Presence of Vasculature Results in Faster Insulin Response in Adipocytes in Novel In Vitro Vascularized Adipose Tissue Model
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Abstract

Besides being an energy store, adipose tissue is an endocrine organ closely associated with the vascular system. Human relevant in vitro models are needed to study adipose tissue and related diseases. Vasculature plays a central role in the development and inhibition of adipose tissue-related diseases.

Here, an adipocyte culture was established from hASC (human adipose stromal cells), and a vascularized adipose tissue model was established from hASC and HUVEC (human umbilical cord vein endothelial cells) co-culture, utilizing the same differentiation procedure. Comparing these models allowed analysis of the effect of vascularization on adipocytes. Both models were characterized on gene (adipocyte and vasculature-related), protein (von Willebrand factor, collagen IV, CD140b and CD144, secretion of leptin, adiponectin and FABP4), and functional (triglyceride accumulation, glucose uptake, and lipolysis) levels. Additionally, the vascularized adipose tissue model was exposed to chemicals with known effects on adipogenesis and angiogenesis (rosiglitazone, chlorpyrifos, prochloraz, mancozeb, butylparaben, 15-deoxy-
Δ12,14-prostaglandin J2, bisphenol A, bis-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate, tributyltin chloride) to compare their effects to the literature. The in vitro vascularized adipose tissue model demonstrated the presence of functional adipocytes and an extensive vascular network, displaying relevant gene and protein markers. Insulin induced glucose uptake, inhibited lipolysis, and influenced vasculature-related genes. The presence of vasculature led to a faster lipolysis inhibition by insulin and modulated responses to chemicals. This novel, thoroughly characterized, vascularized adipose tissue model is a promising new tool for studying adipose tissue as well as the effects of chemicals on adipogenesis and angiogenesis in adipose tissue.

1 Introduction

As obesity, both in adults and children, has reached epidemic proportions globally, the prevalence of associated diseases has increased correspondingly. In addition to type 2 diabetes, obesity and overweight are associated with cancer, sleep apnea, asthma, degenerative joint disease, hypertension, renal failure, stroke, and cardiovascular disease (Switzer et al., 2013; van Baak, 2013; Pi-Sunyer, 2009).

In addition to storing energy, adipose tissue is a dynamic endocrine organ secreting many different bioactive factors that control insulin sensitivity, energy metabolism, immune responses, and cardiovascular homeostasis (Gu and Xu, 2013; Kershaw and Flier, 2004). Adipose tissue is also one of the most vascularized tissues in the body (Cao, 2013). The vasculature transports oxygen, nutrients, and waste, and also produces local growth factors and cytokines that communicate with adipose tissue (Cao, 2014). In turn, adipose tissue modulates the vasculature by secreting both pro- and anti-angiogenic factors (Cao, 2014; Christiaens and Lijnen, 2010). Due to these characteristics of adipose tissue, chemicals affecting it may cause a wide variety of adverse health effects throughout the body.

A wide variety of animal models, including fruit flies, dogs, cats, pigs, rabbits, hamsters, squirrels, mice, rats, and primates, have been used to study adipose tissue (Lai et al., 2014). Although animal models contain all components of the in vivo adipose tissue, the results obtained with animal models translate poorly to humans (Lai et al., 2014; Bergen and Mersmann, 2005; Chandrasekera and Pippin, 2014), especially as the complex pathways of lipid metabolism are mostly species-specific...
Adipogenic cocktail treatments, which include insulin, isobutylmethylxanthine, biotin, pantothenate, dexamethasone, and thiazolidinediones, have been problematic in developing vascularized adipose tissue as they have multiple negative effects on endothelial cells, including delayed growth (Kang et al., 2009). Volz et al. (2018) developed an elegant, serum-free defined medium for in vitro vascularized adipose tissue, but the need to pre-differentiate the adipocytes prior to combining the endothelial cells into the culture hampers its usability and lengthens the culture time.

In the present study, a novel vascularized human adipose tissue model was established. This model is based on protocols of our previously established insulin-sensitive adipocytes (Huttala et al., 2016) and vascularized adipose tissue model (Huttala et al., 2018). All these models utilize adipose tissue extract (ATE), which is a natural adipogenesis inducer that also enables angiogenesis (Sarkanen et al., 2012a). The novel vascularized adipose tissue model, established from human adipose stromal cells (hASC) and human umbilical cord vein endothelial cell (HUVEC) co-culture, was compared to adipocyte culture established from hASC, using the same differentiation procedure. The use of the same differentiation procedure for both models provides a reliable model pair to study the effect of vasculature on adipocytes. Using the vascularized adipose tissue model, the effects of chemicals on both angiogenesis and adipogenesis can be investigated simultaneously.

In order to ensure that the vascularized adipose tissue model is suitable for human adipose tissue research, it was characterized comprehensively. The gene expression of markers of adipocyte tissue and vasculature, secretion of adipokines known to be produced in vivo white adipose tissue (leptin, FABP4, and adiponectin), accumulation of triglycerides, insulin responses (inhibition of lipolysis and induction of glucose uptake), and vascular formation were investigated. In addition, the function of the vascularized adipose tissue model was confirmed by challenging it with reference chemicals that have known effects on adipogenesis and adipose tissue angiogenesis. Nine chemicals (rosiglitazone, chlorpyrifos, prochloraz, mancozeb, butylparaben, 15-deoxy-Δ12,14-prostaglandin J2, bisphenol A, bis-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate, and tributyltin chloride) were used to test the adipogenic and angiogenic responses of the vascularized adipose tissue. Three of the chemicals (rosiglitazone, chlorpyrifos, and prochloraz) were employed on both the adipocyte culture and the vascularized adipose tissue model to determine the contribution of the vasculature to the chemicals’ effects.

**2 Materials and methods**

**Ethical considerations**

This in vitro study conforms to the ethical principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. Human adipose tissue samples were obtained from excess material of surgical operations, and human umbilical cords were received from caesarean sections with written informed consent at Tampere University Hospital, Tampere, Finland. The use of human adipose stromal cells (hASC) and human umbilical cord endothelial cells (HUVEC) was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Pirkanmaa Hospital District, Tampere, Finland (permit numbers: R15161 and R15033).

