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ABSTRACT: Bioresorbable passive resonance sensors based on inductor–capacitor (LC) circuits provide an auspicious sensing technology for temporary battery-free implant applications due to their simplicity, wireless readout, and the ability to be eventually metabolized by the body. In this study, the fabrication and performance of various LC circuit-based sensors are investigated to provide a comprehensive view on different material options and fabrication methods. The study is divided into sections that address different sensor constituents, including bioresorbable polymer and bioactive glass substrates, dissolvable metallic conductors, and atomic layer deposited (ALD) water barrier films on polymeric substrates. The manufactured devices included a polymer-based pressure sensor that remained pressure responsive for 10 days in aqueous conditions, the first wirelessly readable bioactive glass-based resonance sensor for monitoring the complex permittivity of its surroundings, and a solenoidal coil-based compression sensor built onto a polymeric bone fixation screw. The findings together with the envisioned orthopedic applications provide a reference point for future studies related to bioresorbable passive resonance sensors.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Implantable sensors have been used for research purposes as part of orthopedic fixation devices for decades, but their clinical usage has remained scarce. The early smart implant systems utilized percutaneous wires for power and data transfer, but the wires are known to increase infection risks and may constrain the device usage to hospital surroundings.¹⁻³ One approach for wireless sensor systems involves inductively coupled passive resonance sensors, which essentially consist of a parallel circuit of an inductor (L) coil and a capacitor (C). Such sensors operate without batteries, which reduces their size and complexity, thus making them easier to integrate into orthopedic implants.⁴ As a recent approach, sensors made from bioresorbable materials are designed to operate for a defined time under physiological conditions, after which they degrade into nontoxic substances that can be eliminated from the body.⁵⁻⁶

The operational principle of the sensors is based on inductive coupling between the LC circuit and a reader coil, whereupon a resonance curve is created at a given frequency range. The resonance curve may form a peak or a dip, depending on whether the real part of the impedance or the phase of the impedance is measured, respectively. Typically, the capacitance or inductance of the sensor changes in response to a measured variable, which shifts the resonance curve. This can be detected by estimating the frequency of the maximum or minimum value of the curve (depending on whether the resonance curve is a peak or a dip, respectively). A review by Huang et al. discusses the operational principle and state-of-the-art of these sensors in more detail.⁷

A typical resonance sensor consists of a planar or solenoidal inductor coil connected to an interdigital or parallel-plate capacitor, which is often used as the sensing element. In addition, the sensors comprise a certain resistance (R) and may contain separate resistive components, which is why the term RLC circuit is sometimes used. Passive resonance sensors enable a variety of measurement applications, including but not limited to pressure, strain, pH, temperature, and biochemical sensing.

Bioresorbable sensors are, by definition, only suitable for short-term applications. For example, after an open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF) of broken bones, the sensors could be added into the fixation devices to temporarily...
Monitor parameters like temperature for early signs of infection, intratissue pressure for cues of acute compartment syndrome, or strain to measure the stiffness of the fracture callus or to personalize tendon rehabilitation processes.\(^4,8\)\(^-\)\(^{10}\) Furthermore, intraoperative load sensors have provided improved results in total knee replacements by guiding the surgeon toward correct knee loading.\(^11\) Similar sensor-guided operations could be performed with smart implants where a bioresorbable sensor would be integrated into the orthopedic implant. These kinds of applications could reduce unnecessary patient discomfort and facilitate massive savings by detecting adverse events early or by reducing revision surgeries and expensive imaging procedures.\(^4,12\)

One of the main challenges in bioresorbable sensors is their fabrication. In many cases, the bioresorbable substrates are incompatible with conventional microfabrication processes like electrodeposition, photolithography, and etching.\(^13,14\) The process steps may involve spin-coating materials with organic solvents, baking at high temperatures, or immersing the substrates into electrodeposition solutions or lift-off chemicals. The associated conditions may damage the substrates or already prepared functional layers, which complicates the assembly of bioresorbable sensors.

The aim of this study is to provide an overall materials perspective on bioresorbable passive resonance sensors by discussing different material options, fabrication methods, and sensor architectures. The experimental novelty of the work lies in two original sensors, new material combinations and discussion of in vitro testing methods. Furthermore, an improved version of a previously published pressure sensor is utilized to discuss the effect of polymer substrates on the sensor performance as well as to compare physical vapor deposited (PVD) magnesium (Mg) and zinc (Zn) conductors. The novel sensor architectures include the first bioactive glass-based wireless resonance sensor and a molybdenum (Mo) wire-based compression sensor with a solenoidal design. The

Figure 1. (a) Architecture of the wireless bioresorbable Mg pressure sensors, whose initial resonance frequencies in air are presented later in Table 1. (b) Examples of the pressure response of the sensor in air before immersion and in Sörensen buffer solution after 1 and 10 days of immersion. (c) Measured pressure sensitivities of the sensor immersed in Sörensen buffer. (d) Drifting of the pressure sensor resonance frequency under immersion without applied pressure. (e) Water uptake properties of PDTEC. (f) Measurement setup for all the mechanical tests, where the three-point bending of the PDTEC samples was performed under immersion at +37 °C. (g) Flexural moduli of the immersed PDTEC samples. The initial, day 0 dry value was measured at +21 °C. (h) Flexural stress–strain curves of PDTEC as tested under immersion at various time points. (i) Stress relaxation behavior of PDTEC in aqueous conditions under a static 2 mm displacement.
performance of the devices is demonstrated with wireless sensor measurements, on top of which the material properties of the components are evaluated in simulated physiological conditions. Finally, the water barrier materials are addressed by evaluating atomic layer deposited (ALD) encapsulation films, which are deposited on bioresorbable polymer substrates. In addition to the experimental results, several potential orthopedic applications are suggested for wireless bioresorbable sensors.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1. Bioresorbable Polymer-Based Pressure Sensors.

