



The Composition and Functional Capacities of Saliva Microbiota Differ Between Children With Low and High Sweet Treat Consumption

Sohvi Lommi^{1,2}, Muhammed Manzoor³, Elina Engberg^{2,4}, Nitin Agrawal^{2,5},
Timo A. Lakka^{6,7,8}, Jukka Leinonen⁹, Kaija-Leena Kolho^{10,11} and Heli Viljakainen^{2,5*}

¹ Department of Public Health, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland, ² Folkhälsan Research Center, Helsinki, Finland, ³ Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Diseases, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland, ⁴ Department of Psychology and Logopedics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland, ⁵ Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland, ⁶ Institute of Biomedicine, School of Medicine, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland, ⁷ Department of Clinical Physiology and Nuclear Medicine, Kuopio University Hospital, Kuopio, Finland, ⁸ Foundation for Research in Health Exercise and Nutrition, Kuopio Research Institute of Exercise Medicine, Kuopio, Finland, ⁹ Department of Clinical Dentistry, Faculty of Health Sciences, UiT The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway, ¹⁰ Children's Hospital, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital (HUS), Helsinki, Finland, ¹¹ Faculty of Medicine and Health Technology, Tampere University, Tampere, Finland

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Clara G. De Los Reyes-Gavilan,
Spanish National Research Council
(CSIC), Spain

Reviewed by:

Alejandra Cardelle Cobas,
University of Santiago
de Compostela, Spain
Sara Di Rienzi,
Baylor College of Medicine,
United States

*Correspondence:

Heli Viljakainen
heli.viljakainen@helsinki.fi

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Nutrition and Microbes,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Nutrition

Received: 28 January 2022

Accepted: 04 March 2022

Published: 25 April 2022

Citation:

Lommi S, Manzoor M, Engberg E,
Agrawal N, Lakka TA, Leinonen J,
Kolho K-L and Viljakainen H (2022)
The Composition and Functional
Capacities of Saliva Microbiota Differ
Between Children With Low and High
Sweet Treat Consumption.
Front. Nutr. 9:864687.
doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.864687

Excess sugar consumption—common in youth—is associated with poor health. Evidence on the relationship between sugar consumption and the oral microbiome, however, remains scarce and inconclusive. We explored whether the diversity, composition, and functional capacities of saliva microbiota differ based on the consumption of select sugary foods and drinks (“sweet treats”). Using 16S rRNA gene sequencing, we characterized saliva microbiota from 11 to 13-year-old children who participated in the Finnish Health in Teens (Fin-HIT) cohort study. The sample comprised children in the lowest ($n = 227$) and highest ($n = 226$) tertiles of sweet treat consumption. We compared differences in the alpha diversity (Shannon, inverse Simpson, and Chao1 indices), beta diversity (principal coordinates analysis based on Bray–Curtis dissimilarity), and abundance (differentially abundant operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at the genus level) between these low and high consumption groups. We performed PICRUSt2 to predict the metabolic pathways of microbial communities. No differences emerged in the alpha diversity between low and high sweet treat consumption, whereas the beta diversity differed between groups ($p = 0.001$). The abundance of several genera such as *Streptococcus*, *Prevotella*, *Veillonella*, and *Selenomonas* was higher in the high consumption group compared with the low consumption group following false discovery rate correction ($p < 0.05$). Children with high sweet treat consumption exhibited higher proportions of nitrate reduction IV and gondoate biosynthesis pathways compared with the low consumption group ($p < 0.05$). To conclude, sweet treat consumption shapes saliva microbiota. Children who consume a high level of sweet treats exhibited different compositions and metabolic pathways compared with children who consume low levels of sweet treats. Our findings reveal novel insights into the relationship between sugary diets and oral microbiota.

Keywords: oral microbiome, sugar, childhood, adolescence, caries, diet

INTRODUCTION

The human microbiome—microbes and their genes inhabiting the body—plays an essential role in regulating health and disease, and is influenced by host conditions and several environmental factors, especially the diet (1). Excess sugar consumption is characteristic of the modern diets of children and adolescents (2–4), and associated with several health risks such as dental caries, obesity, and cardiovascular diseases (5–7). Evidence links the aberrant composition of the gut microbiome to the development of conditions including metabolic diseases such as obesity and diabetes (8), possibly a consequence of sugar consumption that may affect the gut habitat leading to altered bacterial communities and influencing metabolism (9). The majority of the mono- and disaccharides ingested are absorbed in the small intestine (9), and thus do not reach the large intestine unless consumed in large quantities (10), whereas oral bacteria are exposed to easily metabolized ingested sugars for which metabolism leads to harmful end-products such as lactic acid (11). Dental caries is a disease resulting in a net mineral loss of teeth due to the acidity produced by bacterial sugar metabolism (12). Furthermore, the frequent consumption of sugar leads to an increase in acid-producing bacteria in the dental biofilm and further to caries.

The role of sugar in the development of caries is well-known, whereas studies on the relationship between oral microbiota profiles and sugar consumption remain scarce. Additionally, existing evidence on the association between oral microbiota profiles and sugar consumption is inconsistent. In adults, no association was found between sugar consumption and saliva microbiota (13, 14), but, in contrast, in subgingival microbiota frequent sucrose consumption associated with decreased species richness and differences in the beta diversity (15), and some cariogenic bacteria such as *Streptococcus sobrinus* were less abundant among those who consumed fewer free sugars (14). Among 17- to 21-year-olds, saliva microbiota profiles varied according to differences in sucrose intake (16). We found only one study examining associations between sugar consumption and oral microbiota among children. In that study among 11-year-old children, the daily consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages was linked to less diversity and richness in oral microbiota and differences in the bacterial abundance (17).

Oral microbiota harbors the largest microbial community after the gut, consisting of several different microbial communities—that is, the microbial composition varies in different locations in the mouth (18). Saliva microbiota consists of bacteria shed from oral surfaces (19), thus representing different communities without having its own resident microbiota (20). Saliva microbiota has been previously linked to metabolic, autoimmune, and immunodeficient conditions, rendering it an affordable and feasible source for biomarkers (21). Furthermore, saliva microbiota may mirror the caries and periodontitis status of the host, and remains relatively stable in orally and systematically healthy individuals (19). Interestingly, oral bacteria can pass through the gastrointestinal tract to a greater extent than previously thought, possibly colonizing the large intestine even in healthy individuals (18, 22). In some disease states, such as rheumatoid arthritis and colorectal cancer,

the flux from the oral cavity to the gut may be more pronounced (22), suggesting that oral microbiota plays a role not only in oral diseases, but in systemic health as well.

