Lower limb pulse rise time as a marker of peripheral arterial disease
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Abstract—Objective: The aim of the study was to show if pulse rise times (PRTs) extracted from photoplethysmographic (PPG) pulse waves (PWs) have an association with peripheral arterial disease (PAD) or its endovascular treatment, percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) of the superficial femoral artery. Methods: Lower and upper limb PPG PWs were recorded and analyzed from 24 patients who suffered from PAD. The measurements were conducted before and after the treatment, and one month later by using transmission-mode PPG-probes placed in the index finger and second toe. Ankle-to-brachial pressure index (ABI) and toe pressures were used as references in clinical patient measurements. PRTs, i.e. the time from the foot point to the peak point of the PW, were extracted from the PWs and compared bilaterally. The results from the PAD-patients were also compared with 31 same-aged and 34 younger control subjects. Results: Statistically significant differences were found between the pre-treatment PRTs of the treated limb of the PAD-patients and the same-aged control subjects (p < 10^-9, Mann-Whitney U-test). The changes in the PRT of the treated lower limb were observed immediately after the PTA (p < 0.001, Student’s t-test), and after one month (p < 0.0005), whereas the PRTs of the non-treated lower limb and upper limb did not indicate changes between different examinations. Conclusion: Results show that a PRT greater than 240 ms indicates PAD lesions in the lower limb. Significance: This proof-of-concept study suggests that the PRT could be an effective and easy-to-use indicator for PAD and monitoring the effectiveness of its treatment.

Index Terms—Atherosclerosis, Photoplethysmography, Peripheral arterial disease, Pulse rise time, Pulse wave measurements.

I. INTRODUCTION

Peripheral arterial disease (PAD) is a specific form of atherosclerosis affecting mainly the lower limbs. It can be either subclinical and asymptomatic or cause several symptoms such as intermittent claudication or in severe cases rest pain, which is typically a manifestation of critical limb ischemia. PAD is considered as a risk factor of acute cardiovascular events such as stroke or myocardial infarction and generally as an indicator of polyvascular disease, i.e. presence of atherosclerosis in two or more vascular beds. In addition to surgery, PAD is commonly treated by means of percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) which is a minimally invasive endovascular procedure for expanding the stenosed artery. The PTA initiates the revascularization which in most cases induces regenerative histological changes in the arteries. The patency rates after the femoropopliteal PTA are reported to vary from 75%–97% and 60%–84% for one- and two-year follow-up times [1], respectively. In order to ensure the technical success of the PTA, the patients are typically re-examined with the measurement of ankle-to-brachial pressure index (ABI) after one-month follow-up period.

The early diagnosis of the PAD is challenging due to its subclinical course. The measurement of ABI is considered as a gold standard even though its performance is challenged by diabetes and mediasclerosis [2]–[4] and even though it has found to have varying sensitivity and specificity [5]. Imaging based angiograms provide more precise information on the PAD lesions, but they are not suitable for screening studies because of their high costs, nephrotoxicity of the contrast agents and radiation exposure.

Photoplethysmography (PPG) is an optical and non-invasive technique for measuring the peripheral blood volume changes. A PPG probe consists of a light source and a photodetector. The light source illuminates the tissue, and the intensity of the reflected or transmitted light is observed by the photodetector. The intensity of the reflected or transmitted light depends on the optical properties of the tissue, including the amount of blood. It provides information on multiple biomedical parameters, such as heart rate, blood oxygen saturation, respiratory rate, and the condition of the vasculature [6], and could also provide a non-invasive and simple alternative for finding the PAD lesions. Earlier studies [6]–[11] have shown that different kinds of PPG-based lower limb pulse transit times (PTTs) are affected mainly by aging and the existence of PAD, but also by systolic blood pressure and height. Two of the major contributors, aging and PAD, affect the PTT in different directions: aging decreases the PTT but the existence of PAD lesions increases it [12]. Pulse rise time (PRT) is a time difference between two reference points found from the individual PPG PWs, i.e. peak and foot points. Allen et
**II. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### A. Study subjects

