C. (2017), 'Less-than-human geographies', *Political Geography*, 60, pp. 256–58, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2016.11.014>. Accessed 5 May 2022.
- Plessner, H. ([1975] 2019), *Levels of Organic Life and the Human: An Introduction to Philosophical Anthropology*, New York: Fordham University Press.
- Pogge, W. T. (1992), 'Cosmopolitanism and sovereignty', *Ethics*, 103:1, pp. 48–75.
- Pratt, G. (2012), *Families Apart: Migrant Mothers and the Conflicts of Labour and Love*, Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.
- Pratt, G. and Rosner, V. (2006), 'The global & the intimate', *Women's Studies Quarterly*, 43:1&2, pp. 13–24.
- Radcliffe, S. A. (2017), 'Decolonising geographical knowledges', *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 42, pp. 329–33.
- Riding, J. (2017), 'Writing place after conflict: An attempt at exhausting a square in Sarajevo', *GeoHumanities*, 3:2, pp. 431–50.
- Riding, J. (2019), *The Geopolitics of Memory: A Journey to Bosnia*, Stuttgart: Ibidem Press.
- Rivera Cusicanqui, S. (2012), 'Ch'ixinakax utxiwa: A reflection on the practices and discourses of decolonization', *South Atlantic Quarterly*, 111:1, pp. 95–109.
- Robinson, J. (2013), *Ordinary Cities: Between Modernity and Development*, London: Routledge.
- Roelofsen, M. (2018), 'Performing "home" in the sharing economies of tourism: The Airbnb experience in Sofia, Bulgaria', *Fennia*, 196:1, pp. 24–42.
- Rosello, M. (2001), *Postcolonial Hospitality: The Immigrant as Guest*, Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Rothenberg, P. S. (2008), *White Privilege: Essential Readings on the Other Side of Racism*, New York: Worth Publishers.
- Rozakou, K. (2019), '"How did you get in?" Research access and sovereign power during the "migration crisis" in Greece', *Social Anthropology*, 27, pp. 68–83.
- Russo, M. T. (2012), 'Home, domesticity and hospitality: A theoretical reflection', *Hospitality & Society*, 2:3, pp. 309–20.
- Sandywell, B. (2004), 'The myth of everyday life: Towards a heterology of the ordinary', *Cultural Studies*, 18:2&3, pp. 160–80.
- Schein, R. (2011), 'Distinguished historical geography lecture: Life, liberty and the pursuit of historical geography', *Journal of Historical Geography*, 39, pp. 7–28.
- Secor, A. J. (2013), 'Topological city', *Urban Geography*, 34:4, pp. 430–44.
- Selwyn, T. (2000), 'An anthropology of hospitality', in C. Lashley and A. Morrison (eds), *In Search of Hospitality: Theoretical Perspectives and Debates*, Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann, pp. 18–37.
- Sheehan, C. (2012), 'Hard labour at 35,000 feet: A reconsideration of emotional demands in airline service work', *Hospitality & Society*, 2:1, pp. 99–116.
- Sheller, M. and Urry, J. (2006), 'The new mobilities paradigm', *Environment and Planning A*, 38:2, pp. 207–26.
- Simonsen, K., Koefoed, L. M. and Neergaard, M. D. (2017), 'Festival as embodied encounters: On Kulturhavn in Copenhagen', *Journal of Intercultural Studies*, 38:6, pp. 637–50.
- Sparke, M. B. (2018), 'Welcome, its suppression, and the in-between spaces of refugee sub-citizenship: Commentary to Gill', *Fennia: International Journal of Geography*, 196:2, pp. 215–19.