This study aims to examine whether saliva microbiota profiles, including the diversity and composition, differ according to the consumption of sugary products (“sweet treats”) among school-aged children. In addition, we aim to explore the functional capacities of saliva microbiota. To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the associations between sugary products and saliva microbiota and its functional capacities. Thus, our study provides novel evidence about the relationships between saliva microbiota and sugar consumption among school-aged children.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study utilized material from the Finnish Health in Teens (Fin-HIT) study, a large, geographically diverse cohort consisting of over 11 000 children and adolescents aged 9–12 years old at enrolment. Data were collected in 2013 and 2014 in schools across Finland. A detailed description of the cohort appears elsewhere (23). We previously analyzed saliva samples from 1,000 randomly selected Fin-HIT participants (24). After exclusion based on antibiotic use 3 months prior to saliva sampling, missing values in sweet treat consumption as well as low sequencing depth, 700 children remained in the cohort for further investigation.

Sweet Treat Consumption

Based on children’s responses on a self-administered food frequency questionnaire, the **sweet treat index** (STI) was calculated to indicate a sum for the weekly consumption frequencies of sweet treats (25). These consisted of chocolate/sweets, ice cream, sweet pastries, biscuits/cookies, sugary juice drinks, and sugary soft drinks. Response options ranged from 0 times a week to 14 times a day. To calculate the STI, the consumption frequencies for each food item were summed. Based on tertiles of the STI, participants were categorized as low (first tertile), medium (second tertile) and high (third tertile) groups. We calculated these separately for girls and boys given their different sweet treat consumption patterns (26). Since we sought to compare microbiota profiles between the extreme ends of sweet treat consumption, we included here only participants in the first and third tertiles, leaving us a sample size of 453 with well-defined groups of sweet treat consumption. The low consumption group included 116 (30.0%) girls with $STI \leq 3.5$ and 111 (35.5%) boys with $STI \leq 5.5$, and the high consumption group included 125 (32.3%) girls with $STI > 8.0$ and 101 (32.3%) boys with $STI > 10.0$.

Background Information

Children’s age- and sex-specific body mass index z-scores (BMIz) were calculated based on the measured height and weight (27) at baseline. Waist–height ratios (WHtR) were calculated by dividing the waist circumference by height (missing values, $n = 3$). When used as covariates, the three missing WHtR values were replaced with the group mean. Maternal occupation at the time of the child’s birth was used as an indicator of socioeconomic status (SES) obtained from the Medical Birth Register from

the National Institute for Health and Welfare (THL) (28), and mothers were categorized as upper-level employees, lower-level employees, manual workers, students, or other. We obtained information on the history of cavitated caries lesions and gingival health from THL's national Register of Primary Health Care visits, which includes data on dental examinations, to which all Finnish children are invited. The oral health data were available for 324 (71.5%) children. Based on the DMFT index (29) (number of decayed, missing, or filled teeth on permanent dentition; missing values, $n = 159$), 228 (70.4%) children were categorized as having no history of cavitated caries lesions (DMFT = 0) for whom oral health data were available, while 96 (29.6%) children had a history of cavitated caries lesions (DMFT > 0). Based on the community periodontal index of treatment needs (30) (CPITN; missing values, $n = 159$) indicating gingival health status, 112 (34.67%) children were categorized as having good oral hygiene (CPITN = 0) and 212 (65.4%) children had poor oral hygiene (CPITN = 1–2) (missing values, $n = 159$). Oral health was examined within ± 12 months of saliva sampling.

16S rRNA Gene Amplicon Sequencing and Bioinformatics Analysis

The saliva sampling procedure and 16S rRNA gene sequencing are detailed elsewhere (24). In brief, children provided an unstimulated saliva sample during the school day using the Oragene[®] DNA (OG-500) Self-Collection Kit (DNA Genotek Inc., Ottawa, Ontario, Canada). Saliva samples were mixed with a stabilizing reagent within the collection tube and stored at room temperature per the manufacturer's instructions. After an intensive lysis and mechanical disruption protocol of microbial cells, genomic DNA was extracted using a CMG-1035 saliva kit and Chemagic MSM1 nucleic acid extraction robot (PerkinElmer) (24) and the V3–V4 variable regions of the 16S rRNA gene were amplified with primers [S-D-Bact-0341-b-S-17 (5' CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG 3') and S-D-Bact-0785-a-A-21 (5' GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC 3')] (31). The Truseq (TS)-tailed 1-step amplification protocol was used to amplify the 16S rRNA gene (32). The concentration of the resulting DNA was measured using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, United States). The 2×270 bp paired-end sequencing of the PCR amplicons was carried out on the Illumina HiSeq1500 platform (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, United States). High-quality sequences were processed on the mothur pipeline (v.1.35.1) and reads were aligned using the Silva 16S rRNA reference database (V119), and clustered at >98% homology to identify the operational taxonomic units (OTUs). The bacterial taxa were recognized at the genus level based on sequencing data from previous Fin-HIT studies (24). We calculated alpha diversity indices (Shannon index, inverse Simpson index, and Chao1 index), as well as the beta diversity using Bray–Curtis distances with the R package “vegan” (R version 1.4.1106, package version 2.5-7).

Statistical Analyses

We performed the chi-square test to compare the categorical background characteristics and the independent samples *t*-test to

compare the continuous background characteristics between low and high sweet treat consumption groups. Results are shown as counts and percentages (%), or as means and standard deviations (SDs). These analyses were performed using the SPSS statistical program, version 26 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, United States).

We compared the alpha diversity between the low and high sweet treat consumption groups using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) and covariance (ANCOVA) with the R package “stats” (version 4.0.3), while differences in the microbial community composition (beta diversity) were compared using the permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA; R package “vegan,” version 2.5-7). Results are shown for the crude as well as adjusted models, which we adjusted for sex, age, WHtR, and maternal SES. Sex was previously identified as a major contributor to saliva microbiota (24). Sugar intake may contribute to central obesity (33), leading us to include the WHtR. For visualization, we plotted the beta diversity with the principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) using the Bray–Curtis dissimilarity index. Moreover, we performed a sensitivity analysis in which we compared the alpha and beta diversities in a subgroup of 324 children for whom we had complete data on the oral health variables, and adjusted further for caries status and gingival health status to examine the possible distracting effect of caries and gingivitis. In the entire sample, we identified differentially abundant OTUs at the genus level using general linear models with a negative binomial distribution using the DESeq2 function incorporated in the R package “phyloseq” (version 1.34.0), correcting the *p*-values using the false discovery rate (FDR). These analyses were performed using version 1.4.1106 of the R software program. We set the level of statistical significance to $p < 0.05$.