Bioresorbable wireless pressure sensors reported so far are mostly based on polymeric substrates.3,15−18 This is rational because bioresorbable polymeric bone fixation plates have been used for decades especially in pediatric patients, where permanent fixation might hinder bone growth.19 Thus, fabricating the sensors directly onto the orthopedic plates offers one simple possibility for integrating the sensors onto the implants. In this study, the material attributes of a recently reported polymer-based bioresorbable pressure sensor18 were adjusted regarding the adhesive layers and Mg conductor patterns. This section involves testing these Mg pressure sensors and characterizing the water uptake and flexural properties of the substrate material in simulated physiological conditions.

The Mg pressure sensors were fabricated by attaching two poly(3,4-diaminotyrosyl−tyrosine ethyl ester carbonate) (PDTEC) substrates (430 μm) with e-beam evaporated Mg conductor patterns (7.5 μm) onto a holed spacer by using molten polycaprolactone (PCL) films (~15 μm) as an adhesive (Figure 1a). The working principle of the sensor is based on variable capacitors formed by the Mg electrodes on the substrates; as pressure is increased, the electrodes bend toward each other in the two cavities that are confined by the substrates and the holes in the spacer. This increases the capacitance of the LC circuit, which is detected as a shifting resonance peak (or decreased resonance frequency) as illustrated earlier.18 In this study, the adhesive PCL layer that attaches the substrates into the spacer was about twice as thick than in the previous version. This was assumed to increase the functional lifetime of the pressure sensor because in the anterior publication the substrates were noticed to detach from the spacer during immersion. In addition, the evaporation masks were now fabricated from laser-cut metal sheets instead of 3D-printed polymers that were used in the earlier study.18 The masks were redesigned to remove excessive Mg from around the coils to reduce possible stray capacitances.

In this study, the sensor remained readable and responded to pressure changes under immersion in the Sörensen buffer solution for 10 days, after which the resonance peak (~101 MHz) was largely attenuated and the test was terminated. The Sörensen buffer was chosen to reliably compare the sensor behavior with our previously reported pressure sensor, which had failed during the first 24 h of immersion.18 In addition, a similar sensor was now immersed in Minimum Essential Medium (MEM) to more accurately simulate the contents of physiological solutions.20 The sensor was readable in MEM for up to 12 days. Thereafter, the detection of the resonance frequency (~88 MHz) was not reliable anymore due to resonance peak attenuation, which increased the uncertainty in estimating the resonance frequency. The attenuation was caused by the corroding Mg conductors, which increased the electrical resistance in the circuit. Furthermore, the increasing resistance may decrease the resonance frequency.21 The effects of the resistance on the resonance frequency are often neglected in conventional applications where the resistances are diminutive, but should be taken into account in biodegradable devices where the resistances and their changes can be more significant.

Bacterial growth was noticed as a film on top of the MEM solution upon test termination after 14 days, which may potentially have accelerated Mg corrosion via acidification.25 As discussed later in section 2.3, the differences in the simulated physiological conditions have a significant impact on the metal corrosion. On the other hand, the contamination risks and increased complexity of the test setups advocate for using simpler buffer solutions than cell culture media in sensor measurements, where the conductors are encapsulated. This is because the encapsulation layers diminish the effect of proteins and other nonpermeable substances on the metal corrosion.

The initial resonance frequencies of the immersed sensors in Sörensen and MEM were 101.89 MHz (106.57 MHz in air) and 92.76 MHz (98.67 MHz in air), respectively. The resonance frequencies decreased during the first 12 h (Figure 1d), caused by the capacitance increase due to water diffusion into the PDTEC substrates. Thereafter, the resonance frequency of the sensor started to rise, which is earlier shown to result from the outward bending of the substrates.18 This could have been caused by relaxation or swelling of the substrates or possibly by hydrogen gas generation arising from the corrosion of Mg.20 Furthermore, the corrosion increased the resistance of the conductors, which can be considered as one of the factors that contribute to the drifting. The small amount of water that diffused into the polymer matrix could have slowly initiated the dissolution of Mg, possibly explaining why no clear corrosion was noticed after 24 h of immersion.18 The materials testing revealed that the water uptake of PDTEC was 2.5 wt % after 12 h, in contrast to the final equilibrium state of 3.0 wt % after a few days (Figure 1e). The water uptake remained at the same level during the rest of the 100 days test period, which is consistent with the reported slow degradation rate of PDTEC.23

The pressure response measurements (Figure 1b) showed that the initial sensitivity of the nonimmersed sensor was around ~7 kHz/mmHg, which is in the same range compared to the previously reported set of sensors.18 The pressure sensitivity of the sensor was noticed to drift as a function of immersion time (Figure 1c). In comparison, the bioresorbable LC circuit-based pressure sensor of Luo et al. showed also slight sensitivity drifts under immersion, but the changes were much smaller.25 The three-point bending test showed only a modest increasing trend in the flexural Young’s modulus of PDTEC during the first week, as tested under aqueous conditions at 37 °C (Figure 1fg). Thus, the changes in the mechanical properties of PDTEC do not explain the nonlinear sensitivity drifting. Instead, the drifting was likely attributed to structural changes between the substrates and the adhesive PCL layers, possibly due to hydrogen generation inside the sensor. It can be concluded that the diffused water caused changes in the dielectric properties of the capacitors, dimensional changes in the substrate, and corrosion of the conductors, all of which are undesirable regarding sensor stability. The drifting of the sensor would require frequent calibration in practical implant applications. Thereby, we suggest that in this kind of sensor architecture the water
Diffusion into the polymer matrix should be ideally fully prevented during the functional lifetime of the sensor, regardless of the degradation rate of the conductor material. This could be achieved for example with an appropriate bioresorbable encapsulation layer.