Altogether 89 Caucasian subjects were examined for the study. The patient measurements were conducted in two clinical studies (S1 and S2). The pulse wave (PW) signals of study S1 were recorded from 27 patients undergoing PTA for the superficial femoral artery (SFA) in 2016–2017. Three of them were excluded for the following reasons: research personnel unavailable at the follow-up visit, a patient was found to be unsuitable for PTA during the imaging and extremely low peripheral perfusion preventing the computation of PPG-based parameters. In study S1, 14 left and 12 right lower limbs were treated, including 2 patients with bilateral treatment. More detailed data on the study subjects is shown in Table I. The majority of the PAD patients suffered from intermittent claudication which is a typical symptom for PAD. In addition, most of the PAD patients had typical risk factors of atherosclerosis, such as dyslipidemia, diabetes, and arterial hypertension. Because almost all of the subjects having these diagnosed diseases had medication, the effects of the medication intended against atherosclerosis-promoting symptoms were not possible to analyze separately.

The inclusion criteria for study S1 were abnormal ABI reading, i.e. ABI<0.9 or ABI>1.3, relevant symptoms, stenosis in the SFA based on magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) and referral to the PTA of the SFA. The presence of a pacemaker and a possible risk that the study interferes the treatment of the PAD patients except one met the criterion ABI<0.9 in study S1, and others had a measured pre-treatment ABI higher than 1.3. Fifteen non-treated lower limbs out of 22 (68 %) had the ABI value outside the normal range at least in one of the pre- or post-treatment ABI measurements. More detailed distributions of the PAD patients’ ABI readings are shown in Table II. Pre-treatment (measured during a visit at the outpatient clinic before the PTA) and post-treatment (follow-up visit) ABI and toe pressure measurements were routinely performed and used as references in the study.

Altogether 28 at least 65-year-old control subjects having normal ABI were examined in study S2 in 2015. In addition to clinical patient measurements, 37 volunteer healthy 22–71-year-old control subjects were examined in 2013–2017 in non-clinical environment in order to see if aging affects the PRTs. These measurements were conducted in Tampere University of Technology (21 subjects) and in University of Oulu (16 subjects). In these datasets, 3 subjects being at least 65 years of age were added and handled as a part of the clinical control subject group (old subjects). The rest of these 37 test subjects were divided into groups of 19 young (less than 40-year-old) and 15 middle-aged (40–64-year-old) subjects.

---

**Table I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Study S1</th>
<th>Study S2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PTA-patients</td>
<td>Old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>n=24 (5.23)</td>
<td>n=15 (5.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (bpm)</td>
<td>65.3 (58.1–72.7)</td>
<td>66 (57.1–72.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABI, pre-treatment</td>
<td>0.61 (0.50–0.75)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.62–1.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>follow-up visit change</td>
<td>0.24 (0.16–0.41)</td>
<td>1.10 (1.06–1.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABI, non-treated lower limbs</td>
<td>0.88 (0.75–1.02)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.72–1.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>follow-up visit change</td>
<td>0.02 (-0.07–0.25)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toe pressure, treated limb</td>
<td>59 (42–86)</td>
<td>76 (53–118)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pre-treatment (mmHg)</td>
<td>59 (75–122)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>follow-up visit (mmHg)</td>
<td>22 (7–41)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-treated lower limbs:</td>
<td>76 (53–118)</td>
<td>100 (66–122)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>follow-up visit (mmHg)</td>
<td>6 (24–24)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment condition</th>
<th>Study S1</th>
<th>Study S2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-treatment</td>
<td>5 (19.2%)</td>
<td>1 (4.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>follow-up visit</td>
<td>5 (19.2%)</td>
<td>1 (4.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-treated lower limbs</td>
<td>24 (92.3%)</td>
<td>95 (76.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table of Data Describing the Study Subject Population. The values are Presented as Median (1st Quartile–3rd Quartile)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Study S1</th>
<th>Study S2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PTA-patients</td>
<td>Old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>n=24 (5.23)</td>
<td>n=15 (5.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (bpm)</td>
<td>65.3 (58.1–72.7)</td>
<td>66 (57.1–72.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABI, pre-treatment</td>
<td>0.61 (0.50–0.75)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.62–1.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>follow-up visit change</td>
<td>0.24 (0.16–0.41)</td>
<td>1.10 (1.06–1.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABI, non-treated lower limbs</td>
<td>0.88 (0.75–1.02)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.72–1.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>follow-up visit change</td>
<td>0.02 (-0.07–0.25)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toe pressure, treated limb</td>
<td>59 (42–86)</td>
<td>76 (53–118)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pre-treatment (mmHg)</td>
<td>59 (75–122)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>follow-up visit (mmHg)</td>
<td>22 (7–41)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-treated lower limbs:</td>
<td>76 (53–118)</td>
<td>100 (66–122)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>follow-up visit (mmHg)</td>
<td>6 (24–24)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Notes:**