Analysis of Metabolic Pathways

We predicted the functional potential of saliva microbiota using the Phylogenetic Investigation of Communities by Reconstruction of Unobserved States (PICRUSt2; version 2.0.0-b.2) (34) and used the MetaCyc database as the pathway reference. We identified the differentially present pathways between groups of low and high sweet treat consumption with STAMP (v.2.1.3) (35) using the Welch's test applying the Bonferroni correction as well as the FDR-adjusted (Benjamini-Hochberg correction) *p*-value of 0.05.

RESULTS

Participant Characteristics

The mean (SD) age of children was 11.7 (± 0.3) years, with 53.2% of whom were girls. The majority (98.3%) spoke either Finnish or Swedish (the national languages in Finland) as their native language, with a likely similar ethnic background. **Table 1** summarizes the characteristics of participants based on low and high sweet treat consumption. Low and high sweet treat consumption groups exhibited similar background characteristics; they differed modestly based on age ($p = 0.044$), but not based on any other background variable including oral health status ($p > 0.05$).

TABLE 1 | Participant characteristics by low and high sweet treat consumption ($n = 453$).

	Low	High	<i>p</i>
	<i>n</i> = 227	<i>n</i> = 226	
Age in years, mean (SD)	11.65 (\pm 0.34)	11.72 (\pm 0.36)	0.044 ^a
Missing, <i>n</i>	0	0	
BMI z-score^b, mean (SD)	0.11 (\pm 1.02)	-0.03 (\pm 1.00)	0.138 ^a
Missing, <i>n</i>	0	0	
WHtR^c, mean (SD)	0.43 (\pm 0.04)	0.42 (\pm 0.04)	0.284 ^a
Missing, <i>n</i>	3	3	
Maternal SES^d, <i>n</i> (%)			0.435 ^e
Upper-level employees	103 (45.4%)	94 (41.6%)	
Lower-level employees	81 (35.7%)	89 (39.4%)	
Manual workers	17 (7.5%)	19 (8.4%)	
Students	19 (8.4%)	12 (5.3%)	
Other	7 (3.1%)	12 (5.3%)	
Missing, <i>n</i>	0	0	
Caries status^f, <i>n</i> (%)			0.627 ^e
No	119 (71.7%)	109 (69.0%)	
Yes	47 (28.3%)	49 (31.0%)	
Missing, <i>n</i>	61	68	
Gingival health status^g, <i>n</i> (%)			0.483 ^e
Healthy	54 (32.5%)	58 (36.7%)	
At risk	112 (67.5%)	100 (63.3%)	
Missing, <i>n</i>	61	68	

^aResults from independent samples *t*-test.

^bAge- and sex-specific BMI z-scores calculated based on measured height and weight (27).

^cWaist–height ratios calculated by dividing waist circumference by height.

^dMaternal occupation at the time of child's birth from the Medical Birth Register from the National Institute for Health and Welfare (28).

^eResults from the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test.

^fCaries status based on dichotomous scores of decayed (D), missing (M) due to caries, and filled (F) permanent teeth (DMFT): no, no history of cavitated caries lesions; yes, history of cavitated caries lesions.

^gGingival health status based on Community Periodontal Index for Treatment Needs (CPITN) values: healthy = 0, at risk = 1 or 2.

BMI, body mass index; SES, socioeconomic status; WHtR, waist–height ratio.

Alpha and Beta Diversities

We observed no differences in the alpha diversity between low and high sweet treat consumption in terms of the Shannon index, the inverse Simpson index, or the Chao1 index in a crude model nor in a model adjusted for sex, age, WHtR, and maternal SES ($p > 0.05$ for all; **Figure 1**). In contrast, the beta diversity of saliva microbiota differed between low and high sweet treat consumption in a crude model ($R^2 = 0.011$, $p = 0.001$) as well as in a model adjusted for age, sex, WHtR, and maternal SES ($R^2 = 0.011$, $p = 0.001$; **Figure 2**).

The sensitivity analyses regarding the alpha and beta diversities among children for whom information was available on caries and gingival health status produced similar results as those for the entire sample. The alpha diversity in terms of the Shannon index, the inverse Simpson index, and Chao1 index did not differ between the low and high sweet treat

consumption groups ($p > 0.5$ for all; **Supplementary Figure 1**). The beta diversity differed between the low and high sweet treat consumption groups in a crude model ($R^2 = 0.015$, $p = 0.001$) and in a model adjusted for sex, age, WHtR, maternal SES, caries status, and gingival health status ($R^2 = 0.015$, $p = 0.001$; **Supplementary Figure 2**).

Differentially Abundant Taxa

The six most abundant phyla in the entire sample were *Firmicutes* (52.2%), *Bacteroidetes* (17.9%), *Proteobacteria* (16.3%), *Actinobacteria* (7.0%), *Candidate division TM7* (3.5%), and *Fusobacteria* (2.9%), accounting for 99.8% of saliva microbiota in all participants. Since adjusting for covariates did not affect the alpha and beta diversity results, we conducted further abundance analysis without adjustments. We identified differences in the relative abundance of bacteria at the genus level according to sweet treat consumption in a total of 37 OTUs whose abundance differed significantly (**Table 2**). Compared with the low sweet treat consumption group, we observed a higher abundance of *Veillonella*, *Prevotella*, *Streptococcus*, *Megasphaera*, *Campylobacter*, and *Selenomonas*, and a lower abundance of several genera such as *Haemophilus*, *Parvimonas*, *Anaerovorax*, *Treponema*, *Staphylococcus*, and *Fusobacterium* in the high sweet treat consumption group (FDR-adjusted $p < 0.05$; **Table 2**).

Since adding the oral health variables as covariates in the sensitivity analysis did not change the alpha and beta diversity results, we conducted no further analyses on the abundance or functional capacities in the subsample with the oral health data.