**Cells**

hASC used in the study were heterogeneous cell populations obtained by isolating the stromal vascular fraction cells from human adipose tissue. HUVEC used in the study were isolated from umbilical cords. hASC and HUVEC were isolated as described by Sarkanen et al. (2012b). Before cryopreservation, all cell batches were tested for mycoplasma using Mycoplasma kit (MycoplasmAlert® Detection Kit, Lonza Group LTD, Basel, Switzerland). Only Mycoplasma-free cell batches were used. hASC were characterized for markers CD73, CD90, and CD105 (BD biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) using a flow cytometer FACSCanto II (BD biosciences) as published previously (Huttala et al., 2015). hASC were expanded in hASC medium (Tab. 1) and HUVEC in Endothelial Cell Growth Medium-2 BulletKit (EGM-2, Lonza). In the co-cultures, hASC were at passage 2 and HUVEC at passage 4.

**Adipose tissue extract**

Adipose tissue extract (ATE) was produced as described previously (Huttala et al., 2018). Briefly, a human adipose tissue sample was manually cut into small pieces and incubated in DMEM/F12 (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) for 24 h in 37°C. The resulting extract was filtered through a 0.2 µm filter. The protein content of the extract was determined with Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions using bovine serum albumin (BSA) as a standard. Results were measured after 30 min incubation at 37°C at 562 nm with Varioskan™ Flash Multimode Reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

**Vascularized adipose tissue model**

The culturing scheme of the vascularized adipose tissue model can be seen in Table 2 and the different media compositions in Table 1. The undifferentiated control contained hASC and HUVEC cells cultured in basic media corresponding to the media used for differentiation (hASC medium corresponding to ATE medium, SFM corresponding to SM and MM). The adipocyte culture and the vascularized adipose tissue model were produced using a protocol published previously as “protocol 7” (Hutta-

**Abbreviations**

hASC, human adipose stromal cells; HUVEC, human umbilical cord vein endothelial cells; EC50, half maximal effective concentration; ATE, adipose tissue extract; BSA, bovine serum albumin; ECM, extracellular matrix; ins, insulin; SFM, serum free medium; ATEm, ATE medium; SM, stimulation medium; MM, maintenance medium (SM without insulin and troglitazone)
vascularized adipose tissue model, the HUVEC (4400 cells/cm²) were plated on day 7 by first removing 100 µl of culture media from the wells and then adding the HUVEC in 100 µl of EGM-2 (Lonza). Analyses were performed on day 14.

**Effect of chemicals on the properties and functions of the vascularized adipose tissue model**

Table 3 shows the chemicals used and their final concentrations in the test. The vascularized adipose tissue model was exposed to test chemicals on day 8 to investigate the effect of study chem-

**Tab. 1: List of cell culture media and their components and manufacturers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Content</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hASC medium</td>
<td></td>
<td>DMEM/F12</td>
<td>Gibco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10% human serum</td>
<td>PAA laboratories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 mM L-glutamine</td>
<td>Gibco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum free medium</td>
<td>SFM</td>
<td>DMEM/F12</td>
<td>Gibco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.56 mM L-glutamine</td>
<td>Gibco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 mM 3,3',5-triiodo-L-thyronine sodium salt</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ITSTM Premix:</td>
<td>BD biosciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- 1.15 µM: 6.65 µg/ml insulin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- 6.65 µg/ml transferrin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- 6.65 ng/ml selenious acid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1% bovine serum albumin (BSA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.8 mM sodium pyruvate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATE medium</td>
<td>ATEm</td>
<td>1800 µg/ml adipose tissue extract (ATE)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DMEM/F12</td>
<td>Gibco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10% human serum</td>
<td>PAA laboratories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 mM L-glutamine</td>
<td>Gibco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50 IU/ml penicillin/ 50 µg/ml streptomycin</td>
<td>Gibco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulation medium</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td>Serum free medium supplemented with 200 µg/ml ascorbic acid</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 µg/ml heparin</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.5 µM: 2 µg/ml hydrocortisone/ cortisol</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10 ng/ml vascular endothelial growth factor</td>
<td>R&amp;D Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 ng/ml fibroblast growth factor β</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9 µM troglitazone</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance medium</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>DMEM/F12</td>
<td>Gibco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(stimulation medium without insulin</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.56 mM L-glutamine</td>
<td>Gibco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and troglitazone)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 mM 3,3',5-triiodo-L-thyronine sodium salt</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.65 µg/ml transferrin</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.65 ng/ml selenious acid</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1% BSA</td>
<td>PAA laboratories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.8 mM sodium pyruvate</td>
<td>Gibco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200 µg/ml ascorbic acid</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 µg/ml heparin</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 µg/ml hydrocortisone</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10 ng/ml VEGF</td>
<td>R&amp;D Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 ng/ml FGF2</td>
<td>R&amp;D Systems</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

la et al., 2016) with some modifications: one additional medium change and one additional macromolecule component (Ficoll-Paque Plus) were included. Day 0, hASC were plated in hASC medium at a density of 20,000 cells/cm². TrypLE™ Express (Gibco) was used for the detachment of cells. On days 1, 4, 8 and 11 the medium was changed according to Table 2. Total medium volume used per well in a 48 well plate was 500 µl. After each medium change macromolecule Ficoll-Paque Plus (GE Health care, Buckinghamshire, UK) was added into each well at a volume of 25 µl per 500 µl of culture medium. For the vascularized adipose tissue model, the HUVEC (4400 cells/cm²) were plated on day 7 by first removing 100 µl of culture media from the wells and then adding the HUVEC in 100 µl of EGM-2 (Lonza). Analyses were performed on day 14.
of vasculature were assessed. Two independent experiments with two replicates were performed. Half maximal effective concentration (EC50) values were calculated using GraphPad Prism 6.05 software.

**Mitochondrial activity, triglyceride accumulation, and protein secretion**

Mitochondrial activity, as an index of the number of living cells, was analyzed by WST-1 (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) after one-hour incubation. Absorbance was measured at 450 nm with a Varioskan Flash Multimode Reader (Thermo Fischer Scientific). The WST-1 results are shown without normalization to depict the cell numbers as well as mitochondrial activity.

