The effect of percutaneous transluminal angioplasty of superficial femoral artery on pulse wave features
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Abstract

We aimed to analyze the effects of percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) of the superficial femoral artery (SFA) on arterial pulse waves (PWs). Altogether 24 subjects i.e. 48 lower limbs were examined including 26 treated lower limbs having abnormal ankle-to-brachial pressure index (ABI) (ABI < 0.9 or ABI > 1.3) and 22 non-treated lower limbs. The measurements were conducted in pre-, peri- and post-treatment phases as well as in follow-up visit after 1 month. Both ABI and toe pressures measured by standard equipment were used as reference values. PW-derived parameters include ratios of different peaks of the PW and time differences between them as well as aging index. Both treated and non-treated limbs were compared in pre- and post-treatment as well as follow-up visit conditions. The results were evaluated in terms of statistical tests, Bland-Altman-plots, free-marginal multirater \( \kappa \)-analysis and multiple linear regression analysis. PTA was found to cause small changes to the studied PW-derived parameters of the treated limb which were observed immediately after the treatment, but the changes were more pronounced in the follow-up.
visit. In addition, we observed that the endovascular instrumentation itself does not cause significant changes to the PW-derived parameters. The results show that PW-analysis could be a useful tool for monitoring the treatment-effect of the PTA. However, because the pre-treatment differences of the treated and non-treated limb were small, further studies with subjects having no arterial diseases are required. The study demonstrates the potential of the PW analysis in monitoring vascular abnormalities.
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1. Introduction

Atherosclerosis may be present as stiffening, stenosis or occlusion of the arteries. Peripheral arterial disease (PAD) is a specific form of atherosclerosis affecting mainly the lower limbs. PAD may be asymptomatic, but often it causes symptoms such as intermittent claudication, or conditions threatening the vitality of the limb. These conditions may be critical limb ischemia with rest pain and tissue defects and acute limb ischemia. PAD is also seen as a risk factor of acute cardiovascular events such as a stroke or myocardial infarction. PAD is treated commonly by means of percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) in which the stenosed artery is dilated in a minimally invasive endovascular treatment procedure. According to the past experience, the PTA results in the disruption of the atherosclerotic plaque and initiates the histological remodeling of the arterial wall [1]. In some cases, inward remodeling and a restenosis occur, resulting in the worsening of the symptoms. The patency rates of the PTA are reported to vary between 75 %–97 % and 60 %–84 % for one- and two-year follow-up times, respectively[2]. Commonly, the patients are subjected to a 1-month follow-up to ensure the technical success of the treatment. The re-examination includes the measurement of the ankle-to-brachial pressure index (ABI). However, ABI has several limitations, such as varying sensitivity and specificity from study-to-study [3] and challenges especially with the dia-
abetic and mediasclerotic patients [4, 5, 6]. As the ABI measurement has several drawbacks, imaging methods such as magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) or X-ray contrast-agent based angiography, could be alternatives. Still, MRA has high costs and X-ray angiography causes exposure to radiation. Systolic toe pressures or toe-to-brachial pressure index (TBI) have also been suggested as an alternative to ABI especially to diabetic patients, but also they have problems with the reliability [6]. Many studies have suggested pulse wave (PW) measurement and analysis for finding vascular abnormalities or abnormal vascular aging [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12].