The PDTEC samples tested in dry conditions exhibited increased flexural strength compared to the wet samples (Figure 1h). While dry samples maintained the same stress values beyond the yield point, the immersed samples showed strain softening. The stress needed to flex the PDTEC samples to a constant displacement of 2 mm under aqueous conditions decreased significantly within 3 h of testing (Figure 1i). The stress relaxation behavior could be of significance in applications where the pressure is static. In addition to the mechanical properties, the response of human fibroblasts to compression-molded PDTEC discs was evaluated (Figure S1, Supporting Information), indicating that their biocompatibility was at least comparable to, if not better than, that of poly-L/D-lactide 96L/4D (PLDLA 96/4) discs or untreated polystyrene well plates.

Possible applications for bioresorbable pressure sensors include detecting adverse conditions during or after surgery. For example, craniosynostosis is a malformation of an infant’s skull caused by premature ossification of cranial sutures, which is often treated with a cranial vault remodeling by using bioresorbable plates and screws. Elevated intracranial pressure (ICP) levels after surgery may potentially require further treatment, which is why wireless postoperative ICP monitoring would be desirable. Detecting acute compartment syndrome (ACS), which is caused by elevated pressure inside muscle compartments, is another attractive application for temporary pressure sensors. The diagnosis usually relies in clinical symptoms such as disproportionately severe pain and paresthesia, but delays between initial assessment and diagnosis are still frequent, leading to irreversible ischemic damage in 6–8 h if the condition is not properly treated. Implantable pressure sensors could be used to detect cues of ACS in unresponsive or sedated patients or to complement clinical assessment in other risk groups. Finally, sensors could be used to guide surgeons during the operation. For example, pressure sensors could be used to avoid periosteal necrosis and subsequent osteopenia, which may arise from applying too much compression on a bone fixation plate. Embedding such sensors onto fixation plates could aid in the adoption of the...
technology, as there would be fewer modifications to the surgical techniques compared to external sensors that are removed in the end of the operation.

2.2. Bioactive Glass-Based Wireless Resonance Sensors. Bioresorbable glasses and ceramics offer under-represented yet fascinating substrate materials for wireless resonance sensors. Invented by Larry Hench, bioactive glasses can create a strong bonding interface with bone or even with soft tissues by releasing soluble ions upon immersion in water.27 These ions stimulate osteogenic cells and contribute to the formation of a bonelike apatite layer onto the glass surface, due to which such glasses are clinically used for example as synthetic bone grafts. The dissolution kinetics of bioactive glasses can be adjusted by their composition, and certain glass compositions are fully bioresorbable. Even though fast dissolving borate glasses have been proposed as sensor substrates, the interest toward bioactive glasses in biodegradable electronics has been scarce.28,29 Herein, we present the first wirelessly measured bioactive glass-based resonance sensor with S53P4 (Bonalvite) glass disc substrates, dissolvable Mg conductors, and spin-coated bioresorbable polymer dielectric layer.

A simplified electrical design and the schematic structure of the bioactive glass-based resonance sensor are presented in Figure 2a,b. The sensor architecture consisted of spin-coated PDTEC dielectric layers (~1 μm) and Mg conductors (7.5 μm) that were e-beam evaporated through 3D-printed stencil masks. Figure 2c presents the measured graphs of the impedance spectrum with increasing reading distances. Because no batteries are needed in the sensors, the most critical limiting factor for their miniaturization is the size of the coil, which has a strong effect on the reading distance of the device.37 The bioactive glass-based sensor showed a detectable but attenuated resonance peak (~44 MHz) at a distance of 8 mm, which is in line with the previously reported 14 mm reading distance for the Mg pressure sensors with larger coils.31

For comparison, the reading distance for nondegradable intraocular resonance pressure sensors with a diameter of 6 mm have been reported at 30 mm already in 1967 by Collins.30 A more recent example with 4 × 4 mm² pressure sensors reached a 15 mm reading distance by using Cu conductors.31

The uncertainty in detecting the resonance frequency from the attenuated peaks increased along with the increasing reading distance (Figure 2d). In addition, the determined resonance frequency changed with the increasing reading distance due to parasitic capacitances between the sensor and the reader coil.37 This feature might require compensation in practical applications.

One potential application for passive resonance sensors is monitoring complex permittivity changes in their close proximity.35,36 This feature is attributed to the electrical field in the capacitor, which partly reaches the environment of the sensor. Changes in the permittivity of the immediate sensor environment affect its capacitance and thus shift the resonance frequency of the device. For example, nondegradable sensors with interdigital capacitors (finger electrodes) as sensing elements have been earlier tested for monitoring the degradation behavior of bioresorbable polymers as well as for distinguishing different tissues.35,36 The finger electrodes offer the possibility to confine most of the interactions between the electrical field and the sensor surroundings near these electrodes.34

The fabricated bioactive glass-based resonance sensors were used to demonstrate the complex permittivity sensing capability by distinguishing different media in which the sensor was embedded (Figure 2f). The sensors were first coated with nondegradable parylene (13 μm) to eliminate the effect of Mg corrosion during the tests. The standard deviations of the estimated resonance frequencies (n = 200) in air, ethanol, and di-H₂O were 9.7, 9.5, and 9.4 kHz, respectively. Correspondingly, those of Sørensen buffer and Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) with high glucose were 10.8 and 10.7 kHz. The ~15% higher standard deviations in Sørensen or DMEM solutions compared to di-H₂O can be explained by their ionic content. In another test, a nonlinear resonance frequency decrease was observed as saline was gradually added into di-H₂O where the sensor was immersed (Figure 2g). The result was caused by the capacitance increase originating from the addition of sodium and chloride ions.