Functional Capacities of Saliva Microbiota

Since the relative abundance of various taxa differed between groups, we studied their overall effects on saliva microbiota. We predicted the functional capacities of the microbiota and, using the Bonferroni correction method, discovered two metabolic pathways (**Figure 3**) that were more abundant in the high sweet treat compared with the low sweet treat consumption group: assimilatory nitrate reduction IV (adjusted $p = 0.02$) and anaerobic gondoate biosynthesis (adjusted $p = 0.034$). When adjusting the FDR using the Benjamini-Hochberg method, we found 82 metabolic pathways that were differentially present in children with low and high sweet treat consumptions (FDR-adjusted $p < 0.05$). These results appear in **Supplementary Figure 3**.

DISCUSSION

In this study of Finnish children aged approximately 12 years old, we found differences in the composition and functional capacities of saliva microbiota between those with low sweet treat consumption and those with high sweet treat consumption. As sweet treats, we considered a range of commonly consumed sugary drinks and foods, reflecting a relevant health-related eating behavior instead of individual food items. The groups





FIGURE 1 | Violin plots of the alpha diversity in the saliva microbiota in children with low ($n = 227$) and high ($n = 226$) sweet treat consumption for **(A)** Shannon index, **(B)** Inverse Simpson index, and **(C)** Chao1 index. Adjusted p -value based on an analysis adjusted for sex, age, waist–height ratio, and maternal socioeconomic status. Results from ANOVA and ANCOVA.

did not differ in terms of their oral health. We identified no differences in the alpha diversity between groups, whereas different compositions of saliva microbiota were observed. We also found several genera differentially abundant in the group with a high sweet treat consumption. Therefore, we sought to identify their effects by predicting their functional capacities. We uncovered two metabolic pathways relating to nitrate and gondoate metabolism highlighted in the group with a high sweet treat consumption.

We found no difference in the diversity and richness of saliva microbiota between low and high sweet treat consumption frequencies. In a study among 11-year-old Chinese children, 30 children reporting daily consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages presented with less rich and diverse oral microbiota when compared with 150 children who consumed such beverages less than six times a week (17). By contrast, a study measuring the intake of free sugars among young Danish adults found no difference in the alpha diversity between low (<5% of total energy intake [E%]) and high intake (≥ 5 E%) groups (14). In that study, they measured the amount rather than the frequency of intake, finding that even the high consumption group exhibited a mean intake below the recommendation of no more than 10E% (36). The different measurements of

sugar consumption in these studies may partly explain the differing results.

In contrast to the diversity, we found that the composition of microbiota differed according to sweet treat consumption. A relative abundance analysis revealed 37 differentially abundant OTUs, indicating that sugar consumption (measured as a use frequency of sweet treats) is a relevant predictor of the composition of saliva microbiota. We observed OTUs belonging to the core taxa including *Veillonella*, *Prevotella*, and *Streptococcus* (37) enriched in the group with a high sweet treat consumption. Although part of the core microbiota, these genera may associate with oral diseases (38–40). *Veillonella* species are non-motile anaerobic bacteria in the phylum *Firmicutes*, abundantly found in the oral cavity (41). Some oral *Veillonella* species have been associated with the development of caries, periodontitis, peri-implantitis, and other oral diseases (38, 42), and, for example, *V. parvula* associates with severe early childhood caries (43). However, some *Veillonella* species convert lactic acid to weaker acids, possibly diminishing caries (41). Moreover, they can convert nitrate into nitrite, which is favorable to oral health. As an early colonizer in the oral cavity, *Veillonella* play a critical role in guiding the development of polymicrobial biofilm communities in the oral microenvironment (42).



Prevotella are among the most dominant and abundant bacteria in the oral cavity with moderately saccharolytic abilities (44). Furthermore, *Prevotella* have been connected to early childhood caries (40), and *P. intermedia* was shown to be characteristic in patients with periodontitis (45).

Streptococci form a part of the core microbiota in the oral cavity, with the majority of *Streptococcus* species being acidogenic and/or acid-tolerant (46). Such bacteria have the ability to metabolize carbohydrates through fermentation and produce acids as well as efficiently colonize oral tissues (39). *S. mutans* is a well-known cariogenic species (47), and, while present in a healthy mouth, its abundance increases with the growing availability of sucrose (15). Sucrose increases the biomass of *S. mutans* in the biofilm through an acidic environment and intra- and extracellular polysaccharide synthesis (48), with frequent exposure to dietary sugar acidifying the microenvironment on the teeth leading to enamel demineralization (39). In addition to *S. mutans*, *S. sobrinus* is another species linked to caries

(39). By contrast, some *Streptococcus* species can neutralize acidity by producing alkali, as well as producing hydrogen peroxide and antimicrobial compounds (39), thus inhibiting the growth of *S. mutans*. In a healthy mouth, a balance between cariogenic bacteria and non-cariogenic commensal bacteria exists. *Haemophilus* is another common inhabitant of the oral cavity, including *H. parainfluenzae*, which appears to carry some beneficial immunomodulatory effects (49). Then again, *H. influenzae* is a well-known pathogen (50). Here, we noticed a lower abundance of *Haemophilus* in the high sweet treat consumption group compared with the low sweet treat consumption group.

We found *Treponema* and *Staphylococcus* were less abundant in the high sweet treat consumption group compared with the low sweet treat consumption group. *Treponema* has been associated with periodontitis as well as correlating positively with inflammatory cytokines in these patients (51). Although *T. denticola* belongs to the red complex, which is considered the most destructive group of periodontal pathogens

TABLE 2 | List of differentially abundant OTUs at genus level (or closest taxa) according to sweet treat consumption.