Earlier, we have studied the repeatability of the PW-measurements and if there exist differences in the PW-morphologies between different-aged subjects as well as between healthy subjects and atherosclerotic patients in the signals recorded from various locations [11]. The experimental set-up of these studies limits the results only to provide information on the overall condition of the arterial tree without data on the effect of the stenosis itself. In this study, we test the suitability of the PW measurement and analysis for the monitoring of a superficial femoral artery (SFA) stenosis treated by PTA and provide information on the effects of PTA on PW. We hypothesize that PTA causes quantitative changes in the observed PW and that the effect of the treatment is observed immediately after the treatment and more clearly in the follow-up visit within one month due to remodeling initiated by the PTA. We also test if the studied PW-analysis methods are suitable for diagnostic use, e.g. if there are pre-treatment differences in the PW-features between the treated and non-treated lower limb. A benefit of the PW measurement compared with the static ABI measurement or angiographs is that it provides information also on the vascular dynamics.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Measurement hardware and sensor placement

The measurement data was collected in supine position by using electret sensors made of electromechanical film (EMFi) (Emfit S-series, Emfit Ltd, Fin-
land) and PPG probes (S0010A, Shenzhen Med-link, China) connected to a wireless body sensor network (WBSN) [13]. The sampling frequency was 250 Hz for the EMFi signals and 500 Hz for the PPG signals. The EMFi signals were interpolated to have a sampling frequency of 500 Hz in further signal processing.

EMFi-sensors, which are sensitive only to dynamic but not to static loading, were placed at the wrist on the top of the radial artery, and both ankles on the top of the posterior tibial artery in order to record dynamic pressure PW signals, i.e. a signal proportional to the varying AC-component or pulse pressure of the blood pressure signal.

Transmission mode PPG probes having an excitation wavelength of 905 nm were placed on index finger and both 2nd toes for recording blood volume PW signals. In addition to the PW-signal, bipolar ECG (electrocardiogram) was also measured by using conventional silver-silver chloride (Ag/Cl) electrodes placed under the clavicles and an ECG-device compatible with the WBSN [13]. The ECG was utilized in the extraction of the PWs.

The measurements were conducted during the pre-, peri-, and post-treatment phases of the PTA and during the follow-up visit after one month (median 33 days, inter-quartile range (IQR) 30–36 days). For peri-procedural data collection, the sensors were placed before the normal preparation of the PTA and were removed within 5–10 minutes after the PTA. The sensor placement was similar in the follow-up visit, but the duration of the measurement was 5 minutes and was done before the ABI and toe pressure measurements.

The ankle PW-signals were excluded from the analysis since they were disturbed heavily especially during the treatment mainly for two reasons: First, the PAD patients commonly have extremely low-amplitude pressure PW-signals and therefore the measurement is also very sensitive to the correct positioning of the sensors. Second, the operating table in the PTA room was narrow, which often forced patient’s lower limbs in such position that the structures containing EMFi sensors touched each other or the operating table, and this caused major artifacts to the signal.
2.2. Reference values

The ABI is defined as a ratio of the systolic blood pressures measured by a cuff from an arm and an ankle, i.e. \( \text{ABI} = \frac{P_{\text{ankle}}}{P_{\text{brachial}}} \). ABI was computed by dividing the highest ankle pressure (either ADP (arteria dorsalis pedis) or ATP (arteria tibialis posterior)) by the highest arm pressure (left or right). Toe pressures refer to the systolic pressures measured from the hallux. Both reference values were collected by using an automated measurement device, Falcon Pro (Viasonix, Israel). Pre-treatment (visit at polyclinics before the PTA) and follow-up visit ABI and toe pressure measurements were conducted as a part of normal clinical practice and used as the reference values in the study.

2.3. Study subjects

The inclusion criteria of the study were the abnormal ABI reading, i.e. \( \text{ABI} < 0.9 \) or \( \text{ABI} > 1.3 \), relevant symptoms, stenosis in SFA based on magnetic resonance imaging angiography and a patient considered as a candidate for the PTA of the SFA. A pacemaker and a possible risk that the study interferes the patient’s treatment process were considered as exclusion criteria. Altogether 27 volunteer patients undergoing PTA of the SFA were recruited for the study. Three of them were excluded for the following reasons: research personnel unavailable, a patient was found to be unsuitable for PTA during

![Figure 1: Sensor placement.](image-url)
the imaging and extremely low peripheral perfusion. 14 left and 12 right lower limbs were treated, including two patients with both lower limbs treated. More detailed data on the study subjects is shown in Table 1. A clear majority of the included patients met the criterion \( \text{ABI} < 0.9 \) — only one of the patients had pre-treatment \( \text{ABI} \) higher than 1.3. 15 non-treated lower limbs out of 22 lower limbs (68 %) also had the \( \text{ABI} \) value outside the normal range of \( 0.9 < \text{ABI} < 1.3 \) at least in one of the pre-treatment or follow-up visit \( \text{ABI} \) measurements.