A highly desirable potential application (Figure 2e) for resonance sensors made from bioactive glass would be monitoring the formation of the apatite layer and the subsequent bonding of bone onto the glass. The sensors could be integrated onto bioactive glass plates that have been clinically used for repairing orbital floor fractures and nasal septal perforations.37 A similar sensing method could be of benefit also in nondegradable implants like total hip prostheses. This kind of quick osseointegration measurement could complement or even replace conventional imaging procedures and aid in personalizing rehabilitation.

To conclude, glass substrates contain several advantages over polymeric alternatives, such as better compatibility with conventional microfabrication processes.29 For example, bioactive glasses tolerate solvents like acetone and elevated temperatures that may be required for lithography processes utilizing photoresist masks. A recent study demonstrated dry fabrication methods for Mg microcoils and heaters on float glass substrates, requiring only four steps: Mg deposition, photolithography for pattern definition, ion beam etching of Mg, and resist stripping with oxygen plasma and acetone.38

This is one example of methods that could be useful with bioactive glass substrates for fabricating devices with detailed conductor patterns.

2.3. Fabrication and Properties of Bioresorbable Conductor Metals. The prevalent bioresorbable conductor materials are metals such as Mg, Zn, Mo, iron (Fe), and tungsten (W), out of which all but W are dietary minerals. Yin et al. have reported the electrical and corrosion properties of various dissolvable metal thin films (40–300 nm) because their properties might be very different compared to bulk materials.39 Nevertheless, more studies are needed to cover the relevant behavior of bioresorbable metal films, especially in radio-frequency (RF) applications where the films are typically measured in micrometers rather than nanometers and their electrical properties may be affected by the skin effect. In this section, the fabrication, structure, electrical properties, and corrosion behavior of a few micrometers thick Mg and Zn thin films are discussed, including the drastic effect of different corrosion test conditions. In addition, alternative fabrication methods for bioresorbable conductors are briefly summarized.

In LC circuits, lower electrical resistance of the inductor coil generally enables higher quality resonators with longer reading distances.2 However, at high frequencies, the skin effect may restrict the current flow on the surface of the conductors.40 For
instance, pure Fe has been considered as a poor conductor choice for LC resonators due to its low skin depth.\textsuperscript{15,41} Table S1 summarizes the skin depths of Mg, Zn, Fe, and Mo at different frequencies. The recommended maximum conductor thickness in RF applications is about $3\text{−}5$ times the skin depth because conductors much thicker than this do not result in significant increases in the Q-factor.\textsuperscript{42}

Physical vapor deposition (PVD) methods are attractive for fabricating conductors because they can be utilized without transfer printing, and the techniques can be readily applied onto many types of substrates. In this study, e-beam evaporation was used for Mg deposition, as it is known to be a capable method for producing Mg films at the micrometer scale.\textsuperscript{43−45} On the contrary, the Zn films were magnetron sputtered because the high vapor pressure of Zn results easily

---

**Figure 3.** (a) Structure of the evaporated Mg ($7.5\, \mu m$) and sputtered Zn films ($\sim4\, \mu m$) illustrated by FIB-SEM, FE-SEM, and AFM techniques. White scale bars $1\, \mu m$. (b) Cross-sectional profiles of the $1.7\, \mu m$ thick Mg and Zn films. (c) Electrical resistances of the $\sim1\, mm$ wide Mg and Zn films given as mean ± standard deviation ($n = 6$). (d) Photographs of the metal films in cell culture medium at $+37\, ^\circ C$ in $5\% C O_2$. 
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in undesirable wall deposits in evaporation systems. Evaporated Mg (7.5 μm) and sputtered Zn (~4 μm) films were first deposited on bioresorbable PDTEC substrates to study the morphology of the conductors. A prolonged Zn sputtering process was noticed to heat the PDTEC substrates over their glass transition temperature (Tg = 99 °C), which impaired obtaining thicker Zn films. In addition, both metals (1.7 μm) were deposited on glass substrates to compare their electrical characteristics and corrosion rates with minimal influence of the substrate.

The Mg film surface morphology (Figure 3a) consisted of similar facets as described recently with sputtered Mg. The Zn film surfaces comprised individual grains together with larger clusters. The cross-sectional focused ion-beam SEM (FIB-SEM) images revealed that the Mg films had a coarse columnar structure compared to the denser structure of Zn. The structure could explain why the Zn films (1.7 μm) showed comparable or even slightly lower electrical resistances compared to Mg films of same thickness (Figure 3c). Comparing the approximated mean bulk resistivity of the 1.7 μm thick sputtered Zn films (180 nΩ-m) with that of the evaporated Mg films (200 nΩ-m) of similar thickness demonstrates that the fabrication method dominated the electrical properties of the films over intrinsic material properties. This can be concluded by comparing literature bulk resistivity values, which suggest that Zn (59 nΩ-m) should be less conductive than Mg (44 nΩ-m). The results illustrate the differences between thin films and bulk materials, which should be taken into account when choosing the conductor materials and their fabrication method.