- Squire, V. and Bagelman, J. (2012), 'Taking not waiting: Space, temporality and politics in the City of Sanctuary movement', in P. Nyers and K. Rygiel (eds), *Citizenship, Migrant Activism and the Politics of Movement*, London: Routledge, pp. 158–76.
- Srinivasan, K. (2016), 'Towards a political animal geography', *Political Geography*, 50, pp. 76–78.
- Staeheli, L., Ehrkamp, P., Leitner, H. and Nagel, C. (2012), 'Dreaming the ordinary: Daily life and the complex geographies of citizenship', *Progress in Human Geography*, 36:5, pp. 628–44.
- Staeheli, L., Kofman, E. and Peake, L. (2004), *Making Women, Mapping Politics: Feminist Perspectives on Political Geography*, Abingdon: Routledge.
- Still, J. (2010), *Derrida and Hospitality: Theory and Practice*, Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
- Tuck, E. and Yang, K. W. (2012), 'Decolonization is not a metaphor', *Decolonization: Indigeneity, Education & Society*, 1:1, pp. 1–40.
- Tuhiwai Smith, L. (2010), *Decolonizing Methodologies: Research and Indigenous Peoples*, London: Zed Books.
- Valentine, G. (2008), 'Living with difference: Reflections on geographies of encounter', *Progress in Human Geography*, 32:3, pp. 323–37.
- Veijola, S., Germann Molz, J., Pyyhtinen, O., Höckert, E. and Grit, A. (2014), *Disruptive Tourism and Its Untidy Guests: Alternative Ontologies for Future Hospitalities*, New York: Springer.
- Veijola, S. and Jokinen, E. (2008), 'Towards a hostessing society? Mobile arrangements of gender and labour', *NORA: Nordic Journal of Feminist and Gender Research*, 16:3, pp. 166–81.
- Vertovec, S. (2007), 'Super-diversity and its implications', *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 30:6, pp. 1024–54.
- Walia, H. (2013), *Undoing Border Imperialism*, Chico, CA: AK Press.
- Westmoreland, M. W. (2008), 'Interruptions: Derrida and hospitality', *Kritike*, 2:1, pp. 1–10.
- Wilson, H. F. (2017), 'On geography and encounter: Bodies, borders, and difference', *Progress in Human Geography*, 41:4, pp. 451–71.
- Wise, A. and Velayutham, S. (eds) (2009), *Everyday Multiculturalism*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

## CONTRIBUTOR DETAILS

Nick Gill is a political geographer at the University of Exeter. He has conducted research on justice and injustice in asylum adjudication for several years and published on European asylum law and procedure. His most recent book, co-edited with Anthony Good, is *Asylum Determination in Europe: Ethnographic Perspectives* (Springer Nature, 2019).

Contact: Amory C421, Amory Building, University of Exeter, Rennes Drive, Exeter EX4 4RJ, Devon, UK.  
E-mail: n.m.gill@exeter.ac.uk

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6064-8157>

James Riding is a NUAAct fellow in the School of Geography, Politics, and Sociology at Newcastle University leading a project about memory, art and conflict based in Bosnia and Herzegovina. He is the author of *The Geopolitics of*

*Memory: A Journey to Bosnia* (Ibidem Press, 2019) and the editor of *Reanimating Regions: Culture, Politics, and Performance* (Routledge, 2017). His research focuses on questions of trauma, memory and nostalgia in post-conflict Bosnia and Herzegovina; creative, embodied and artistic explorations of place, landscape and region; and the geopolitics of activism and resistance in post-socialist former Yugoslavia.

Contact: School of Geography, Politics, and Sociology, Henry Daysh Building, Newcastle University, Newcastle Upon Tyne, NE1 7RU, UK.  
E-mail: james.riding@newcastle.ac.uk

🔗 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7632-5819>

Kirsi Pauliina Kallio is a professor of environmental pedagogy at Tampere University. Broad themes in her research are contextual political agency and subjectivity, spatial socialization and subject formation, lived citizenship and positive recognition. Her ongoing research has two foci: refugeeness and humanitarian governance, and environmental education from critical pedagogy and critical geography perspectives. Recent publications: 'The politics of embodied encounters in asylum seeking' (*Progress in Human Geography*, co-authored with Häkli), 'Refugeeness as political subjectivity: Experiencing the humanitarian border' (*Environment and Planning C*, co-authored with Häkli and Pascucci) and 'Radical hope in asylum seeking: Political agency beyond linear temporality' (*Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, co-authored with Meier and Häkli).

Contact: Faculty of Education and Culture, Tampere University, Kanslerinrinne 1, FIN – 33014 Tampere, Finland.  
E-mail: kirsipauliina.kallio@tuni.fi

🔗 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8761-1159>

Jen Bagelman's academic and activist work critically examines how displacement is produced through exclusionary citizenship and bordering practices. She is also deeply interested in how people mobilize to enact more loving geopolitics.

Contact: Geography Department, Henry Daysh Building, Newcastle University, Newcastle Upon Tyne, NE1 7RU, UK.  
E-mail: jen.bagelman@newcastle.ac.uk

🔗 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9322-1835>

Nick Gill, James Riding, Kirsi Pauliina Kallio and Jennifer Bagelman have asserted their right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act, 1988, to be identified as the authors of this work in the format that was submitted to Intellect Ltd.

---