Table 1: Data describing the study subject population including clinical reference values, \( \text{ABI} \) and toe pressure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Median (IQR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass (kg)</td>
<td>75.5 (67.0...93.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>179.0 (165.5...176.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m(^2))</td>
<td>26.7 (24.4...30.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>71.5 (67.5...76.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated lower limbs: 26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{ABI} ), pre-treatment</td>
<td>0.61 (0.50...0.75) *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{ABI} ), follow-up</td>
<td>0.96 (0.82...1.04) #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toe pressure, pre-treatment (mmHg)</td>
<td>59.0 (42.0...86.0) **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toe pressure, follow-up (mmHg)</td>
<td>94.5 (76.0...122.0) ##</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-treated lower limbs: 22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{ABI} ), pre-treatment</td>
<td>0.88 (0.75...1.02) *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{ABI} ), follow-up</td>
<td>0.94 (0.72...1.08) #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toe pressure, pre-treatment (mmHg)</td>
<td>75.5 (53.0...117.5) **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toe pressure, follow-up (mmHg)</td>
<td>99.5 (66.0...122.0) ##</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>16 (66.7 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>11 (45.8 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>22 (91.7 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis</td>
<td>3 (12.5 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>2 (8.3 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-smoker</td>
<td>11 (45.8 %)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p-values for the pre-treatment \( \text{ABI} \) and toe pressure values between treated and non-treated limb:

\( \ast: p < 0.001, \; **: p < 0.1, \; # \) and \( ##: \) not significant

BMI: Body-mass index; IQR: inter-quartile range.

2.4. Ethics and patient safety

The study was approved by the local ethical review board of the hospital district (R15107), the Finnish National Supervisory Authority of Health and
Welfare (Valvira, ID 309) and the technical department of the hospital. The study was registered to a public clinical trials register (ClinicalTrials.gov ID: NCT02725307). Written informed consents were obtained from the volunteer patients participating in the study.

2.5. Pulse wave analysis

The PW-extraction was implemented first by finding R-peaks from the ECG by an algorithm proposed in [14]. After that, local minima following each detected R-peak were extracted from the pulse wave signals. The pulse wave signal between the successive local minima was considered as a PW candidate. The validity of the PW candidates was tested by using adaptive thresholds. A PW candidate was rejected if 1) the slope of the rising edge of the PW candidate was not positive for 50 ms or if its average slope was less than 30% of the maximum slope; 2) the difference of the minimum and maximum value of the PW-candidate was outside certain thresholds; or 3) amplitude-normalized PW candidate was outside predefined limits for at least 20% of its duration.

In pre-processing, the signals were filtered with a Savitzky-Golay smoothing filter having a window length of 91 samples (182 ms) and a polynomial order of 2. In addition, the signals were forward-backward filtered with a finite impulse response low-pass filter having a cutoff frequency of 10 Hz, transition band of 10–12 Hz, pass band ripple of 0.05 dB, and stop band attenuation of 100 dB [15], as implemented in [16, 11]. After pre-processing and feature extraction, the PWs were characterized by computing altogether 10 different PW-parameters.