The sharper profile of the Mg conductors (Figure 3b) originated from the directional nature of evaporation, where the material was deposited in a line of sight manner in contrast to more nondirectional sputtering, which resulted in an arched Zn film contour. The sputtering masks should thus be in a close contact with the substrate, as a short circuit might otherwise form between the coil turns. The disadvantages of fabricating the conductor patterns by using PVD together with shadow masks are related to limited resolution and the required vacuum conditions. Higher precision can be achieved by using lithographic masks, but transfer printing from a provisional substrate is often needed because most bioresorbable polymers do not tolerate the associated chemicals and temperatures. Alternative fabrication techniques include approaches, where patterned metal films are formed by micromachining or wet etching of metal foils. The advantage of these top-down methods is the easiness in obtaining thicker films compared to PVD or most other bottom-up processes. On the other hand, several tens of micrometers thick Mg and Zn microstructures have been obtained by electrophoretic deposition of the conductors onto a temporary substrate and subsequently transferring them onto bioresorbable substrates. Further approaches include conductive ink printing methods that do not require vacuum technology and would thus be beneficial for scaling the manufacturing processes.

Yin et al. concluded that thin films of Mg, Mg alloy AZ31B, and Zn degrade significantly faster compared to Fe, Mo, or W. Figure 3d elucidates the degradation rate differences of Mg and Zn films (1.7 μm) in cell culture medium (MEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% antibiotics) at +37 °C with 5% CO2 supply, which is the recommended practice for in vitro corrosion testing of magnesium, as stated by Gonzalez et al. in their position paper. Mg started to visibly degrade immediately upon immersion with corrosion pits present after 3 h, and most of the film dissolved within the first 24 h. Testing of thicker Mg films (7.5 μm) revealed the dramatic difference in the degradation behavior between different conditions (Figure S2), which should be recognized when studying unprotected bioresorbable metal films. For example, the Mg samples in diH2O and Sörensen buffer did not show similar pitting corrosion as those immersed in cell medium. Furthermore, mere addition of 5% CO2 accelerated the corrosion of the films in diH2O. The Sörensen buffer resulted in a significantly faster degradation compared to cell culture medium, possibly due to lack of proteins and differences in the buffering system.

The Zn film surface appeared black, which may be caused by the observed Zn nanoclusters on top of the films. The dissolution of the Zn films was much slower compared to Mg, but Zn flakes detached from the film already during the first 24 h. The slower degradation rate could be a benefit in applications where a longer sensor lifetime is desired. The corrosion of bulk Zn and Mg in simulated body fluid (SBF, pH 7) has been estimated to occur at a pace of 50 and 220 μm year−1, respectively. Correspondingly, the dissolution rate for sputtered Zn thin films (300 nm) has been estimated at 120–170 nm day−1 (44–62 μm year−1) in diH2O, with an observation that the films lose their electrical conductivity 10 times faster than it takes for the thickness to decrease to zero. Thus, nonpassivated Mg and Zn thin films are not considered practical in bioresorbable electronics. Instead, slower dissolving Mo was suggested for applications where the electrodes are in direct contact with biological tissues.

### 2.4. Bioresorbable Conductor Metals in Passive Resonance Sensors

Most bioresorbable inductively coupled devices reported so far utilize Mg as the conductor material. On the contrary, the first fully biodegradable resonance pressure sensor presented by Luo et al. was based on 50 μm thick electroplated Zn films on top of a 5–10 μm Fe layer. In this section, the performances of Mg- and Zn-based pressure sensors are compared. The schematic structure of the sensors is presented in Figure 1a with Mg conductors. Furthermore, the properties of wireless readable compression sensors with thick Mo wire (200 μm) conductors around polymeric screws are presented.

The results indicate that magnetron sputtered Zn (~4 μm) was sufficient for producing wireless pressure sensors with similar, virtually linear pressure responses compared to sensors made from 7.5 μm thick Mg (Table 1). However, a practical
reading distance for the Zn pressure sensors was only about 6 mm (Figure 4d), whereas the Mg pressure sensors were relatively effortlessly readable at a distance of 10 mm. The poorer performance of the Zn pressure sensors was likely caused by their lower conductor thickness, which resulted in a higher electrical resistance. Another potential reason is the arched cross-sectional profile of the Zn films, which may have decreased the quality of the resonance sensor due to increased parasitic capacitances or resistances.

The resonance peak of the Zn-based sensors attenuated so considerably in Sørensen buffer solution that their resonance frequency was difficult to detect under immersion. Along with different corrosion behavior, this is another important reason why in vitro testing of bioresorbable LC circuits should not be performed in dH2O. Photographs illustrating the differences in sensor degradation are presented in Figure S3.

Although planar inductor coils are often used for flat resonance sensor architectures, orthopedic screws facilitate the implementation of solenoidal coil-based resonators. A similar idea has been demonstrated with nondegradable materials by embedding an LC circuit-based temperature sensor inside an interference screw for early detection of infections. In our study, a resonance compression sensor constructed by using parylene-insulated Mo wire (diameter 200 μm) is presented in Figure 4e. A reference sensor was fabricated by using commercial insulated Cu wire (diameter 180 μm).

The capacitor in the compression sensor composed of two turns of a double-stranded wire that was coiled along the screw threads, whereas the inductor coil was formed from a single Mo wire strand. Compressing the screw brings the turns between the wirings closer in both the inductor coil and the capacitor wirings, which leads to increased capacitances in the structure, which is noticed as a decreasing resonance frequency shift. For example, an axial compressive strain of 0.7% decreased the resonance frequency from 80.9 to 80.1 MHz with a further drop to 79.6 MHz at a strain of 1.4% (Figure 4g). This sensing capability could be applied for monitoring the dimensional changes in self-reinforced bioresorbable polymer screws, which are expected to swell and shorten 1−2% after implantation, thereby allowing improved compression at the bone fracture line.89
The resonance peak of the Mo-based device was noticed to be comparable to the Cu reference sensor (Figure 4f). The Mo-based LC resonator was effortlessly readable with 10 mm of glass between the reader coil and the resonator. In this measurement setup, the tip of the screw (Figure 4e) increased the actual reading distance by 5 mm. The inductance and capacitance of the resonator can be increased by adding more windings to the coil or the capacitor, respectively. Based on a preliminary immersion test using Sörensen buffer solution (37 °C), uncoated Mo wires retained their electrical conductivity for ∼4 weeks, after which the resistance started to increase (Figure S4). The first wire broke after 14 weeks of immersion. A previous immersion testing of Mo wire (10 μm) in bovine serum has shown only a minor resistance increase during the 16 day test period. These findings demonstrate the potential of Mo in applications where a slow conductor degradation is preferred.