- p < 0.00050 for all the ABI-distribution comparisons of old healthy vs. the pre-treatment condition of treated limb, the follow-up visit condition of treated limb, the pre-treatment condition of non-treated limb, and the follow-up visit condition of treated limb (2-sided Mann-Whitney U-test).

---

### References

1. al. have reported differences in lower limb PPG-based PRTs between healthy subjects and PAD patients [6], [8], [9], but the authors are not aware of any prior study reporting the effects of PTA-treatment to PRT. In this proof-of-concept study, we investigate how the photoplethysmographic PRT changes as a result of the PTA procedure and if the PRTs could be a useful parameter to monitor patients’ peri- and post-treatment response to PTA. We also study if the PRTs of the lower limb in patients with symptomatic PAD differ from the PRTs in the contralateral lower limb and from the lower limb PRTs of healthy subjects. We hypothesize that the stenoses in the arterial pathway increase the flow resistance, causing a low-pass filter-type response and delay in the PRT, whereas a successful PTA of the SFA decreases the resistance and changes the PRT towards healthier level.
B. Measurement hardware

In studies S1 and S2 as well as in the measurements conducted in Tampere University of Technology, the measurement data was collected using transmission mode PPG-probes (S0010A, Shenzhen Med-link, China) having an excitation wavelength of 905 nm connected into a synchronous wireless body sensor network (WBSN) presented in [13]. The passband of the PPG-amplifier of the WBSN was from 150 mHz to 240 Hz. A photoplethysmograph based on the phase-sensitive technique and transmission mode PPG-probes containing 940 nm light emitting diodes (LEDs) and photodiodes (BPW34, Vishay Semiconductors, USA) were used in the measurements conducted in University of Oulu [14]. The sampling frequencies were 500 Hz and 1000 Hz for WBSN and phase-sensitive PPG, respectively.

C. Sensor placement

With the PAD-patients, the PPG probes were placed on the index finger and both second toes for recording blood volume PW-signals. The test subjects were in supine position during the measurements. In study S1, the measurements were conducted during the pre-, peri-, and post-treatment phases of the PTA and during the follow-up visit after one month (median 33 days, IQR (30 days–36 days)). The sensors were placed before the normal preparation of the PTA and were removed within 5–10 minutes after the PTA. The duration of the measurement was approximately 15 minutes in study S2 and in the measurements conducted outside the hospitals.

D. Pulse rise times

PRT was defined as the time from the foot point to the peak point of the PW (Fig. 1). Before the determination of the foot and peak points, the PW-signals were lowpass-filtered with a finite impulse response filter having a cut-off-frequency of 10 Hz, transition band of 10 Hz–12 Hz, pass-band ripple of 0.05 dB, and a stop-band attenuation of 100 dB [15] using forward-backward filtering. After filtering, the end-point fixed linear trend was removed from each detected PW. Both filtered and raw signal examples on pre-treatment PWs are shown in Fig. 1 for treated and non-treated lower limb. The PAD-lesions are seen as attenuation of high-frequency features of PW, such as rounded sharp edges and a missing dicrotic notch [8], [9], [11], [16]. As seen in Fig 1, the PAD-lesions may also change the morphology of the PW towards a sinusoidal wave and cause delayed arrival compared with a healthier contralateral limb [8], [9], [11].