Triglyceride accumulation in the cell cultures was measured with AdipoRed assay reagent (Lonza) after 10 min incubation subsequent to the WST-1 analysis. Fluorescence was measured with a Varioskan Flash Multimode Reader (Thermo Fischer Scientific), with excitation at 485 nm and emission at 572 nm. The amount of accumulated triglyceride per cell

---

**Tab. 2: Culture schedule for the undifferentiated control, the adipocyte culture, and the vascularized adipose tissue model**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Day 0</th>
<th>Day 1</th>
<th>Day 4</th>
<th>Day 7</th>
<th>Day 8</th>
<th>Day 11</th>
<th>Day 14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Undifferentiated cells</td>
<td>hASC seeded in hASC medium on 48-well plate: 20,000 cells/cm²</td>
<td>hASC medium + 25 µl Ficoll</td>
<td>SFM + 25 µl Ficoll</td>
<td>HUVEC in EGM-2: 4400 cells/cm²</td>
<td>SFM + 25 µl Ficoll</td>
<td>SFM + 25 µl Ficoll</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adipocyte culture</td>
<td>ATEm + 25 µl Ficoll</td>
<td>SM + 25 µl Ficoll</td>
<td>SM + 25 µl Ficoll</td>
<td>SM + 25 µl Ficoll</td>
<td>MM + 25 µl Ficoll</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascularized adipose tissue model</td>
<td>ATEm + 25 µl Ficoll</td>
<td>SM + 25 µl Ficoll</td>
<td>HUVEC in EGM-2: 4400 cells/cm²</td>
<td>SM + 25 µl Ficoll</td>
<td>MM + 25 µl Ficoll</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Analysis**

---

**Tab. 3: Chemicals used in this study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Product number/manufacturer</th>
<th>Purity</th>
<th>Concentrations tested</th>
<th>CAS number</th>
<th>Diluent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rosiglitazone</td>
<td>71740/Cayman Chemical</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>139.9; 44; 14; 4.4; 1.4; 0.44; 0.14; 0.044 µM</td>
<td>122320-73-4</td>
<td>0.5% DMSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>45395/SIGMA</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
<td>100; 31; 10; 3.1; 1; 0.3; 0.1; 0.03 µM</td>
<td>2921-88-2</td>
<td>0.5% ethanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prochloraz</td>
<td>45631/SIGMA</td>
<td>98.6%</td>
<td>5000; 1000; 500; 250; 50; 5; 0.5; 0.05 µM</td>
<td>67747-09-5</td>
<td>0.5% ethanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mancozeb</td>
<td>45553/SIGMA</td>
<td>97.5%</td>
<td>0.5; 0.16; 0.05; 0.016; 0.005; 0.0005; 0.00005 µg/ml</td>
<td>8018-01-7</td>
<td>medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butylparaben</td>
<td>PHR1022/SIGMA</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
<td>500; 158; 50; 15; 5; 0.5; 0.15 µg/ml</td>
<td>94-26-8</td>
<td>medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-Deoxy-Δ12,14-prostaglandin J2</td>
<td>D8440/SIGMA</td>
<td>98.2%</td>
<td>3.15; 1; 0.315; 0.1; 0.0315; 0.01; 0.003; 0.001 µg/ml</td>
<td>87893-55-8</td>
<td>0.5% DMSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisphenol A</td>
<td>239658/SIGMA</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
<td>99.4; 31.45; 9.95; 3.15; 0.5; 0.3; 0.0995; 0.03 µg/ml</td>
<td>80-05-7</td>
<td>0.5% DMSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis-(2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate</td>
<td>36735/SIGMA</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
<td>100; 31.6; 10; 31.7; 1; 0.32; 0.1; 0.0032 µg/ml</td>
<td>117-81-7</td>
<td>0.5% DMSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tributyltin chloride</td>
<td>45713/SIGMA</td>
<td>97.3%</td>
<td>325.5; 103; 32.5; 10.3; 3.25; 1.04; 0.33; 0.11 ng/ml</td>
<td>1461-22-9</td>
<td>0.5% DMSO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
was determined by dividing AdipoRed values by WST-1 values. To calculate the amount of lipid per cell, absorbance values were first normalized to the average of the absorbance values of negative samples of each run.

Secretion of proteins, i.e., leptin, adiponectin and FABP4, was compared between the adipocyte culture and the vascularized adipose tissue model. Medium samples were analyzed with ELISA kits for adiponectin, leptin and FABP4 (cat#DRP300, DLP00 and DFBP40, R&D Systems, Abingdon, UK) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Glucose uptake and lipolysis
The glucose uptake test was performed as follows: On day 14, DMEM/F12 (Gibco) was changed on the cells and incubated for 2 h at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Then cells were exposed to 100 nM or 500 nM insulin and incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂ for 30 min, and [³H]-2-deoxy-D-glucose (0.2 μCi/well, Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) was added for another 20 min. The cells were lysed using 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate. The radioactivity of the samples was measured by liquid scintillation counter Wallac1410 (Perkin Elmer) using OptiPhase HiSafe 2 oscillation liquid (Perkin Elmer).

Lipolysis, i.e., glycerol release, was analyzed using the EnzymeChrom Adipolysis Assay Kit (BioAssay Systems, Hayward, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. On day 14, DMEM/F12 (Gibco) was changed. After an hour, 2 μM isoproterenol was added and incubated for two hours after which 100 nM or 500 nM insulin (Sigma, Saint Louis, MO, USA) was added. After 15 min or 30 min incubation, medium was collected and fluorescence was measured with a Varioskan™ Flash Multimode Reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 530 nm and I₅₈₅ 585nm.

Visualization of vasculature by immunocytochemical staining
The immunocytochemical staining was performed as described earlier (Huttala et al., 2015) except that the fixative used here was 4% formaldehyde at room temperature for 20 min. Antibodies used were anti-von Willebrand factor IgG (produced in rabbit, Sigma), anti-collagen IV IgG (produced in mouse, Sigma), FITC-labeled goat polyclonal anti-mouse IgG (Sigma), and TRITC-labeled goat polyclonal anti-rabbit IgG (Sigma). In addition, for confocal imaging, anti-collagen IV (produced in rabbit, ab6586, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) used with secondary antibody TRITC-labeled goat polyclonal anti-rabbit IgG (Sigma), CD140b-PE (BD Biosciences, 558821), and CD144-FITC (BD Biosciences, 560411) were used. Nuclei were stained using FluoroShield™ with DAPI mounting medium (Sigma).

Microscopy and quantification of the vascular structures
Microscopic imaging was done with a Nikon Eclipse Ti-s inverted fluorescence microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) and Nikon digital sight DS-U2-camera (Nikon), and images were further processed with NIS Elements (Nikon), ZEN 2012 software (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Confocal imaging was done with LSM710 and with Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 inverted microscope (Carl Zeiss), and images were further processed with ZEN 2012 software (Carl Zeiss). All image types were further processed using Adobe Photoshop CS3 software (Adobe Systems Incorporated, San Jose, CA, USA).