2.5. Encapsulation Layers. Achieving clinically relevant stable operation times of multiple days or weeks remains a challenge with implantable bioresorbable sensors. The dissolution or fracture of the conductors are among the main reasons for an untimely device failure along with disintegration of the device structure. Water penetration into the device is a common denominator for all of these breakdown mechanisms, for why separate water barrier layers have been studied to improve the stability of bioresorbable sensors. Kang et al. have studied different encapsulation layers and their combinations on silicon wafers, suggesting that some pinholes and other defects may be expected with PECVD deposited films despite their conformal nature, whereas ALD provides a means for reducing the amount of defects.

Conventional PECVD processes are usually operated at temperatures around 250–350 °C, even though room temperature processes have been also developed. Many ALD processes can be performed at lower temperatures, facilitating the use of polymeric substrates that typically require temperatures below 100 °C. However, there are only few studies where ALD coatings have been performed on biodegradable polymer substrates. In this study, the protective effect of ALD TiO₂ on a Mg layer (7.5 μm) evaporated onto bioresorbable PLDLA 96/4 substrates was studied. TiO₂ was chosen as the coating material due to its excellent water corrosion resistance properties. The samples with different amounts (0, 150, 250, and 500) of ALD process cycles and thus different TiO₂ thicknesses (0, 15, 25, and 50 nm, respectively) were immersed in Sörensen buffer. The coatings were peeled off from the ends of the substrate (Figure S5) by using tape to enable water diffusion into the polymer, while keeping the coating on top of the Mg patterns intact. This simulated a realistic scenario, where the surgical insertion of an ALD coated implant would be difficult to perform without damaging the coating.

The noncoated Mg pattern disintegrated within the first minutes and was almost fully dissolved after 6 h of immersion (Figure 5). The ALD-coated Mg samples showed reduced
dissolution rates with increasing TiO₂ thicknesses, where the thickest coating (~50 nm) resulted in an intact Mg pattern after 2 days of immersion. However, a black Mg surface layer possibly consisting of Mg(OH)₂ was noticed earlier as a sign of corrosion, as described in Mg corrosion studies performed in atmospheric conditions.²⁰ The corrosion could have been caused by the equilibrium water uptake into the PLDLA 96/4 substrates. Based on previous studies, using composite coatings formed from two or more different coating materials might provide improved water barrier properties.⁶¹,⁶²,⁷⁰ Nonetheless, more studies are needed using bioresorbable substrates, as they significantly contribute to the functionality of the protective layer. For example, any dimensional changes in the substrates may potentially crack the coatings, as shown with an LC resonator formed using ALD-coated polymer substrates (Figure S6). Hence, in this kind of fully immersed and highly delicate sensor applications, ALD coatings might be more compatible with rigid substrates such as bioactive glasses. Inorganic coatings on rigid nondegradable wafers have been previously discussed in the literature.⁶¹,⁶²

To avoid the challenges related to mechanical fragility of inorganic coatings, natural waxes have been described as a potential biodegradable encapsulation material option.⁵¹,⁷¹ The most promising reported candidate was candelilla wax that showed hardly any water uptake during the first 2 weeks of immersion. A 300 μm thick wax layer helped Mg patterns retain their conductivity for more than 7 days in phosphate buffer solution (PBS).⁷¹ Further advances have been recently reported by blending different waxes. The combination of transfer printed monocrystalline silicon layers together with edge-sealing wax barriers enabled accurate ICP measurements in rats for up to 3 weeks using a wired bioresorbable sensor.⁷² Furthermore, recent advances in gas-phase polymerization of hydrolytically degradable polymers could in the future provide bioresorbable conformal coatings similar to nondegradable parylene, which has already been utilized in passivation coatings of implanted devices.⁷³

A common scheme for protecting bioresorbable circuitry is to use sensor substrates as encapsulation layers. Most of the reported bioresorbable polymers are bulk-degrading, which means that water diffuses into the polymer matrix at a faster speed than the polymer erodes.⁷⁴ For example, the equilibrium water uptake of immobilized parylenes is around 1 wt %.⁶⁶ Even such a small amount of water leads to corrosion of the metal conductors over time and may affect the dimensional properties of the polymer. One interesting possibility to circumvent this problem is to use surface-eroding polymers like poly(ortho esters) or poly-anhydrides, where water diffusion into the polymer matrix is slower than the erosion rate of the material.⁷⁴ As an example, poly-anhydride coating (120 μm) has been shown to enable a stable operation of 3 days for a silicon semiconductor-based pressure sensor.¹⁰ Nevertheless, the effects of surface-eroding substrates on wireless LC circuit-based sensors remains to be tested.

3. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

3.1. Device Fabrication. The Mg and Zn pressure sensors whose structure was illustrated in Figure 1a were fabricated by extruding PDTEC powder (Integra LifeSciences, Princeton, NJ) and compression-molding pieces of the extruded rod into plates (430 μm). PDTEC spacers (50–70 μm) were compression-molded and laser-cut as described earlier.¹⁶ Compression-molded PCL layers (15–20 μm) were melted onto both sides of the spacer, and excessive PCL was removed from the laser-cut holes. E-beam-evaporated Mg (7.5 μm) or magnetron-sputtered Zn (4 μm) conductors were deposited onto the PDTEC substrates through laser-cut steel masks, after which the substrates were attached onto the spacer by heating the structure to 80 °C and using the molten PCL layers as an adhesive. The sides of the sensors were finally heat sealed (Hawo HPL-10X, Obrigheim, Germany) and trimmed with scissors to yield sensors sized approximately 1 mm by 25 mm by 35 mm. A simplified electrical model of a resonator based on a similar pattern has been reported earlier.⁷⁵

The wireless compression sensors (Figure 4e) based on parylene-coated Mo wire (200 μm) conductors were fabricated by extruding PLDLA 96/4 into a rod (φ = 10 mm), threading the rod, and cutting it into billets. The Mo wire was coated with nondegradable parylene C (10 μm; Galantis Srl, Italy) to prevent short circuit. Two holes were drilled through each billet to provide electrical vias for the construct and to aid in fixing the wire to the threaded billet. A solenoidal coil was formed by winding Mo wire around the billet (5.5 turns). The capacitor was formed by coiling double-stranded wire along the threads (2 turns). The loose double-stranded wire ending was attached to the billet by using molten PCL as an adhesive. Reference resonators were fabricated in a similar manner using commercial enamel insulated Cu wire (180 μm).

The bioactive glass resonance sensors (Figure 2b) were made from silicate SS3P4 glass that was prepared by a conventional glass melting method in a platinum crucible. The nominal oxide composition of SS3P4 is 53 wt % SiO₂, 23 wt % Na₂O, 20 wt % CaO, and 4 wt % P₂O₅. In this study, proportional amounts of SiO₂, CaCO₃, Na₂CO₃, and CaHPO₄·2H₂O raw materials were used. The mixture was melted at 1450 °C, cast and quenched in a prewarmed (350 °C) graphite mold, and annealed at 520 °C for 5 h. The resulting SS3P4 bioactive glass rods (φ = 14 mm) were sliced into discs (h = 2 mm) which were polished. E-beam-evaporated Mg (7.5 μm) formed the first conductor layer, after which PDTEC solution (12%) in cyclohexanone (VWR Chemicals, Fontenay-sous-Bois, France) was spin-coated onto the discs. 3D-printed masks were used to define the Mg patterns. Another Mg layer (7.5 μm) was evaporated onto the dielectric PDTEC layer to complete the resonator. The resonators were finally parylene coated (15 μm) to enable studying the resonator behavior in aqueous conditions without constraints related to Mg corrosion.

3.2. Physical Vapor Deposition. E-beam evaporation of Mg granules (99.99%; G-materials, Germany) was performed at less than 7 × 10⁻⁶ Torr with substrate rotation. The source-to-substrate distance was 31 cm. The Zn films were deposited from a Zn target (99.99% Zn; Kurt J. Lesker Company, Hasting, UK) by using direct current (DC) magnetron sputtering. The vacuum level was 5 × 10⁻⁶ Torr with a working Ar gas pressure of 10 mTorr. The sputtering current and voltage were 1 A and 560 V, respectively. The sputtering target-to-substrate distance was 10.5 cm. No substrate rotation was used.

3.3. Thin Film Characterization. The cross-sectional structure of the Mg (7.5 μm) and Zn (4 μm) films on bioresorbable PDTEC substrates was analyzed with a scanning electron microscope (SEM) combined with focused ion beam milling (FIB-SEM; Zeiss CrossBeam 540) from a working distance of 5.2 mm with an electron high tension (EHT) of 3.0 kV. A platinum cover was applied onto the target films before gallium ion milling. The surface of the metal films was imaged with a high-resolution field emission SEM (FESEM; Zeiss UltraPlus) from a working distance of 5.0 ± 0.2 mm and with an EHT of 1.5 kV. The surface topography of the metal films was further characterized by using a noncontact atomic force microscope (AFM; XE-100, Park Systems Inc., USA) equipped with silicon probes (ACTa, Applied NanoStructures Inc., USA). The imaged area with the AFM was 5 × 5 μm².

The height and the cross-sectional profile of the Mg and Zn films (1.7 μm) on glass slides (Figure 3b) was measured by using a mechanical profiler (Dektak XT Stylus, Bruker Corporation). The electrical resistance (Ω/cm) of these films was calculated by dividing the measured resistance of the deposited 4-wire patterns (n = 6) by the distance between the inner lines of the pattern (Figure 3c). Mean
The sensors were taped onto the bottom of a glass bottle, located inside an oven and connected to the impedance analyzer with a cable. The sensors were measured using a similar setup as described previously, where a single-turn copper reader coil (7.5 μm) was immersed in similar cell culture conditions as well as in 25 mL of di-H2O and Sörensen buffer (+37 °C) to compare different corrosive environments (Figure S2).

### 3.4. Wireless Measurements

The reading distance of all LC circuit-based sensors was investigated by using the same measurement setup, which included a double-turn square reader coil (diameter about 20 mm) on a printed circuit board (PCB). The reading distance was stepwise increased by adding 1 mm glass slides between the reader coil and the sensor. After each 1 mm increment, the real part of the impedance of the reader coil was measured with an impedance analyzer (Agilent 4396B). Furthermore, the miniaturized bioactive glass-based sensor was measured 100 times at each discrete distance to obtain the mean and standard deviation of the resonance frequency, similarly to our previous Mg pressure sensor.