The PRTs were computed as an average of at least one minute long recording. For the PAD patients, the lower and upper limb PRTs were extracted as immediate pre-treatment PRT ($t_{\text{before}}$), immediate post-treatment PRT ($t_{\text{after}}$), and PRT in the follow-up visit ($t_{\text{follow-up}}$). The differences between different measurement events were computed between post- and pre-treatment values as $t_{\text{ab}} = t_{\text{after}} - t_{\text{before}}$, between follow-up visit and pre-treatment values as $t_{\text{fb}} = t_{\text{follow-up}} - t_{\text{before}}$, and between follow-up visit and post-treatment values as $t_{\text{fa}} = t_{\text{follow-up}} - t_{\text{after}}$.

E. Statistical methods

Before comparing the results found for different study groups, the data normality was checked by using one-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Since all the rise time distributions were not normally distributed, non-parametric two-sided Mann-Whitney U-tests were implemented for comparing the control subjects’ and the PAD patients’ results, for comparing the PAD patients’ results in different stages of operation, and for comparing the PAD patients’ pre-treatment PRT distributions for treated and non-treated lower limbs. As all the differences between different measurement events (before the treatment, after the treatment, and follow-up visit) turned out to be normally distributed, their deviation from zero was tested by implementing Student’s paired two-sided t-tests. The
differences in the PRT values between different phases of the PTA treatment were not normally distributed, so non-parametric two-sided sign tests were implemented. P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The performance of the PRTs in classifying the lower limbs into diseased or non-diseased was evaluated by means of receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis. In addition, the agreement between the ABI and PRT were evaluated by estimating Pearson’s correlation coefficients for both absolute ABI and PRT as well as their change as a result of the PTA treatment.

Since vascular patients typically have multiple cardiovascular risk factors, multiple linear regression analysis was performed for both upper and lower limb PRTs of the PAD-patients, and for the old, middle-aged and young control subjects in order to see which factors affect the PRTs. In order to avoid the distortion of the results caused by the risk factors being present only with few individual test subjects, only the predictors being present with at least 5 test subjects were taken into account. For the PAD-patients, the analysis was performed only for the pre-treatment values. Multiple linear regression analysis was implemented also for the changes in PRT having PTA and change in heart rate as predictors in order to check if the changes in the heart rate explain the changes in the PRT between a pre-treatment condition and a follow-up visit.

F. Ethics and patient safety

The patient measurements were approved by the local ethical review board of the hospital district (R14096 and R15107), and the Finnish National Supervisory Authority of Health and Welfare (Valvira, IDs 272 and 309). The study S1 was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (ID: NCT02725307).

III. RESULTS

A. Differences between study groups

The distributions of the lower limb PRTs for different study groups are presented in Fig. 2. The pre-treatment PRTs of the treated lower limb were clearly greater than the PRTs of the lower limbs of the group of old control subjects ($p < 10^{-9}$) as presented in Fig 2. In addition, the differences in the lower limb PRT distributions between different-aged control groups were found to be small (Fig 2). The pre-treatment rise time distributions for treated and non-treated lower limbs were strongly overlapping, even though statistically significant differences were found between them ($p \approx 0.017$). At least a small difference was expected despite possible systemic effects of atherosclerosis since the pre-treatment ABI and toe pressure distributions were not equal (Table I). A small difference was also observed in the index finger PPG PRTs between the group of the old control subjects and the PAD-patients ($p \approx 0.015$, Fig. 3) even though these distributions were also strongly overlapping. In the index finger PRTs, the differences between the youngest group and older control groups were greater than in case of lower limb PRTs.