In order to investigate the area of tubular networks, cell cultures were imaged with Cell-IQ (CM Technologies Oy, Tampere, Finland) as described earlier (Huttala et al., 2018). Briefly, culture plates were imaged with a 10x objective and 5x5 grid per well. Grids were stitched together with Cell-IQ Analyzer (CM Technologies Oy) and further analyzed with ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health (NIH), Bethesda, MD, USA). Images were converted to an 8-bit gray scale, the background was subtracted and binary threshold was adjusted to determine the total tubule area in pixels. The fluorescence from adipocytes was removed from the count by size and circularity restrictions. This analysis method does not take length, number, or branch points of structures into consideration. Hence, the analysis focuses only on changes in the area covered by the vascular network.

Gene expression studies
Expression of adipose tissue-related genes Glut1, PPARγ, leptin, Glut4, FABP4, PPARα, adiponectin, and PPARγ2 was studied as follows. Gene expression studies of insulin exposed cultures were performed by adding 500 μM insulin for 24 h into the culture prior to RNA isolation. RNA isolation was performed using the Purelink RNA mini kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and PCR was performed with iTaq universal SYBR green one-step kit (Biorad, Hercules, CA, USA), both according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Each reaction contained 30 ng of the template and 300 nM primer concentration. Primer sequences and annealing temperatures are shown in Table S1. A melt curve analysis was performed in each run. PCR amplification was performed with CFX96 Real-Time System (Biorad). Results were calculated with the ΔΔCt method 36B4 as housekeeping gene. Unexposed and insulin exposed samples were analyzed using undifferentiated cells as control. The chemical exposed cultures were compared to a corresponding vehicle control.

Fluidigm analysis of vessel related genes
The developmental stage of vasculature in the model was further analyzed with a panel of 30 genes by Fluidigm system. Genes analyzed were VEGFA, VEGFR-2/FLK-1, VEGFR-1/FLT-1, FGF2, FGFR2, EGFL7, EGFR, DLL4, Notch 1, Notch 4, AGGF1, PDGF, PDGFRb, ANGPT1, ANGPT2, TIE2, Dkk1, SMO, TGFβ, TGFBR1, CD34, VE-cadherin 5, GJA1, occludin, fibronectin, ITGA5, CSPG4 /NG2, S1PR1, eNOS, and HPRT. Results were produced as concentrations based on the standard curve and normalized to housekeeping gene GAPDH.

Integrity of the RNA samples was confirmed by using a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and the concentration of the samples was measured with a NanoDrop 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). cDNA synthesis was performed using Reverse Transcriptase Master mix (Fluidigm, South San Francisco, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.
instructions on a T100 thermal cycler (Biorad). The “no template” control contained water instead of total RNA. In the “no amplification” controls, the Reverse Transcriptase Master Mix was omitted. The cDNA synthesis reaction was performed with 50 ng of total RNA. Pre-amplification was performed using PreAmp Master Mix (Fluidigm) and the instructions provided from Fluidigm for “Fast Gene Expression Analysis Using EvaGreen” using 60°C as annealing temperature on a T100 thermal cycler (Biorad). Primers were designed with Primer3 software. Amplicons were designed to overlap intron sequences where possible. Amplicons were designed to be between 100-250 bp in length. Exonuclease I treatment was performed with Exonuclease I (E. coli) (Lot no 0201507, New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) on a T100 thermal cycler. After the exonuclease treatment, 10 mM Tris-0.1 mM EDTA was added to give a 10-fold dilution of the cDNA, “no template” and “no amplification” control samples.

For gene expression analysis, 96.96 Dynamic Array Chip for Gene Expression (Fluidigm) was utilized according to the manufacturer’s instruction using Fluidigm IFC Controller HX (Fluidigm) and BioMark HD (Fluidigm). Sample pre-mixes were prepared by mixing 2x SsoFast EvaGreen Supermix with low ROX (Biorad), Supermix with low ROX (Biorad 172-5211), 20X DNA Binding Dye (Fluidigm 100-7609), preamplified and Exo 1-treated samples. Sample pre-mixes were then added to sample inlets of the primed 96.96 IFC. Assay mixes consisted 2X Assay Loading Reagent (Fluidigm, 100-7611), 10 mM Tris-0.1 mM EDTA buffer, and 100 µM forward and reverse primer mix (primer sequences Tab. S2). Assay mixes were added to assay inlets of the primed 96.96 IFC. The 96.96 IFC was then loaded to the Fluidigm Biomark HD selecting the Gene Expression, ROX, single probe, EvaGreen, and GE 96x96 PCR+Melt v1 Thermal protocol. Results were analyzed using Fluidigm’s BioMark Data Collection Analysis program (version 4.1.3).

Statistical analyses
All calculations were done in Microsoft Excel 2010 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA) and statistical analyses were done in GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Results are depicted as mean ± standard deviation; statistical significance is expressed as *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; and ***, p < 0.001. Results from triglyceride accumulation (n = 5), ELISA (n = 6), glucose uptake (n = 5), lipolysis (n = 3), and one-step RT-qPCR (n = 6, in insulin exposure n = 3) were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Fisher’s LSD test.

3 Results

3.1 The vascularized adipose tissue model shows increased secretion of adiponectin but similar lipid accumulation and secretion of leptin and FABP4 compared to the adipocyte culture

The characteristics of the novel vascularized adipose tissue model were compared to those of adipocyte culture. Both were differentiated with a modified protocol of the previously published in vitro adipocyte model (Huttala et al., 2016). Both the adipocyte culture and the vascularized adipose tissue model contained lipid accumulating cells, which the undifferentiated cells did not contain (Fig. 1). Upon morphological inspection, the lipid accumulation in the vascularized adipose tissue model was more evenly spread out than in adipocyte culture, where there were fewer adipocytes that contained larger lipid storages. This morphology was also described in our previous study (Huttala et al., 2018) but could not be confirmed by the quantitative results of lipid per cell measurements (Fig. 1). Of the secreted proteins studied, adiponectin was significantly increased in the vascularized adipose tissue model (Fig. 1), but the secretion of leptin and FABP4 was similar in both models.

3.2 Faster lipolysis response to insulin in the vascularized adipose tissue model

The responses to insulin were studied in both culture models to determine the influence of the vasculature. Inhibition of lipolysis by insulin was found to be faster in the vascularized adipose tissue model, starting within 15 min in response to 500 nM (Fig. 2), while it only started after 30 min in the adipocyte culture. Both cultures equally increased glucose uptake in response to insulin (Fig. 2).