2.5.1. PW-curve derived features

The features extracted from the PWs were originally proposed either for radial pressure PW-analysis or digital volume (PPG) PW-analysis as e.g. in [17, 18]. In earlier studies [16, 11], we extracted similar features also from the lower limb PWs by using algorithms that were originally implemented for upper limb PWs and proposed in [15, 19]. In this study, we analyzed how these features, extracted from lower limb PWs, are changed as a result of the PTA of
the SFA. Numerous studies have presented results that the morphology of both
pressure and volume pulse wave depends on the status of the vasculature. The
resistance and compliance of the arterial pathway affects the wave propagation
and reflection [9], but the exact physiological origin and significance of the
differences requires further studies especially in case of lower limbs.

Different parameters were computed based on the amplitudes and time dif-
fferences between the systolic and diastolic waves as in [11] and illustrated in
Fig. 2. When finding the fiducial points for \( P_1 \), \( P_2 \), and \( B \), the incisura or
dicrotic notch dividing the individual PW into systolic and diastolic parts was
found as the last zero-crossing from negative to positive of the 1st derivative
\( f' \) of the PW in the search window limited by a point 80 ms after the maximum
of the PW and a point which corresponds to 65% from the total length of the
PW. In case of the absence of this zero-crossing, the location of incisura was
detected at the location of the highest peak of the 2nd derivative \( f'' \) found from
the same interval [16]. Based on these features, the following parameters were
computed:

- \( R_1 \) as a ratio of the amplitude of diastolic wave \( B \) and the systolic max-
imum (the maximum of \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) in Fig. 2), i.e. \( R_1 = B / \max(P_1, P_2) \),
named as reflection index for index-finger PPG in [18],

- \( R_2 \) as a ratio of the amplitude of diastolic wave \( B \) and early systolic wave
\( P_1 \), i.e. \( R_2 = B / P_1 \)

- \( R_3 \) as a ratio of the amplitude of diastolic wave \( B \) and late systolic wave
\( P_2 \), i.e. \( R_3 = B / P_2 \)

- \( R_4 \) as a ratio of the amplitude of late (\( P_2 \)) and early (\( P_1 \)) systolic wave, i.e.
\( R_4 = P_2 / P_1 \), named as peripheral augmentation index for wrist pressure
pulses e.g. in [17],

- \( T_1 \) as a time difference between systolic maximum (\( \max(P_1, P_2) \)) and the
peak of the diastolic wave (\( B \))
The peaks of early and late systolic waves $P_1$ (Fig. 2) and $P_2$ as well as diastolic wave $B$ were found based on the method described in [16, 19]. These peaks are often overlapped in the PWs recorded from atherosclerotic patients, but they are more obvious in radial or carotid PWs and in lower limb PWs recorded from healthy subjects [16, 11]. For these reasons, the fiducial points were found by implementing 5th-order derivative analysis as in [16, 19].

After finding the boundary between the systolic and diastolic parts, the following procedure was implemented for finding $P_1$ and $P_2$ [16, 19]: If the sign of the 5th derivative $f^{(5)}$ at the point corresponding to the systolic maximum of the PW was

1. positive, this point was considered as a point for the late systolic peak $P_2$
and the last zero-crossing of $4^{th}$ derivative $f^{(4)}$ from positive to negative before $P_2$ as early systolic peak $P_1$.

2. negative, this point was considered as a point for the early systolic peak $P_1$ and the first zero-crossing of $f^{(4)}$ from negative to positive after $P_1$ as a late systolic peak $P_2$.

In order to find the diastolic wave $B$, the zero-crossing of $1^{st}$ derivative $f'$ from positive to negative in the diastolic part of the PW was selected as the location of the diastolic peak. If such diastolic zero-crossing of $f'$ was missing, the location for the diastolic peak was defined as the first zero-crossing of $2^{nd}$ derivative $f''$ from positive to negative in the diastolic part of the PW [16].

Aging index (AGI) based on the $2^{nd}$ derivative of an individual toe-PPG PW signal was computed as AGI = $(b - c - d - e)/a$ in which $a$ is the peak amplitude of the $2^{nd}$ derivative of the PW and $b$, $c$, $d$, and $e$ are the amplitudes of the following local extremities of the $2^{nd}$ derivate [18].