The ROC curves are shown in Fig. 4 in order to demonstrate the classification performance of the PRT. They are drawn for two different situations: 1) the treated limb of the PAD-patients before the PTA vs. old healthy controls, and 2) the treated lower limb before the PTA vs. the non-treated lower limb before the PTA. The areas under curve (AUC) of 0.986 and 0.706 were obtained for the two situations, respectively. Even though the non-treated lower limb is less symptomatic than the contralateral limb, it does not mean that it does not
have any atherosclerotic PAD lesions due to the systemic nature of atherosclerosis. This is seen as the relatively low AUC for the distinction of treated and non-treated limb based on PRT. The partition values of 250 ms and 232 ms provide the sensitivities of 0.875 and 1.00 with specificities of 0.967 and 0.903, respectively, for the comparison between healthy old controls and PAD patients. Thus, according to our results based on Caucasian subjects, the lower limb PRT greater than approximately 240 ms is a sign of possible PAD lesions in that lower limb.

### B. Dependence between ABI and PRT

ABI and PRT values in different study groups and PAD patients at different phases are shown as a scatter plot in Fig. 5. Also the regression lines and Pearson’s correlation coefficients are shown in Fig. 5 based on four different combinations of source data. A linear dependence between ABI and PRT cannot be assumed for ABI-values higher than 1.3 which indicates incompressible arteries. The normal range for ABI is approximately 0.9–1.3, so the ABI-values higher than 1.3 were excluded.

A dataset consisting of the old control subjects and the pre-treatment data of the PAD patients’ treated limb yielded a correlation of $r = -0.81$ ($-0.89 \ldots -0.69$ (95% confidence interval), $p < 10^{-13}$). This evaluates how the PRT correlates with ABI in non-treated subjects and suggests that the PRT could discriminate at least the most extreme PAD lesions from healthy subjects. A correlation of $r = -0.64$ ($-0.78 \ldots -0.42$, $p < 10^{-5}$) was found for a dataset consisting of PAD patients’ pre-treatment and follow-up visit results, and this describes how the ABI and PRT correlate in a data containing the treatment response. To estimate the overall dependence between the ABI and PRT, a correlation of $r = -0.58$ ($-0.70 \ldots -0.43$, $p < 10^{-5}$) was found for the dataset consisting all the datapoints in Fig. 5. The least dependence ($r = -0.29$, $-0.01 \ldots -0.53$, $p < 0.05$) between the ABI and PRT was observed in a dataset consisting the old control subjects and the pre-treatment data from the non-treated limb. In this dataset, the majority of the ABI-readings was in a narrower range than in the other datasets which may explain the poorer dependence.

### C. Changes caused by PTA

Fig. 2 shows that the PRTs at pre-treatment, immediate post-treatment, and follow-up visit conditions of the treated limb are strongly overlapping, and there are even no statistically significant difference between the pre-treatment and immediate post-treatment conditions ($p \approx 0.18$). This is because the average or median change in PRT is relatively small with respect to its large between-subject variance.

However, at the individual level, there are group-wide consistent decreases in the PRTs of the treated limb as a result of the PTA. Clear differences are observed by using paired 2-sided Student’s t-tests for testing if the normally distributed differences between different conditions deviate from zero. Fig. 6 shows the distributions for paired changes between different situations, i.e. 1) immediately after and before the PTA, 2) follow-up visit and before the PTA, and 3) follow-up visit and immediately after the PTA. The differences are shown for both treated and non-treated lower limb as well as for the upper limb (index finger). The results in Fig. 6 show statistically significant differences in the treated lower limb: 1) $-33.9$ ms ($-74.6$ ms. . . $-4.2$ ms) (median (25%. . . 75% quartiles)), $p < 0.0005$, 2) $-64.7$ ms ($-137.3$ ms. . . $-20.1$ ms), $p < 0.0005$, and 3) $-59.0$ ms ($-110.5$ ms. . . $-15.6$ ms), $p < 0.001$ for the changes between immediate pre- and post-treatment, pre-treatment and follow-up visit, and immediate post-treatment and follow-up visit conditions, respectively. The signals recorded from non-treated lower limb and index finger

---

**Figure 4.** Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for two situations: 1) the treated limb of PAD patients before the PTA vs. old healthy controls, and 2) treated lower limb before the PTA vs. non-treated lower limb before the PTA.