When comparing the vascularized adipose tissue model and adipocyte culture at gene expression level, Glut1 and leptin were significantly upregulated in the vascularized adipose tissue model (Fig. 3). However, there was no difference in secreted leptin between the cultures (Fig. 1).

In gene expression analysis of the effect of insulin on both models, Glut1 was shown to be significantly down regulated in response to insulin in the vascularized adipose tissue model (Fig. 3). In addition, a trend towards an upregulation of Glut4 and FABP4 in response to insulin can be seen for both cultures. Leptin and adiponectin expression increased slightly but not significantly in the vascularized adipose tissue model in response to insulin. PPARα, PPARγ2 and general PPARγ expression did not respond to insulin.

3.3 Markers of different stages of vascular formation present in vascularized adipose tissue model

The vascularization was analyzed in the vascularized adipose tissue model with immunostaining and expression analysis of a panel of angiogenesis related genes. The gene panel was also analyzed in insulin-challenged samples. The vascular network in the vascularized adipose tissue model was well formed as seen in the collagen IV staining in Figure 4. Both CD144, i.e., ve-cadherin, and CD140b, i.e., PDGFRb, were expressed as expected in well-developed vasculature (Fig. 4). CD144 junctions are located in the tubules and CD140b on the surface of the tubules. CD140b indicates the presence of pericytes that have been shown to be present in the vascular structures previously (Huttala et al., 2015).

Gene expression results show the presence of ongoing angiogenesis as well as mature tubules (Tab. S3). The individual genes that were upregulated (2-fold or more compared to the non-insulin treated cultures) in response to insulin were PDGF, FGFR2, and ve-cadherin (Tab. S3). In the vascularized adipose tissue model prior to insulin exposure, PDGF and ve-cadherin were ex-
pressed at lower levels than the housekeeping gene. FGFR2 was already upregulated before insulin exposure.

3.4 The effect of the adipogenesis stimulator rosiglitazone on gene expression
Rosiglitazone was used to test the response of the vascularized adipose tissue model to adipogenesis stimulators (Fig. 5). The model was exposed to rosiglitazone for 7 days. The mitochondrial activity/viability determined by WST-1 increased in adipocyte culture (EC50 7.97 μM) but no effect was seen in the vascularized adipose tissue model in the tested concentration range of 0.0100-140 μM. Only a slight effect of rosiglitazone was seen on lipid accumulation in both models, with lipids increasing at a higher concentration in vascularized adipose tissue model than in adipocyte culture. There was an increase in tubules in response to rosiglitazone at the lower concentrations up to 1.4 µM, but no
3.5 Effects of prochloraz and chlorpyrifos on the vascularized adipose tissue model

The effects of prochloraz and chlorpyrifos were studied in a similar manner to rosiglitazone after 7 days exposure time. Prochloraz was toxic with an EC50 of 182.2 µM in adipocyte culture and 181.6 µM in the vascularized adipose tissue model (Fig. 6). Inhibition of lipid accumulation in adipocyte culture reached EC50 at 164 µM and for the vascularized adipose tissue model at 187.2 µM. No effect on the vasculature was observed at the tested concentration range of 0.0100-140 µM. The vascular network was intact and well branched in all exposed cultures.

Gene expression of FABP4 and adiponectin greatly increased in response to 4.4 µM rosiglitazone compared to the unexposed cultures in both the adipocyte culture and the vascularized adipose tissue model. Glut4 was also slightly upregulated in both cultures. The expression of leptin was increased in the vascularized adipose tissue model.

Fig. 3: Expression of adipose tissue related genes (Glut1, PPARγ, leptin, Glut4, FABP4, PPARα, adiponectin, and PPARγ2) with or without 500 nM insulin in the adipocyte culture compared to the vascularized adipose tissue model at day 14.

**Statistically significant difference**
Fig. 4: Confocal images of vascularization in the vascularized adipose tissue model at day 14
Top row: CD144 (green), i.e., ve-cadherin junctions can be found in the tubules surrounded by collagen IV stained basement membrane (red). Nuclei stained with DAPI (blue). Bottom row: CD140b (green), i.e., PDGFRb staining aligns along the tubules together with collagen IV staining (red). Scale bars 100 µm.

Fig. 5: Response of the adipocyte culture and the vascularized adipose tissue model to rosiglitazone
A) Mitochondrial activity/viability measured with WST-1. B) Lipid accumulation analyzed by AdipoRed. C) The area of vasculature shown for example concentrations of rosiglitazone. D) Gene expression in the adipocyte culture and the vascularized adipose tissue model after exposure to 4.4 µM rosiglitazone. The red line indicates the level of unexposed corresponding cultures.
Fig. 6: Response of the adipocyte culture and the vascularized adipose tissue model to prochloraz and chlorpyrifos
A) Expression of selected genes after exposure to 50 µM prochloraz. The red line indicates the level of unexposed cells. B) Mitochondrial activity/viability measured with WST-1 (EC50 of 182.2 µM in the adipocyte culture and 181.6 µM for the vascularized adipose tissue model). C) Lipid accumulation measured with AdipoRed (EC50 of 48.54 µM for adipocyte culture and 73.51 µM for vascularized adipose tissue model). D) Expression of selected genes after exposure to 10 µM chlorpyrifos. Red line indicates the level of unexposed cells. E) Mitochondrial activity/viability. F) Lipid accumulation.

Tab. 4: EC50 values for chemicals obtained in the vascularized adipose tissue model
EC50, half maximal effective concentration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>EC50 mitochondrial activity</th>
<th>Mitochondrial activity (increase/decrease)</th>
<th>EC50 lipid accumulation</th>
<th>Adipogenesis (inhibitor/stimulator)</th>
<th>Angiogenesis (increase/decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mancozeb</td>
<td>90.24 µg/ml</td>
<td>decrease</td>
<td>84.01 µg/ml</td>
<td>inhibitor</td>
<td>increase at non-toxic concentrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butylparaben</td>
<td>433.8 µg/ml</td>
<td>decrease</td>
<td>340.8 µg/ml</td>
<td>inhibitor</td>
<td>no effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-Deoxy-Δ12,14-prostaglandin J2</td>
<td>2.675 µg/ml</td>
<td>increase</td>
<td>0.1038 µg/ml</td>
<td>inhibitor</td>
<td>increase at higher concentrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisphenol A</td>
<td>65.3 µg/ml</td>
<td>decrease</td>
<td>0.5442 µg/ml</td>
<td>inhibitor</td>
<td>increase at higher non-toxic concentrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bis-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate</td>
<td>0.1634 µg/ml</td>
<td>decrease</td>
<td>0.03 µg/ml and 10 µg/ml</td>
<td>inhibitor (biphasic effect)</td>
<td>significant increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tributyltin chloride</td>
<td>106.2 ng/ml</td>
<td>increase</td>
<td>312.8 ng/ml</td>
<td>stimulator</td>
<td>inhibition at lower concentrations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
concentrations of 0.01-5000 µM. Exposure to 50 µM prochloraz increased the expression of PPARα significantly in the vascularized adipose tissue model. Other studied markers were expressed on a lower level than in the unexposed cultures.