The amplitude ratios $R_1$–$R_4$ and AGI derived for the lower limb PPG are observed to increase with age and atherosclerotic changes and time delays $T_1$–$T_3$ to decrease with age and atherosclerotic changes in [11, 16].

2.5.2. Area ratios

Two different ratios of areas under amplitude-normalized PWs recorded from different locations were extracted as

- $A_{wt} = A_{wrist}/A_{toe}$
- $A_{ft} = A_{finger}/A_{toe}$

in which $A_{wrist}$, $A_{toe}$ and $A_{finger}$ refer to area under wrist, toe, and finger PWs, respectively. These areas were computed by using trapezoidal numerical integration.

2.6. Statistical methods

The distributions of the values of the PW-features found for the treated and non-treated lower limb were compared by implementing non-parametric two-
tailed Mann-Whitney U-tests because of relatively small sample sizes and non-
symmetric distributions. The pair-wise differences between the values before
and after the PTA as well as in the follow-up visit were compared by imple-
menting two-tailed non-parametric sign tests for the same reasons as with the
Mann-Whitney U-tests.

Also the peri-procedural changes in the values of the PW-features caused
by the inserted endovascular instrumentation were analyzed by means of sign
tests in two cases: 1) before any endovascular preparation vs. all the necessary
equipment inserted to the SFA, and 2) immediately after the balloon angioplasty
or a possible stent installation vs. all the endovascular instruments removed.
The non-parametric methods instead of parametric tests were selected because
of the relatively small sample sizes and skewness of the distributions of the part
of the parameters.

Bland-Altman (BA) plot analysis was implemented for both PW-derived
indices as well as reference values in order to see if there are differences between
the groups of treated and non-treated lower limbs. In the BA-plot, the difference
between two measurements \((p_{\text{follow-up}} - p_{\text{before}})\) is plotted against the average
of two measurements \((1/2 \cdot (p_{\text{before}} + p_{\text{follow-up}}))\) in which \(p_{\text{before}}\) is the pre-
treatment value and \(p_{\text{follow-up}}\) is the value in the follow-up visit.

In order to study if the values of the PW-features and reference values (ABI
and toe pressure) change in the same direction as a result of treatment, fixed-
marginal multirater \(\kappa\)-analysis\cite{20} was performed for the values found for the
treated lower limbs. If the value develops in a healthier direction, i.e. the
waveform changes towards the values measured for healthy volunteers in \cite{11}
as a result of the treatment, that value was categorized as positive change, and
if the value develops towards worse condition, that value was categorized as
negative change. The \(\kappa\)-analysis is performed between PW-derived indices and
ABI as well as between PW-derived indices and toe pressures. An increase in
ABI and toe pressure value is considered as a positive change towards healthier
condition.

Multiple linear regression models are constructed for finding if the change
in the values is explained by the treatment and remodeling or other changing factors.

2.7. Pre-treatment repeatability

Pre-treatment beat-to-beat repetability was studied by means of the intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) based on the one-way random effect model\cite{21, 22} and coefficient of variation (CV). ICC was used to estimate the repeatability of the series of measurements and it was defined as a ratio of between-subject variance and the sum of between-subject and within-subject variances and estimated as

\[
ICC = \frac{MS_{bs} - MS_{ws}}{MS_{bs} + (k - 1)MS_{ws}}
\]

in which \(MS_{bs}\) is between-subject mean squares, \(MS_{ws}\) is within-subject mean squares from ANOVA (analysis of variance) table and \(k\) is the number of observations per subject which computed as in\cite{22} in case of unequal number of observation per test subject as in this case. CV is defined as a ratio between sample standard deviation \(s\) and mean \(m\) as \(CV=s/m\).