**Figure 5.** Comparison between ABI and PRT, grouped by different study groups. Also the regression lines based on different data are shown with Pearson’s correlation coefficients ($r$) and p-values.
Changes in ABI and pulse rise time

Distributions of the changes in pulse rise time

Changes in a lower limb PRT as a function of changes in the ABI.

D. Multiple linear regression

For the upper limb PRTs, the age, heart rate and smoking are statistically significant predictors. With lower limb PRTs, the PAD is a clearly more significant predictor than age, heart rate, other cardiovascular disorders or symptoms concomitantly present in the PAD-patients. The visually observed stronger age dependence of the upper limb (Fig. 3) in comparison with the lower limb (Fig. 2) PRT supports the results of the multiple linear regression analysis. Table IV shows the results of multiple linear regression analysis for the changes in PRT due to PTA and change in heart rate. This shows that the change in heart rate does not explain the changes between the pre-treatment state and the follow-up visit.

IV. Discussion

The results show that the lower limb PRT increases with the PAD lesions compared with healthy subjects or contralateral

Table III

MULTIPLE LINEAR REGRESSION COEFFICIENTS ($\beta$) WITH p-VALUES FOR UPPER AND LOWER LIMB PRTs. STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT ($p < 0.05$) VALUES ARE HIGHLIGHTED.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Lower limb</th>
<th>Upper limb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Intercept, ms)</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (ms/year)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (ms/bpm)</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAD (ms)</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes (ms)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia (ms)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (ms)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis (ms)</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermittent claudication (ms)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (ms)</td>
<td>-44</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease (ms)</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary thrombosis (ms)</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac failure (ms)</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrhythmia (ms)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F$-statistics vs. constant model</td>
<td>$5.3 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$7.4 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PAD = peripheral arterial disease
lower limb PRTs depend slightly on the age (0.63 ms/year, 
\[ p \approx 0.015 \]) , but PAD (42 ms, \[ p \approx 4.2 \cdot 10^{-4} \]) and its 
typical symptoms, such as intermittent claudication (46 ms, 
\[ p \approx 0.002 \]) and dyslipidemia (47 ms, \[ p \approx 0.006 \]) , were 
found to have a more significant effect. Based on the presented 
results, the lower limb PW-derived features are more sensitive 
than the upper limb PWs to reveal arterial diseases which can 
be clinically seen as a continuum of degenerative changes. One 
possible reason is that the higher hydrostatic pressure in the 
lower limbs at standing or sitting positions causes 
physiological thickening of the arterial walls, making the 
arteries of the lower limb more vulnerable to atherosclerotic 
changes [20]. On the other hand, the arterial pathway from the 
heart to the toe is longer than distance from the heart to the 
finger which may have an effect, too.

Earlier studies have found association between age and PTT 
[8]–[10] as well as association between the time delays of 
pulse arrivals between healthy and diseased lower limbs [11]. 
In both cases, peak or foot points of the PW are utilized as reference 
points. A recent study [12] suggests that the PTA affects 
positively to the lower limb PTT of a treated limb. There are 
also studies reporting differences in the PRTs between PAD-
patients who have abnormal ABI and healthy control subjects 
as well as differences between the contralateral lower limbs of 
the PAD-patients [7]–[9]. However, the authors are not aware 
of the prior studies reporting the changes of PRT caused by 
the PTA treatment. The present study shows that the PRTs 
decrease towards healthier values as a result of successful PTA.
The positive changes found in the vascular dynamics as a result 
of the PTA are supported also by Yokoyama et al. [21] who 
have reported a decrease in brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity 
(baPWV) in diabetic patients having PAD compared with 
diabetic patients without PAD lesions even though baPWV 
normally increases with age and arterial stiffening. In their 
study, an increase in baPWV values of the diabetic patients 
was observed after the successful PTA. A positive change 
after the lower limb PTA in an upper limb derived vascular parameter, radial artery derived aortic augmentation index, 
has been reported as a result of beneficial systemic vascular 
effects after revascularization and remodeling [22]. However, 
the present study did not find consistent improvement in the 
upper limb PRT or non-treated lower limb PRT.