OTU	Nearest taxa	Base mean	Log2fold change	p
Otu000002	<i>Veillonella</i>	4131.816	+0.486	0.010
Otu000005	<i>Prevotella</i>	2265.019	+0.699	0.001
Otu000007	<i>Micrococcineae</i>	1921.206	-0.340	0.025
Otu000011	<i>Streptococcus</i>	1028.093	+0.407	0.040
Otu000020	<i>Megasphaera</i>	423.199	+0.598	0.006
Otu000021	<i>Campylobacter</i>	299.759	+0.317	0.032
Otu000022	<i>Prevotellaceae</i>	270.280	-0.503	0.016
Otu000031	<i>Coriobacterineae</i>	158.380	+0.465	0.008
Otu000032	<i>Oribacterium</i>	157.283	-0.272	0.035
Otu000036	<i>Leptotrichia</i>	86.499	-0.406	0.030
Otu000039	<i>Haemophilus</i>	78.997	-0.974	0.030
Otu000048	<i>Catonella</i>	59.865	-0.365	0.009
Otu000050	<i>Johnsonella</i>	57.463	-0.502	0.001
Otu000043	<i>Prevotella</i>	48.113	+0.614	0.016
Otu000047	<i>Selenomonas</i>	46.146	+0.645	0.016
Otu000055	<i>Prevotella</i>	39.515	-1.151	1.48E-05
Otu000061	<i>Candidate division SR1</i>	39.140	-1.033	0.042
Otu000083	<i>Parvimonas</i>	18.304	-0.665	0.025
Otu000100	<i>Actinomycetales</i>	13.005	+0.627	0.030
Otu000102	<i>Prevotella</i>	11.857	+1.078	0.003
Otu000106	<i>Candidate division SR1</i>	10.820	-0.717	0.040
Otu000082	<i>Prevotellaceae</i>	10.341	-4.487	0.010
Otu000108	<i>Peptostreptococcaceae</i>	9.542	-1.051	8.34E-05
Otu000117	<i>Peptococcus</i>	7.913	-0.645	0.028
Otu000123	<i>Johnsonella</i>	5.371	-1.430	1.11E-06
Otu000134	<i>Veillonella</i>	4.640	-0.694	0.001
Otu000126	<i>Anaerovorax</i>	2.997	-4.207	0.001
Otu000154	<i>Oribacterium</i>	2.845	-0.471	0.041
Otu000175	<i>Treponema</i>	2.246	-1.230	0.009
Otu000174	<i>Veillonella</i>	2.063	-0.901	0.005
Otu000190	<i>Micrococcineae</i>	1.603	-1.004	0.016
Otu000210	<i>Prevotella</i>	1.579	+1.254	0.009
Otu000189	<i>Staphylococcus</i>	1.323	-1.137	0.040
Otu000211	<i>Fusobacterium</i>	1.125	-0.806	0.037
Otu000232	<i>Veillonella</i>	1.061	-0.614	0.042
Otu000240	<i>Streptococcus</i>	0.990	-0.627	0.030
Otu000242	<i>Haemophilus</i>	0.869	-0.665	0.040

A positive log2fold change value indicates a higher abundance, while a negative value indicates a lower abundance in the high sweet treat consumption group ($n = 227$) compared with low consumption ($n = 226$). *P*-value adjusted for false discovery rate.

Base mean refers to the mean of normalized counts across all samples.


OTU, operational taxonomic unit.

(52), and is commonly found in patients with periodontal diseases, other *Treponema* species associate with periodontal diseases as well (53). By contrast, *S. epidermidis* was found enriched in caries-free children (54), and some antibiotic-resistant *S. epidermidis* have been identified in the dental plaque of healthy individuals (55). Then, again, pathogenic species *S. aureus* has been linked to several oral diseases such as periodontitis (56). The clinical relevance of these findings remains unclear.

When we predicted the functional capacities of the microbiota, we found two metabolic pathways—nitrate reduction and gondoate biosynthesis—enriched in the group with a high sweet treat consumption. Nitrate metabolism has been associated with a lower occurrence of caries and gingival inflammation, and appears to participate in the maintenance of host oral and systemic health (57). Nitrate (NO_3^-) appears to inhibit the salivary acidification resulting from glucose ingestion. Denitrifying oral bacteria reduce nitrate to nitrite (NO_2^-) and further to nitric oxide (NO), which has antimicrobial properties and can possibly limit the growth of certain bacteria affecting the composition of the biofilm (57). Nitrite can be reduced to ammonium as well and the presence of nitrate in the oral cavity and is associated with a decrease in the production of lactate and an increase in the production of ammonium. This may result in neutralizing pH and further protect from caries. Moreover, the enterosalivary nitrate–nitrite–nitric oxide pathway depends on oral nitrate-reducing bacteria, and these bacteria contribute to the storage pool of nitrite and nitric oxide in the blood and tissues, consequently positively influencing the host's physiological status such as blood pressure (58). Dietary sugars, especially in the form of sugar-sweetened beverages, may impact blood pressure (59). Taken together, the nitrate reduction pathway may be upregulated to neutralize acidity resulting from frequent sugar consumption. This may in part explain the similar caries status we found in low and high sweet treat consumption groups. Gondoate is a long-chain unsaturated fatty acid, and its biosynthesis pathway was enriched in the saliva microbiota of adult patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma (60). In the gut microbiome, gondoate biosynthesis decreased during the exacerbation of Crohn's disease (61). The clinical relevance of our findings that the gondoate pathway upregulates in the high sweet treat consumption group remains unclear.

We adjusted the alpha and beta diversity analyses for age, sex, waist–height ratio, and maternal SES. These potential confounders did not influence the alpha and beta diversities, indicating that sweet treat consumption independently impacts microbial diversity and composition at least in the saliva. In addition, we ran a sensitivity analysis among those children for whom information on their oral health was available (the DMFT index to indicate a history of cavitated caries lesions and CPITN to indicate gingival health), and adjusted the alpha and beta diversity analyses further for these variables. In this study, these were utilized as dichotomous variables, and adjusting for them changed neither the alpha nor the beta diversity results. Given the nature of the dichotomous variables, we were unable to identify those with more severe oral health problems. However, we found some OTUs related to oral infection-associated genera at a higher abundance in the high sweet treat consumption group. Oral health was examined on average 4.3 (± 2.2) months prior to or after saliva sampling, but this was unlikely to have impacted these results.