3. Results

The amplitude ratio \(R_4\) and AGI did not reveal any statistically significant differences between any of the studied situations or between treated and non-treated limbs, so more detailed results related to them are not reported.

3.1. Distributions of the PW-parameters between pre-treatment state and follow-up visit

The distributions of the values of the PW-features before the treatment and at the follow-up visit are presented in Table 2 in terms of median and IQR for both treated and non-treated lower limbs. Generally, there were no differences at group level in the pre-treatment values between treated and non-treated limbs. The area ratio \(A_{ft}\) was an exception \((p < 0.05)\) suggesting only weak difference between the pre-treatment condition of treated and non-treated limb. If the
Table 2: Distributions (median and IQR) of the values of the PW-features for treated and non-treated limb for both pre-treatment condition and a situation at the follow-up visit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Treated limb Median (IQR)</th>
<th>Non-treated limb Median (IQR)</th>
<th>Follow-up visit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R_1$</td>
<td>0.52 (0.45...0.57)</td>
<td>0.47 (0.32...0.53)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.27...0.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_2$</td>
<td>0.56 (0.48...0.63)</td>
<td>0.52 (0.34...0.62)</td>
<td>0.37 (0.29...0.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_3$</td>
<td>0.56 (0.50...0.61)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.33...0.60)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.27...0.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_1$</td>
<td>0.27 (0.23...0.36)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.24...0.35)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.31...0.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_2$</td>
<td>0.31 (0.28...0.40)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.28...0.38)</td>
<td>0.36 (0.34...0.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_3$</td>
<td>0.22 (0.19...0.30)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.21...0.32)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.25...0.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{wt}$</td>
<td>0.81 (0.78...0.89)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.82...0.94)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.84...0.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{ft}$</td>
<td>0.91 (0.87...0.98)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.91...1.07)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.90...1.11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05

pre-treatment reference values are studied, ABI provides statistically significant differences between treated and non-treated lower limb whereas the sample size is slightly too small for finding significant differences with toe pressures (Table 1). Neither the PW-derived parameters (Table 2) nor the reference values (Table 1) show differences between the distributions of treated and non-treated lower limbs at the follow-up visit.

3.2. Changes during the PTA

Clear changes during the PTA were observed only when the PTA-balloon was filled: at those points, the amplitude of the PW decreased at least 50% except for one test subject. According to the implemented statistical tests, the inserted endovascular instrumentation does not otherwise cause changes to the values of studied PW-parameters.

3.3. Immediate pre- and post-treatment changes

The immediate changes caused by the treatment are relatively small (Figs. 3a–c) compared with changes found in the follow-up visit (Figs. 3h–j). Statistically significant differences for these immediate changes in the values of the PW-features of the treated limbs were found only for $R_2$, $T_2$, $T_3$, and $A_{ft}$ even
though the trend of other values is also in the healthier direction as described in [11].

The changes found for the values of the PW-features of the non-treated limb do not show any statistically significant differences between pre-treatment and post-treatment conditions. With most of the parameters ($R_1-R_3$, $T_1-T_3$, and $A_{ft}$) the distribution of the immediate changes in the values of the treated limbs differ significantly from changes found for the non-treated limbs (Figs. 3a–c).
3.4. Changes in the follow-up visit

The changes in amplitude ratios $R_1-R_3$, time differences $T_1-T_3$ and area ratio $A_{wt}$ for the treated lower limb indicate statistically significant differences between the follow-up visit and the post-treatment situation as well as between follow-up visit and pre-treatment situation (Figs. 3f–j). With these parameters, the distributions of the changes found for the treated and non-treated limb from differ each other statistically, and this is also observed by a visual inspection: the changes in the non-treated limb are clearly more zero-centered than the changes in the treated limb in which the trend is in the healthier direction reported in [16]. These trends in the amplitude ratios ($R_i$) and time differences ($T_i$) are also seen in the examples of the PWs recorded in different situations shown in Fig. 2.