Chlorpyrifos caused viability/mitochondrial activity to increase with an EC50 of 48.54 µM for adipocyte culture and 73.51 µM for the vascularized adipose tissue model (Fig. 6). Chlorpyrifos seemed to inhibit lipid accumulation at lower concentrations and increase it at the higher concentrations before inhibiting it again. No effect on the amount of vasculature was detected. Expression of leptin was significantly higher in the vascularized adipose tissue model than in adipocyte culture after exposure to 10 µM chlorpyrifos.

### 3.6 Results of further chemical tests

To test further the responses of the vascularized adipose tissue model, the model was exposed to six more chemicals for 7 days. These were analyzed for lipid accumulation, mitochondrial activity, and amount of vasculature only in the vascularized adipose tissue model. Results of these chemicals can be found in Table 4. The effect on angiogenesis is determined from the changes in the total area of vasculature.

### 4 Discussion

Our previous study found two suitable methods for combining adipocytes and vascularization to produce a vascularized adipose tissue model (Huttala et al., 2018). Of these previously published methods, one was further modified here to reach a mature adipocyte marker expression profile at the end of the 14-day culture period. The vascularized adipose tissue model presented here utilized the same differentiation protocol that produced insulin responsive adipocytes (Huttala et al., 2016) with a few modifications. The differences to the previously published protocol (Huttala et al., 2016) were the additional medium change at day 8 and the addition of the macromolecule ficoll to the media. Now this differentiation protocol can be used to differentiate adipocyte culture from hASC and induce both angiogenesis and adipogenesis in a co-culture of hASC and HUVEC. This allows the comparison of the effects of vascularization in adipose tissue in for example mechanistic studies, as the only variable between the adipocyte culture and the vascularized adipose tissue model is the presence of vascularization.

This in vitro human vascularized adipose tissue model was studied at gene, protein, and functional levels, and results were compared to the adipocyte culture. Functional tests included insulin and chemical responses. The vascularized adipose tissue model was exposed to nine chemicals and their effect on lipid accumulation, mitochondrial activity/viability, and vascularization was quantified. Both models were exposed to three of these chemicals, i.e., rosiglitazone, prochloraz, and chlorpyrifos; and these cultures were also analyzed for gene expression.

The presence of the key biological characteristics confirmed that the vascularized adipose tissue model mimics the in vivo adipose tissue characteristics and functional properties well. The adipocytes in the model accumulated triglycerides and showed an upregulation of the adipocyte-related genes PPARγ and PPARγ2, adiponectin, FABP4, leptin and Glut4. Genes that are less relevant in adipocytes (Glut1 and PPARα) were not upregulated; hence the expression pattern correlates with a human white adipose tissue expression profile. The adipocytes also secreted leptin, FABP4 and adiponectin, which are also produced by native adipose tissue. The results showed that lipolysis was inhibited and glucose uptake increased in response to insulin as expected. Vascularization was seen to induce a faster lipolysis inhibition response to insulin and also had an effect on responses to chemicals. Based on these parameters, the vascularized adipose tissue model depicts the characteristics of adipose tissue well.

The importance of vascularization on adipose tissue has been shown in multiple studies. Especially the changes in vasculature in disease conditions are an important step in disease progression. Vasculature has been shown to counteract obesity and even insulin resistance when induced with VEGF (Robciuc et al., 2016; Elia et al., 2012). In our study, the results showed that adding vascularization into the model induced an upregulation of Glut1 and leptin. This is in line with an anti-obesity effect of vasculature, as the hormone leptin regulates energy balance, suppressing food intake and thereby inducing weight loss (Halaas et al., 1995). Glut1 on the other hand is a non-insulin dependent glucose transporter, which is found in a variety of tissues including fetal and adult tissues, red blood cells, endothelia and muscle (Huang et al., 2015; Ciaraldi et al., 2005; Olson and Pessin, 1996). Hence the upregulation seen here is likely due to the presence of Glut1 in the vascular endothelial cells. Angiogenic vessels are known to produce various cytokines and growth factors that promote adipogenesis (Varzaneh et al., 1994), which further enable the success of the adipogenesis process.

When developing relevant adipose tissue cell models, the source of cells is crucial. In 2016, Ruiz-Ojeda et al. noted that most in vitro adipose models are still based on mouse 3T3-L1 cells (Ruiz-Ojeda et al., 2016). These are stable cell lines but not suitable when aiming for the human relevant models. For human models, one commonly utilized cell type is the human adipose stromal cell population isolated from human fat (Ruiz-Ojeda et al., 2016). These are primary, human cells as are those used in our study.

The use of primary cells has its concerns, as there is the possibility of lot-to-lot variations. When using primary cells, each isolated cell lot should be tested against quality criteria set for the cells in question. With increasing knowledge of cell type specific marker expression, more relevant quality control criteria can be defined. One recent example is the marker CD142, which can suppress adipogenesis, that has been found to be expressed in hASC (Schwalie et al., 2018). Through quality control, CD142-positive cells could be purified from the population to study adipogenesis. On the other hand, the diversity of the population could be seen as an advantage, making the population more relevant for human studies.

The role of the extracellular matrix (ECM) composition has been brought up in the context of in vitro models and differentiation of stem cells. Our vascular network has been shown to produce ECM in the culture (Huttala et al., 2015) and the staining for collagen IV was positive in the current vascularized ad-
ipose tissue model. Gene expression results (Tab. S31) showed that the vasculature contains tubules in all different development phases of angiogenesis, including mature vascular structures expressing PDGFRβ and ANPGR1, which would indicate that the tubules also have a basal lamina layer. Due to the vast vascularization of adipose tissue, the basal membrane collagen IV is one component of natural adipose tissue ECM (Nakajima et al., 2002). As the vascularized adipose tissue model expresses a variety of angiogenesis related genes, it can be utilized to study the angiogenic potential of chemicals in addition to adipogenic properties.