The strengths of the study include the large number of children in the Fin-HIT cohort study conducted across Finland as well as the anthropometric measurements and saliva sampling completed by trained fieldworkers. Moreover, data relating to



oral health were obtained from objective and reliable national health care registers. Another strength of our study lies in our use of a summed variable, indicating the consumption of several different types of sugary drinks and foods. Yet, we acknowledge that this measurement was not capable of capturing all possible sources of added sugar. Nonetheless, this study carries some potential limitations as well. There was a lack of standardization in saliva sampling in relation to the time of day or meal, although sampling was carried out primarily in the morning after breakfast, but before lunch. The timing of food intake may influence the diurnal variation of microbiota (62), although microbial profiles were found to remain stable for 24 hours and even for a week (63). We had no information on the putative cases with a low saliva flow rate, possibly impacting microbiota (20). Furthermore, our data do not include information on dental hygiene habits. To overcome this, we considered CPITN as a reflection of such habits. Not assessing smoking represents a minor limitation, given that smoking is extremely rare among Finnish 12-year-old children (64). Food consumption information was assessed through a short, self-administered food frequency questionnaire, and while it is feasible in a large cohort of school-aged children, it does not provide detailed information on the components of the diet. Moreover, misreporting is possible when measuring food consumption. Then, again, food frequency questionnaires rank participants according to their food consumption (65), which we achieved here. Data on saliva microbiota were based on 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing and the Silva reference database,¹ which provide species-level identification of bacteria for only some OTUs. Moreover, we relied on sequencing data from previous Fin-HIT studies (24), and thus, were limited to a genus-level analysis. Species-level identification of the bacteria would have been beneficial. We had no information on total bacterial loads, possibly relevant for this topic (66, 67). In addition, the functionality of microbiota was predicted using PICRUSt2, which provides >80% reliability to pathway discovery (34, 68). Some methodological challenges may be overcome using shot-gun metagenomic approaches instead (69).

¹<https://www.arb-silva.de/>

To conclude, this study revealed that sweet treat consumption shapes saliva microbiota and its functions in school-aged children. The frequent consumption of sweet treats associated with a differentially abundant microbiota as well as with differentially expressed metabolic pathways. Our findings improve our understanding of the impact of sugary diets on the oral microbiome and can be used to target future studies.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: EGA; EGAS00001003039.

ETHICS STATEMENT

This study adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki, and the children and one guardian of each child provided their written informed consent before participating. The Ethics Committee of the Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa approved the study protocol (169/13/03/00/10).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SL performed the data analysis with assistance from MM and NA, and drafted the manuscript, tables, and figures. All authors contributed to the study design, participated in the data interpretation, revised the manuscript, and accepted the final manuscript for submission.

FUNDING

This study received funding from the Folkhälsan Research Fund and the Päivikki and Sakari Sohlberg Foundation. The funders

had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

thank Binu Mathew for data management and functional capacity analyses.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the families who participated in this study, as well the fieldworkers, research coordinators, and all other staff members who made data collection possible. We

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2022.864687/full#supplementary-material>

REFERENCES

- Gilbert JA, Blaser MJ, Caporaso JG, Jansson JK, Lynch SV, Knight R. Current understanding of the human microbiome. *Nat Med.* (2018) 24:392–400. doi: 10.1038/nm.4517
- Hoppu U, Lehtisalo J, Tapanainen H, Pietinen P. Dietary habits and nutrient intake of Finnish adolescents. *Public Health Nutr.* (2010) 13:965–72. doi: 10.1017/S1368980010001175
- Svensson Å, Larsson C, Eiben G, Lanfer A, Pala V, Hebestreit A, et al. European children's sugar intake on weekdays versus weekends: the IDEFICS study. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* (2014) 68:822–8. doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2014.87
- Graffe MIM, Pala V, De Henauw S, Eiben G, Hadjigeorgiou C, Iacoviello L, et al. Dietary sources of free sugars in the diet of European children: the IDEFICS Study. *Eur J Nutr.* (2020) 59:979–89. doi: 10.1007/s00394-019-01957-y
- Moynihan P. Sugars and dental caries: evidence for setting a recommended threshold for intake. *Adv Nutr An Int Rev J.* (2016) 7:149–56. doi: 10.3945/an.115.009365
- Morenga L, Te Mallard S, Mann J. Dietary sugars and body weight: systematic review and meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials and cohort studies. *BMJ.* (2013) 345:e7492. doi: 10.1136/bmj.e7492
- Vos MB, Kaar JL, Welsh JA, Van Horn LV, Feig DI, Anderson CAM, et al. Added sugars and cardiovascular disease risk in children: a scientific statement from the American heart association. *Circulation.* (2017) 135:e1017–34. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000439