3.5. Comparison with ABI and toe pressures

The BA-plots are shown in Fig. 4 for the pre-treatment situation and the follow-up visit for the PW-derived parameters as well as for the reference values ABI and toe pressures. The 95% limits of agreement were not drawn for the treated limbs since the change in the measurand as a result of the PTA is assumed. In the ideal case and after successful PTA treatment, the BA-plot should indicate no difference or deviation in the readings of the non-treated limb and a consistent difference (bias) towards healthier condition in the treated limb. With the PW-parameters, the deviations are high for both treated and non-treated limbs. However, this is the case also for the reference values: For treated limbs, bias and 95% limits of agreement of $0.27 \pm 0.47$ and $(28.9 \pm 64.5)$ mmHg were found for the ABI and toe pressure, respectively. Even for the non-treated limb, bias and 95% limits of agreement of $0.06 \pm 0.37$ and $(0.2 \pm 82.0)$ mmHg were found for ABI and toe pressure, respectively.

In addition to BA-plots, the free-marginal multirater $\kappa$-values between PW-derived parameters and the reference values, ABI and toe pressures, of the treated lower limb are shown in Table 3. All the PW-derived parameters which showed statistically significant differences between the pre-treatment situation
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and follow-up visit have at least moderate acceptance with the ABI, and the \( \kappa \)-values between ABI and \( R_1, R_3, T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) parameters are even higher than the \( \kappa \)-value between ABI and toe pressure (0.75). All \( \kappa \)-values between the ABI and PW-derived parameters are higher than corresponding values between toe pressures and PW-derived parameters.

Table 3: Free-marginal multirater \( \kappa \) values for the changes between different values and ABI and toe pressure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>ABI</th>
<th>Toe pressure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( R_1 )</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_2 )</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_3 )</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_1 )</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_2 )</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_3 )</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_{wt} )</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_{ft} )</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toe pressure</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.6. Multiple linear regression

The results of multiple linear regression analysis for the changes between pre-treatment situation and follow-up visit are shown in Table 4. According to the results, the changes are dependent on the treatment of the SFA but not on the RR-interval except \( T_2 \). Surprisingly the toe pressures are less dependent on the treatment of the SFA than PW-derived indices and ABI.

3.7. Pre-treatment repeatability

Both ICC and CV are shown in Table 5 for beat-to-beat data in pre-treatment situation. ICCs close to 0.9 or higher and median CVs less or equal than 2% were observed. Both indicators show good repeatability and are inline with the earlier study [11].
Figure 4: Bland-Altman plots for the pre-treatment values and the values found in the follow-up visit for each PW-parameter and the reference values ABI and toe pressure. The normal range for ABI is also shown in black vertical dashed lines in panel i).
Table 4: Multiple linear regression coefficients and significance levels. The marker after parameter name refers to p-value of the F-statistics vs. constant model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>ΔRR</td>
<td>SFA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_1\circ$</td>
<td>-0.047</td>
<td>-0.164</td>
<td>-0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_2&amp;$</td>
<td>-0.058</td>
<td>-0.257</td>
<td>-0.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_3&amp;$</td>
<td>-0.041</td>
<td>-0.134</td>
<td>-0.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_1&amp;$</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>-0.056</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_2&amp;$</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>-0.235</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_3&amp;$</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>-0.129</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{wt}&amp;$</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{ft}&amp;$</td>
<td>-0.004</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABI</td>
<td>-0.053</td>
<td>0.490</td>
<td>-0.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toe pressure</td>
<td>-4.731</td>
<td>19.928</td>
<td>-20.727</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ΔRR: change in RR-interval