The utilization of macromolecular crowding in cultures has been shown to enhance the accumulation of ECM (Zeiger et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2011). We used ficoll as a macromolecule, aided by the presence of BSA in the medium. The combination of these large molecules had a similar effect on the culture as is aimed for in the concept of macromolecular crowding. Protein concentrations of in vivo interstitial fluids could be 30-70 g/l (Bates et al., 1993) and although the concentrations used here were not high enough to lead to corresponding protein concentrations, an effect was seen in the better handling properties of the resulting model. The premature detachment issues encountered earlier (Huttala et al., 2018) no longer occur since we use ficoll. This would indicate that ECM proteins are accumulated in the cultures where they provide better attachment sites to the cells. Detachment of cells in tissue models is a serious problem when considering the applicability and reliability of the model. Detection of the possible effect of a chemical on adipogenesis and/or angiogenesis is not possible if the cells detach. Previous studies have reported that serum-free medium combined with macromolecular ficoll crowding is not beneficial for the cells (Patrikoski et al., 2017); however, we observed positive effects of ficoll in our study, probably due to the lower concentration of the crowders. Although we use human serum, serum-free medium would be beneficial as it enables defined culture conditions. Such serum-free defined medium has been developed recently and was shown to produce vascularized adipose tissue in vitro (Völz et al., 2018).

In addition to the natural composition of the in vivo environment, the stiffness of the surface on which the cells grow influences the cells. It has been shown that cells grown on low stiffness matrix are more likely to become adipocytes than cells seeded on stiffer matrices (Winer et al., 2009; Chun et al., 2006). The ECM produced by the vasculature would lead to the low stiffness platform for the adipocytes to grow on. The vascularized adipose tissue model presented here shows improvement in the expression of key adipose tissue genes compared to the previously published model (Huttala et al., 2018). The model presented here also shows secretion of the adipocyte proteins leptin, adiponectin and FABP4, which are secreted by young (few lipid vacuoles) and mature (one big lipid vacuole) adipocytes, indicating the relevance of this model in depicting mature white adipose tissue.

The interactions between tissues are important in creating in vivo-like in vitro tissue models. The influence of these interactions is seen, for example, in altered insulin responses in muscle cells caused by adipokines secreted by adipocytes (Kudoh et al., 2018). In native adipose tissue, insulin represses lipolysis in adipocytes (Choi et al., 2010) and leads to translocation of Glut4-containing vesicles from intracellular storage sites to the plasma membrane, where they dock and fuse (Bryant et al., 2002; Watson and Pessin, 2007) for glucose uptake. To ensure that central insulin responses were present in the culture, both the adipocyte culture and the vascularized adipose tissue model were exposed to insulin. Responses to insulin were confirmed by the increased uptake of glucose and inhibition of lipolysis. The lack of a further increase in glucose uptake with higher insulin concentration (Fig. 2) is most likely due to a negative feedback response to insulin hyperstimulation (Ma et al., 2013). The response time to lipolysis inhibition was found to be shorter in the vascularized adipose tissue model. As discussed above, the increase in vasculature has previously been shown to protect from insulin resistance (Robciuc et al., 2016; Elias et al., 2012) and our result of a faster response seems to support these findings. In our results (Fig. 2), the level of secreted triglycerides was slightly higher in the vascularized adipose tissue model than in the adipocytes alone, with little or no insulin addition. Robciuc et al. found that the induction of excess vasculature improved lipid metabolism and linked the activated VEGF/ VEGFR2 pathway to better insulin responses in adipose tissue and a higher basal metabolic rate (Robciuc et al., 2016). In our results, VEGFR2 is upregulated and increases in response to insulin (Tab. S31). This further supports the hypothesis that a similar mechanism of improved lipid metabolism is seen in our in vitro model and would explain the increased lipolysis when little or no insulin is present in the vascularized adipose tissue model.

At gene expression level, the exposure to insulin led to upregulation of Glut4 and FABP4 in both the adipocyte culture and the vascularized adipose tissue model. The increase of FABP4 would indicate that some adipocytes were still maturing as FABP4 is the indicator of late stage adipogenesis (Gregoire et al., 1998). Glut4 is the insulin dependent glucose transporter (Birnbaum, 1989; James et al., 1989) and hence the upregulation would be expected. In contrast, Glut1 was downregulated in the vascularized adipose tissue model. In mouse 3T3-L1 cells, Glut1 mRNA is upregulated in response to insulin (Sargeant and Paquet, 1993). It has also been shown that the expression of Glut1 in 3T3-L1 cells is downregulated as ECM rigidity decreases, whereas the Glut4 mRNA levels do not respond to the rigidity (Li et al., 2009), which would probably be closer to the situation in our vascularized adipose tissue model than the plastic rigidity. It has also been reported that there is a negative feedback loop between the expression of Glut1 and Glut4 (Ebeling et al., 1998). Also, there are studies which show no change in Glut1 expression in response to insulin (Marchado et al., 1994). The response of Glut1 should be studied further to confirm the result.

In vasculature, insulin induces an upregulation of PDGF, FGFR2, and ve-cadherin (Tab. S31). Since PDGF recruits pericytes and ve-cadherin is a tight junction protein, it would seem that insulin increases the maturation of vessels. It has been shown that in retinal neovascularization a reduction of IGF-1 expression leads to an increase of the angiogenic markers angiotensin II and VEGF (Li et al., 2018). Perhaps the opposite happens with insulin exposure, as mature vessels are needed for a functioning glucose homeostasis.