- Pascale A, Marchesi N, Marelli C, Coppola A, Luzi L, Govoni S, et al. Microbiota and metabolic diseases. *Endocrine.* (2018) 61:357–71. doi: 10.1016/j.molmet.2016.03.004
- Di Rienzi SC, Britton RA. Adaptation of the gut microbiota to modern dietary sugars and sweeteners. *Adv Nutr.* (2020) 11:616–29. doi: 10.1093/advances/nmz118
- Jamar G, Ribeiro DA, Pisani LP. High-fat or high-sugar diets as trigger inflammation in the microbiota-gut-brain axis. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr.* (2021) 61:836–54. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2020.1747046
- Gasmi Benahmed A, Gasmi A, Dadar M, Arshad M, Bjørklund G. The role of sugar-rich diet and salivary proteins in dental plaque formation and oral health. *J Oral Biosci.* (2021) 63:134–41. doi: 10.1016/j.job.2021.01.007
- Krasse B. The Vipeholm dental caries study: recollections and reflections 50 years later. *J Dent Res.* (2001) 80:1785–8. doi: 10.1177/00220345010800090201
- Belström D, Holmstrup P, Nielsen CH, Kirkby N, Twetman S, Heitmann BL, et al. Bacterial profiles of saliva in relation to diet, lifestyle factors, and socioeconomic status. *J Oral Microbiol.* (2014) 6:23609. doi: 10.3402/jom.v6.23609
- Keller MK, Kressirer CA, Belström D, Twetman S, Tanner AC. Oral microbial profiles of individuals with different levels of sugar intake. *J Oral Microbiol.* (2017) 9:1355207. doi: 10.1080/20002297.2017.1355207
- Anderson AC, Rothballer M, Altenburger MJ, Woelber JP, Karygianni L, Lagkouvardos I, et al. In-vivo shift of the microbiota in oral biofilm in response to frequent sucrose consumption. *Sci Rep.* (2018) 8:1–13. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-32544-6
- Esberg A, Haworth S, Hasslöf P, Lif Holgersson P, Johansson I. Oral microbiota profile associates with sugar intake and taste preference genes. *Nutrients.* (2020) 12:681. doi: 10.3390/nu12030681
- Chen X, Hu X, Fang J, Sun X, Zhu F, Sun Y, et al. Association of oral microbiota profile with sugar-sweetened beverages consumption in school-aged children. *Int J Food Sci Nutr.* (2021) 73:82–92. doi: 10.1080/09637486.2021.1913102
- Kitamoto S, Nagao-Kitamoto H, Hein R, Schmidt TM, Kamada N. The bacterial connection between the oral cavity and the gut diseases. *J Dent Res.* (2020) 99:1021–9. doi: 10.1177/0022034520924633
- Belström D. The salivary microbiota in health and disease. *J Oral Microbiol.* (2020) 12:1723975. doi: 10.1080/20002297.2020.1723975
- Marsh PD, Do T, Bighton D, Devine DA. Influence of saliva on the oral microbiota. *Periodontology 2000.* (2016) 70:80–92. doi: 10.1111/prd.12098
- Acharya A, Chan Y, Kheur S, Jin LJ, Watt RM, Mattheos N. Salivary microbiome in non-oral disease: a summary of evidence and commentary. *Arch Oral Biol.* (2017) 83:169–73. doi: 10.1016/j.archoralbio.2017.07.019
- Schmidt TSB, Hayward MR, Coelho LP, Li SS, Costea PI, Voigt AY, et al. Extensive transmission of microbes along the gastrointestinal tract. *Elife.* (2019) 8:e42693. doi: 10.7554/eLife.42693
- Figueiredo RAO, Simola-Ström S, Rounge TB, Viljakainen H, Eriksson JG, Roos E, et al. Cohort profile – The Finnish health in teens (Fin-HIT) study: a population-based study. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2019) 48:23h–4h. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyy189
- Raju SC, Lagström S, Ellonen P, de Vos WM, Eriksson JG, Weiderpass E, et al. Gender-specific associations between saliva microbiota and body size. *Front Microbiol.* (2019) 10:767. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.00767
- Lommi S, Figueiredo RAO, Tuorila H, Viljakainen H. Frequent use of selected sugary products associates with thinness, but not overweight during preadolescence: a cross-sectional study. *Br J Nutr.* (2020) 124:631–40. doi: 10.1017/S0007114520001361
- Lommi S, Engberg E, Tuorila H, Kolho KL, Viljakainen H. Sex-and weight-specific changes in the frequency of sweet treat consumption during early adolescence: a longitudinal study. *Br J Nutr.* (2021) 126:1592–600. doi: 10.1017/S0007114521001112
- Cole TJ, Lobstein T. Extended international (IOTF) body mass index cut-offs for thinness, overweight and obesity. *Pediatr Obes.* (2012) 7:284–94. doi: 10.1111/j.2047-6310.2012.00064.x
- National Institute of Health and Welfare. *Medical Birth Register. Register description.* Available online at: <https://thl.fi/en/web/thlfi-en/statistics-anddata/data-and-services/register-descriptions/newborns> (accessed January 2022).
- World Health Organization. *Oral Health Surveys Basic Methods.* 5th ed. Geneva: World Health Organization (1997).
- Ainamo J, Barmes D, Beagrie G, Cutress T, Martin J, Sardo-Infirri J. Development of the world health organization (WHO) community periodontal index of treatment needs (CPITN). *Int Dent J.* (1982) 32:281–91.
- Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T, Peplies J, Quast C, Horn M, et al. Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation sequencing-based diversity studies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2013) 41:e1–1. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks808
- Raju SC, Lagström S, Ellonen P, de Vos WM, Eriksson JG, Weiderpass E, et al. Reproducibility and repeatability of six high-throughput 16S rDNA sequencing protocols for microbiota profiling. *J Microbiol Methods.* (2018) 147:76–86. doi: 10.1016/j.mimet.2018.03.003
- Gui ZH, Zhu YN, Cai L, Sun FH, Ma YH, Jing J, et al. Sugar-sweetened beverage consumption and risks of obesity and hypertension in Chinese children and adolescents: a national cross-sectional analysis. *Nutrients.* (2017) 9:1–14. doi: 10.3390/nu9121302