The other wireless measurements were performed by using setups that were constructed on occasion depending on the type of measurement. The reader coils were chosen to increase the coupling coefficients between the sensors and the reader coils. The Mg and Zn pressure sensors were measured using a similar setup as described earlier, where a single-turn copper reader coil (φ = 33 mm) was located inside an oven and connected to the impedance analyzer with a cable. The sensors were taped onto the bottom of a glass bottle, which was pressurized from 0 to 200 mmHg applied pressure in increments of 20 mmHg by using a manual pressure regulator connected to a pressure calibrator. The reading distance through the glass bottle was ~6 mm. The immersion tests were performed by pouring 100 mL of buffer solution into the bottle and measuring the resonance frequency every 15 min. In addition, the pressure response of the sensor was measured during immersion at predetermined time points.

The wireless compression sensor built onto a PLDLA 96/4 screw was axially compressed in a vise by using an electrically insulating Teflon block between the reader coil and the metallic vise jaw. As opposed to other measurements that were performed with an impedance analyzer, the resonance frequency of the compression sensor was determined by using an in-house built portable reader device. The reader coil was a single turn aluminum coil with a diameter of 30 mm on a poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET) sheet. The measurements were performed by using the phase-dip method, where the resonance frequency was the estimated minimum value in the phase of impedance. The compression was measured by using a digital caliper.

The parylene-coated bioactive glass resonance sensors were measured through a 1 mm thick Petri dish by using the double-turn rectangular reader coil. The resonators were first immersed in di-H2O and measured, after which 9% NaCl solution was stepwise added until the solution reached a NaCl concentration of 4.5%. After each addition of the NaCl solution, the mixture was gently suspended using a pipet to ensure that the solution was homogeneous. The response of the sensor was recorded after each NaCl addition step. To test the effect of the immersion media onto the sensor, 200 measurement samples were recorded in air and under immersion in di-H2O, Sörensen phosphate buffer, DMEM with high glucose (Sigma-Aldrich, United Kingdom), and 96% ethanol (VWR Chemicals). The sensor was rinsed with di-H2O and tapped dry with tissue paper between each solution.

### 3.5. Water Uptake Testing of Polymers

The water uptake properties of PDTEC were evaluated by using samples (n = 5) with a size of 50 × 10 × 0.4 mm³. The samples were dried in a vacuum, weighed, and then immersed in Sörensen buffer solution at 37 °C. At predetermined time points, the samples were gently wiped with tissue paper and weighed. The wet weights were compared to the initial dry weight. After 100 days of immersion, the hydrolysis was terminated by rinsing the samples with di-H2O, drying them thoroughly in a vacuum, and weighing to ensure that no mass loss had occurred.

### 3.6. Mechanical Testing

Flexural characteristics of PDTEC were determined by testing the stress-strain and the stress relaxation behavior of the material with an Instron Electropuls E1000 testing machine (High Wycombe, UK) equipped with a 50 N load cell. The span length of the three-point bending setup was 30 mm, and the sample size was 50 × 10 × 0.9 mm³. The stress-strain behavior of samples (n = 3) was obtained by bending the samples with a 5 mm/min crosshead speed until 5% flexure strain was reached. The tests were performed in ambient laboratory conditions as well as in aqueous conditions (Figure 1f) after different immersion times.

Young’s moduli were calculated from the linear portion of the acquired stress-strain curves. The stress relaxation behavior of the PDTEC samples (n = 3) was tested under immersion (Sörensen buffer, +37 °C) by bending the sample rapidly to 2 mm displacement and holding it for 3 h. The stress needed to hold the displacement was recorded with respect to time.

### 3.7. ALD Coating

The 7.5 μm thick Mg patterns were e-beam evaporated onto compression-molded PLDLA 96/4 substrates (0.4 mm × 30 mm × 40 mm). Thereafter, ALD TiO₂ coatings were deposited onto the metalized substrates at 60 °C by using a Beneq TFS200 ALD reactor. ALD TiO₂ films were grown from titanium tetrachloride (TiCl₄) (Sigma-Aldrich) and water, both vaporized from the source at 20 °C. One deposition cycle for TiO₂ consisted of a 0.5 s TiCl₄ pulse, a 20 s N₂ purge, a 0.5 s water pulse, and a 20 s N₂ purge. The ALD TiO₂ film thickness was varied by alternating the number of ALD cycles. The amount of cycles was 150, 250, and 500. Each ALD cycle corresponded to about 1 Å. The film thicknesses were measured from silicon (100) witness pieces by using spectroscopic ellipsometry (J.A. Woollam Co., Inc., model M-2000F).

### 4. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE CONSIDERATIONS

This study summarizes the prospects and caveats in the assembly, testing, and performance of wireless inductor–capacitor (LC) resonance sensors fabricated by using biodegradable materials, as well as outlines several potential orthopedic applications for such devices. The reported Mg-based pressure sensors were wirelessly readable and pressure responsive for 10 days in aqueous conditions, but water diffusion into the bulk-degrading polymer substrates resulted in sensitivity and baseline resonance frequency drifting. Using surface-erosing materials or separate encapsulation layers to hinder water diffusion into the substrates should thereby be considered to enable stable operation during the functional lifetime of the sensor. In our opinion, bioactive glasses possess tremendous undiscovered potential in biodegradable sensors, including possible improvements in their stability. Moreover, these glasses tolerate conventional microfabrication techniques better than most biodegradable polymers, which provides prospective opportunities for device fabrication and assembly. The reported resonance sensors operate at distances that are sufficient for applications close beneath the skin. Longer reading distances could be achieved for instance by using thicker conductors or developing biodegradable materials with improved electrical conductivities. However, depending on the material, thick films may be difficult to produce by physical vapor deposition (PVD), and the obtained thin film conductivities are often lower than those of the bulk materials. Thus, PVD might not be the ideal conductor fabrication method for maximizing the reading distances. Although Mg is the most studied biodegradable metal with the highest conductivity in this material group, Zn and Mo provide slower...
corroding options whose potential should be more thoroughly investigated.
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