In response to rosiglitazone, gene expression of FABP4, adiponectin and Glut4 was elevated in both cultures. The expression
of leptin was also increased in the vascularized adipose tissue model. Our vascularized adipose tissue model shows good concordance with the findings of others on the effects of rosiglitazone on adipocytes. Taxvig et al. (2012) showed that rosiglitazone increases the expression of leptin and adiponectin with no effect on PPARα. Rosiglitazone is also used for the induction of adipogenesis (Cheng et al., 2016; Foley et al., 2015), hence it was expected that markers of mature adipose tissue would increase. Thiazolidinediones, like rosiglitazone, are also known to enhance Glut4 action (Martínez et al., 2010). Although we did not see the increase in PPARγ expression or lipid accumulation described by others (Taxvig et al., 2012), the gene expression changes in our model support increased adipogenesis. It is possible that with a longer exposure time or a longer culture time after exposure (here 7 days exposure), an increased lipid accumulation would be seen. To further improve the vascularized adipose tissue model presented here, it might be possible to lower the concentration of troglitazone. Compared to our previously published adipocyte protocol (Huttala et al., 2016), we added one additional change of troglitazone medium here and this could be masking some of the lipid accumulating effects of the chemicals even though effects were seen on gene expression level. Regarding vascularization, there are studies that show an increase in adipose tissue vascularization upon in vivo exposure of humans to rosiglitazone (Gealekman et al., 2012) as well as inhibition of endothelial proliferation, migration, and angiogenesis in vitro (Sheu et al., 2006). The quantification of vascular network formed in our vascularized adipose tissue model showed an increase in angiogenesis in response to rosiglitazone, which is in concordance with the in vivo exposure data.

We found that prochloraz was equally cytotoxic in the adipocyte culture and the vascularized adipose tissue model. In the adipocyte culture, an inhibition of lipid accumulation was seen at non-cytotoxic concentrations, EC50 being 164 μM, however inhibition of lipid accumulation was only seen at toxic concentrations in the vascularized adipose tissue model. In the vascularized adipose tissue model, the expression of PPARγ increased, whereas expression of other studied markers decreased compared to the unexposed cultures. Previous results have shown that prochloraz decreases adiponectin and leptin expression and decreases lipid accumulation in 3T3-L1 adipocytes already at 50 μM (Taxvig et al., 2012). Taxvig et al. did not see any effect on PPARα or PPARγ in their study (Taxvig et al., 2012). The increased level of PPARα in the vascularized adipose tissue model is an intriguing finding. The results of Taxvig et al. (2012) were obtained with a monoculture of mouse adipocytes and hence the difference may be due to the presence of vasculature. PPARα is also found in endothelial cells (Bishop-Bailey and Swales, 2008) and the activation of endothelial PPARα could be one reason for the upregulation seen here. However, this would likely impair angiogenesis, which was not observed by us or by others (Kleinstreuer et al., 2011). Further mechanistic studies are needed to confirm the reason for PPARα upregulation.

Chlorpyrifos caused an increase in the viability/mitochondrial activity with EC50 of 48.54 μM for the adipocyte culture and 73.51 μM for the vascularized adipose tissue model. Chlorpyrifos seemed to inhibit lipid accumulation at lower concentrations and increased it at higher concentrations before inhibiting it again. It has been reported that chlorpyrifos decreases lipid accumulation in 3T3 cells (Taxvig et al., 2012). In our experiments, leptin expression was significantly increased in the vascularized adipose tissue model exposed to 10 μM chlorpyrifos and this effect has been shown by others on released leptin protein levels using the same concentration of chlorpyrifos (Taxvig et al., 2012). No angiogenic response to chlorpyrifos was observed in our study or reported elsewhere (Jeon et al., 2016).

The six additional studied chemicals induced various responses in the vascularized adipose tissue model. Tributyltin chloride increased lipid accumulation as reported in literature (Biemann et al., 2014; Pereira-Fernandes et al., 2013). Mancozeb, which has been reported to decrease body weight (Kwon et al., 2018), inhibited lipid accumulation in our model. Bisphenol A has been shown to decrease mitochondrial activity and triglyceride accumulation (Biemann et al., 2012) in concordance with our results, although contrary results have also been reported (Ariemma et al., 2016). Based on the literature, butyl paraben (Pereira-Fernandes et al., 2014), 15-deoxy-Δ12,14-prostaglandin J2 and bis-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (Biemann et al., 2012) were expected to increase lipid accumulation, but the opposite was seen in our vascularized adipose tissue model. The effects of the study chemicals were also assessed in terms of their influence on the amount of vasculature in the vascularized adipose tissue model after exposure to the chemicals. The results obtained in our model correlated well with the results reported in the literature. In addition to expected angiogenic responses observed in response to rosiglitazone, prochloraz and chlorpyrifos, the response to the following substances was also as expected based on literature: butylparaben (no effect), bisphenol A, mancozeb and tributyltin. Bisphenol A has been described to induce tubule formation (Tait et al., 2015; Helmestam et al., 2014) but can also inhibit tubule formation depending on the concentration (Tait et al., 2015). In our results, an induction was seen at higher concentrations. Mancozeb has been found to influence the TIE2 pathway (Kleinstreuer et al., 2011) and in our model the effect of mancozeb was seen as a slight induction of angiogenesis. Tributyltin chloride has been shown to influence ICAM and hence the angiogenesis process (Hu et al., 2015); a slight inhibition of angiogenesis was seen in the tubule formation in our model. Based on these results, our vascularized adipose tissue model can also predict the angiogenic effects of chemicals in adipose tissue.

On the contrary, the results obtained upon challenge with bis-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate and 15-deoxy-Δ12,14-prostaglandin J2 were different from those expected on the basis of literature reports. Bis-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate has been shown to decrease PGF and increase the soluble VEGFR-1:PGF ratio in the fetus, which would indicate inhibition of angiogenesis (Ferguson et al., 2015); however, we found induction of angiogenesis. 15-Deoxy-Δ12,14-prostaglandin J2 has been reported to be an anti-angiogenic factor that induces endothelial cell apoptosis, although the mechanism remains unclear (Ho et al., 2008), but the opposite was seen in our model where higher concentrations induced angiogenesis. The effects of these two chemicals should also be studied on gene expression level to confirm the result and correlation with previous results.
5 Conclusion

Due to the growing obesity problem, interest in this area with regard to safety testing of chemicals, drug development, and biomedical research, has grown. Relevant models are needed in order to conduct reliable research. The need for relevant human cell-based models is evident based on the species differences. In vitro human cell models that are confirmed to be relevant and which are thoroughly characterized can provide the most relevant results. The vascularized adipose tissue model developed here is a valuable research model as it is a relevant replacement option for animal models used for adipose tissue research.

The vascularized adipose tissue model presented here contains a well-formed extensive vascular network and mature adipocytes, analyzed on gene and protein level, and shows robust responses to insulin. The response of adipocytes to insulin is faster in the presence of vasculature. This finding should be studied further as it might lead to the development of new therapeutic strategies to treat insulin resistance. This novel vascularized adipose tissue model is relevant for studying adipose tissue, especially the interaction of vasculature and adipocytes, and for studying effects of chemicals on adipogenesis and adipose tissue angiogenesis.
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