34. Langille MGI, Zaneveld J, Caporaso JG, McDonald D, Knights D, Reyes JA, et al. Predictive functional profiling of microbial communities using 16S rRNA marker gene sequences. *Nat Biotechnol.* (2013) 31:814–21. doi: 10.1038/nbt.2676
35. Parks DH, Tyson GW, Hugenholtz P, Beiko RG. STAMP: statistical analysis of taxonomic and functional profiles. *Bioinformatics.* (2014) 30:3123–4. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu494
36. World Health Organization. *Guideline: Sugars Intake for Adults and Children.* Geneva: WHO (2015).
37. Deo PN, Deshmukh R. Oral microbiome: unveiling the fundamentals. *J Oral Maxillofac Pathol.* (2019) 23:122–8. doi: 10.4103/jomfp.JOMFP_304_18
38. Knapp S, Brodal C, Peterson J, Qi F, Kreth J, Merritt J. Natural competence is common among clinical isolates of *Veillonella parvula* and is useful for genetic manipulation of this key member of the oral microbiome. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* (2017) 7:139. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2017.00139
39. Abranches J, Zeng L, Kajfasz JK, Palmer SR, Chakraborty B, Wen ZT, et al. Biology of oral streptococci. *Microbiol Spectr.* (2018) 6:10.1128/microbiolspec.GPP3-0042-2018. doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.GPP3-0042-2018
40. Hemadi AS, Huang R, Zhou Y, Zou J. Salivary proteins and microbiota as biomarkers for early childhood caries risk assessment. *Int J Oral Sci.* (2017) 9:e1. doi: 10.1038/ijos.2017.35
41. Wicaksono DP, Washio J, Abiko Y, Domon H, Takahashi N. Nitrite production from nitrate and its link with lactate metabolism in oral *Veillonella* spp. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* (2020) 86:1–9. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01255-20
42. Zhou P, Manoil D, Belibasakis GN, Kotsakis GA. *Veillonellae*: beyond bridging species in oral biofilm ecology. *Front Oral Heal.* (2021) 2:774115. doi: 10.3389/froh.2021.774115
43. Tanner ACR, Mathney MJ, Kent RL, Chalmers NI, Hughes CV, Loo CY, et al. Cultivable anaerobic microbiota of severe early childhood caries. *J Clin Microbiol.* (2011) 49:1464–74. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02427-10
44. Tett A, Pasolli E, Masetti G, Ercolini D, Segata N. *Prevotella* diversity, niches and interactions with the human host. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* (2021) 19:585–99. doi: 10.1038/s41579-021-00559-y
45. Zhang Y, Zhen M, Zhan Y, Song Y, Zhang Q, Wang J. Population-genomic insights into variation in *Prevotella intermedia* and *Prevotella nigrescens* isolates and its association with periodontal disease. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* (2017) 7:409. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2017.00409
46. Boisen G, Davies JR, Neilands J. Acid tolerance in early colonizers of oral biofilms. *BMC Microbiol.* (2021) 21:45. doi: 10.1186/s12866-021-02089-2
47. Nishikawara F, Nomura Y, Imai S, Senda A, Hanada N. Evaluation of cariogenic bacteria. *Eur J Dent.* (2007) 1:31.
48. Muñoz-Sandoval C, Muñoz-Cifuentes MJ, Giacaman RA, Ccahuana-Vasquez RA, Cury JA. Effect of bovine milk on *Streptococcus mutans* biofilm cariogenic properties and enamel and dentin demineralization. *Pediatr Dent.* (2012) 34:e197–201.
49. Tseng YC, Yang HY, Lin WT, Chang CB, Chien HC, Wang HP, et al. Salivary dysbiosis in Sjögren's syndrome and a commensal-mediated immunomodulatory effect of salivary gland epithelial cells. *NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes.* (2021) 7:21. doi: 10.1038/s41522-021-00192-w
50. Nørskov-Lauritsen N. Classification, identification, and clinical significance of *Haemophilus* and *Aggregatibacter* species with host specificity for humans. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* (2014) 27:214. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00103-13
51. Lundmark A, Hu YOO, Huss M, Johannsen G, Andersson AF, Yucel-Lindberg T. Identification of salivary microbiota and its association with host inflammatory mediators in periodontitis. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* (2019) 9:216. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2019.00216
52. Harvey JD. Periodontal microbiology. *Dent Clin North Am.* (2017) 61:253–69.
53. Zeng H, Chan Y, Gao W, Leung WK, Watt RM. Diversity of *Treponema denticola* and other oral *Treponeme* lineages in subjects with periodontitis and gingivitis. *Microbiol Spectr.* (2021) 9:e0070121. doi: 10.1128/Spectrum.00701-21
54. Vieira AR, Hiller NL, Powell E, Kim LH, Spirk T, Modesto A, et al. Profiling microorganisms in whole saliva of children with and without dental caries. *Clin Exp Dent Res.* (2019) 5:438. doi: 10.1002/cre2.206
55. Tang B, Gong T, Cui Y, Wang L, He C, Lu M, et al. Characteristics of oral methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus epidermidis* isolated from dental plaque. *Int J Oral Sci.* (2020) 12:1–10. doi: 10.1038/s41368-020-0079-5
56. Lima BP, Hu LI, Vreeman GW, Weibel DB, Lux R. The oral bacterium *Fusobacterium nucleatum* Binds *Staphylococcus aureus* and alters expression of the *Staphylococcal* accessory regulator sarA. *Microb Ecol.* (2019) 78:336–47. doi: 10.1007/s00248-018-1291-0
57. Rosier BT, Buetas E, Moya-Gonzalez EM, Artacho A, Mira A. Nitrate as a potential prebiotic for the oral microbiome. *Sci Reports.* (2020) 10:1–15. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69931-x
58. Hyde ER, Andrade F, Vaksman Z, Parthasarathy K, Jiang H, Parthasarathy DK, et al. Metagenomic analysis of nitrate-reducing bacteria in the oral cavity: implications for nitric oxide homeostasis. *PLoS One.* (2014) 9:e88645. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0088645
59. Malik VS, Hu FB. Sugar-sweetened beverages and cardiometabolic health: an update of the evidence. *Nutrients.* (2019) 11:1840. doi: 10.3390/nu11081840
60. Chen JW, Wu JH, Chiang WF, Lin YT, Li SZ, Chen BW, et al. Taxonomic and functional dysregulation in salivary microbiomes during oral Carcinogenesis. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* (2021) 11:861. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2021.663068
61. Klaassen MAY, Imhann F, Collij V, Fu J, Wijmenga C, Zhernakova A, et al. Anti-inflammatory gut microbial pathways are decreased during Crohn's disease exacerbations. *J Crohn's Colitis.* (2019) 13:1439–49. doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjz077
62. Collado MC, Engen PA, Bandín C, Cabrera-Rubio R, Voigt RM, Green SJ, et al. Timing of food intake impacts daily rhythms of human salivary microbiota: a randomized, crossover study. *FASEB J.* (2018) 32:2060–72. doi: 10.1096/fj.201700697RR
63. Belstrøm D, Holmstrup P, Bardow A, Kokaras A, Fiehn NE, Paster BJ. Temporal stability of the salivary microbiota in oral health. *PLoS One.* (2016) 11:e0147472. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0147472
64. Kinnunen JM, Pere L, Raisamo S, Katainen A, Ollila H, and Rimpelä A. *The Adolescent Health and Lifestyle Survey 2019: Adolescent Tobacco and Alcohol use and Gambling [In Finnish]. Reports and Memorandums of the Ministry of Social Affairs and Health.* Helsinki: Ministry of Social Affairs and Health (2019). p. 17.
65. Vereecken CA, Maes L. A Belgian study on the reliability and relative validity of the health behaviour in school-aged children food-frequency questionnaire. *Public Health Nutr.* (2003) 6:581–8. doi: 10.1079/phn2003466
66. Chen L, Mao T, Du M, Yang Y. Caries status and quantification of four bacteria in saliva of Chinese preschool children: a cross-sectional study. *J Dent Sci.* (2014) 9:283–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jds.2014.01.001
67. Marotz C, Morton JT, Navarro P, Coker J, Belda-Ferre P, Knight R, et al. Quantifying live microbial load in human saliva samples over time reveals stable composition and dynamic load. *mSystems.* (2021) 6:e1182–1120. doi: 10.1128/mSystems.01182-20
68. Douglas GM, Maffei VJ, Zaneveld JR, Yurgel SN, Brown JR, Taylor CM, et al. PICRUSt2 for prediction of metagenome functions. *Nat Biotechnol.* (2020) 38:685–8. doi: 10.1038/s41587-020-0548-6
69. Milani C, Lugli GA, Fontana F, Mancabelli L, Alessandri G, Longhi G, et al. METAnnotatorX2: a comprehensive tool for deep and shallow metagenomic data set analyses. *mSystems.* (2021) 6:e0058321. doi: 10.1128/mSystems.00583-21

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Lommi, Manzoor, Engberg, Agrawal, Lakka, Leinonen, Kolho and Viljakainen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.