When considering the pressure as a driving force or input 
in the arterial system, arterial tree as a conduit system or a 
transfer function having a certain frequency response, and the 
observed peripheral blood volume pulse as an output or a 
response of the system, the increase in the flow resistance of 
the arterial pathway decreases the cut-off frequency of the 
arterial tree. This causes higher-frequency features to be 
attenuated which is seen as rounded features of the PW and 
the delays in the response of the system, i.e. the arrival 
of the peripheral peak blood volume in the case of PPG 
measurement. The diminished arterial compliance, which is 
associated with aging, decreases the PTT by 0.58 ms/year 
\[ \ldots 0.80 \text{ ms/year} \] [7], [10], but PAD increases in the lower limb 
PRT and foot- and peak point-based PTTs with PAD-patients 
[8], [9], [12] which is in line with the present results and our 
assumption. With respect to the present and earlier results, the

### Table IV

**Multiple linear regression coefficients (\( \beta \)) with p-values between pre-treatment condition and follow-up visit for the changes in upper limb PRTs with change in heart rate (HR) and PTA as predictors. Statistically significant (\( p < 0.05 \)) values are highlighted.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( \beta )</th>
<th>( p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in HR (ms/bpm)</td>
<td>-0.94</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTA (ms)</td>
<td>-55.4</td>
<td>3.3 ( \cdot 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-statistics vs. constant model</td>
<td>9.9 ( \cdot 10^{-5} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
increase in flow resistance is a dominating factor compared with the decreases in the arterial compliance in PAD-patients. Previous studies have reported varying sensitivity of 15%–79% and specificity of 83%–99% for a test of ABI < 0.9 [5], which is the current gold standard in daily clinical practice in screening and monitoring of the PAD. ABI has challenges especially in the patients having mediasclerotic changes. In such patients, normal or too high ABI readings are expected, despite the stenoses, since the stiffening of the lower limb arteries prevents the compression of the arteries with a cuff used in the ABI measurement. Lower limb PRT or other lower limb PW-derived markers [8], [9], [11], [16], [19] could play an additional diagnostic role along with conventional screening tools such as ABI and toe pressure measurement especially in patients having stenoses and mediasclerotic changes concomitantly present in the lower limbs. As the PRT is not significantly disturbed from the inserted endovascular instrumentation, it could be suitable even for peri-operative monitoring to check the patient’s response to the treatment. However, the validation of the method requires further studies with higher sample size and especially diabetic patients having ABI>1.3.

V. Conclusions

The present proof-of-concept study suggests that lower limb PRT is an effective marker of PAD lesions. Based on the data recorded from 89 Caucasian subjects, the lower limb PRT greater than approximately 240 ms indicates PAD lesions and the patients may benefit from additional vascular examinations. The age does not explain the difference, and the method is selective (AUC=0.986) for the PAD lesions in the lower limb: The study showed that there were immediate changes (-33.9 ms (-74.6 ms . . . -4.2 ms), p < 0.0005) of the lower limb PRTs in the limb that underwent PTA of the SFA, and the changes became more visible (-64.7 ms (-137.3 ms . . . -20.1 ms), p < 0.0005) after one month follow-up period, most probably due to histological remodeling of the lower limb arteries after the revascularization. In the case of non-treated lower limb or upper limb, no consistent changes were observed in the PRT. Based on the present and previous studies, lower limb PW-derived features [8], [9], [12], [16], [19] could add to diagnostics especially in patients presenting with stenoses and mediasclerotic changes of the lower limb arteries. Despite the promising results, further studies with higher sample sizes should confirm and validate the results.
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