*: p < 0.10, ◦: p < 0.05, ●: p < 0.025, ▷: p < 0.01

Table 5: Intra-class correlations (ICC) and coefficients of variation (CV) for pre-treatment measurement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>ICC (95% confidence limit)</th>
<th>Median CV (1st...3rd quartile) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R_1$</td>
<td>0.96 (0.93-0.97)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.4...3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_2$</td>
<td>0.96 (0.93-0.97)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.3...3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_3$</td>
<td>0.96 (0.94-0.97)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.4...2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_1$</td>
<td>0.89 (0.84-0.93)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.9...2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_2$</td>
<td>0.89 (0.83-0.92)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.8...3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_3$</td>
<td>0.91 (0.87-0.94)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.2...4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{wt}$</td>
<td>0.96 (0.94-0.97)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.4...3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{ft}$</td>
<td>0.97 (0.96-0.98)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.0...1.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

The presented results suggest that PTA caused significant changes in the features extracted from the PWs. The majority ($R_1$–$R_3$, $T_1$–$T_3$, $A_{wt}$, and $A_R$) of the studied parameters showed statistically significant differences between pre-treatment condition and a situation at the follow-up visit. Only $R_2$, $T_2$–$T_3$, and $A_R$ showed differences between the pre-treatment and immediate post-treatment values, but other PW-derived parameters still had trends towards healthier condition. The PW-measurement is able to find some immediate changes, but the results suggest that the remodeling of the plaque and arterial wall within the
follow-up period makes the changes more visible. Therefore, the behaviour of the post-treatment PW-parameters is similar to the post-treatment ABI as proposed in [23] and especially if the pre-treatment ABI is less than 0.80 [24].

According to the results, the endovascular instrumentation inserted into SFA does not affect the values of the peri-procedural PW-parameters. The independence of the PW-parameters on the insertion of the endovascular instruments could allow to monitor changes in PW morphology caused by the PTA in real time, even though the immediate changes could be small.

4.1. PW-analysis and changes caused by PAD

To the extent of our knowledge, the presented study is the first study which compares the values of lower-limb PW-derived parameters between the pre- and post-treatment situations of the PTA of the SFA. However, the results are supported by other studies concentrating on the diagnosis of the PAD: Yokoyama et al. [25] have reported an increase in PW velocity in diabetic patients due to arterial stiffness, but decrease in the PW velocity in a lower limb having PAD symptoms likely due to stenoses which decrease the blood pressure and thus the PW velocity in the diseased lower limb. After the successful PTA, the PW velocity increased indicating the changes in the lower limb vasculature. Similar kind of results were found in this study: the values of the PW-derived parameters change in the healthier direction as reported earlier in [11].

The PW-analysis can also reveal the beneficial wide-scale systemic remote effects of the PTA: Jacomella et al. [12] reported changes towards better condition within 3-month follow-up period of the PTA of the SFA in a carotid artery augmentation index based on a radial artery applanation tonometry. In the present study, the medians of the distributions of $R_1$–$R_3$ in non-treated limbs (Table 2) shifted towards healthier values observed in [11] during the 1-month follow-up period even though the patient-wise differences between pre-treatment and follow-up visit situations were close to zero (Figs. 3h–j). However, the changes in the IQRs were not as dramatic in Table 2. We assume that a successful PTA restores physical activity, such as walking, also improving the condition of the
contralateral lower limb and resulting in increased perfusion in the lower limbs. Similar changes were also observed in the clinical references values (Table 1 and Figs. 3l and 3k) which supports the assumption. The area ratios \( \frac{A_{wt}}{A_{ft}} \) and time intervals \( T_1 - T_3 \) behave differently: their distributions at pre-PTA and follow-up visit situations did not differ from each other in case of non-operated lower limbs (Table 2), indicating weaker dependence on positive systemic remote effects. Further studies should confirm these assumptions.

There are also studies [7, 8, 9, 10] reporting bilateral differences between the lower limbs with and without PAD. Erts et al. [10] have reported time differences in the foot points (the beginnings of the PW) between the lower limb with and without the PAD. Allen et al. [7, 8, 9] have found bilateral differences between toe-PPG signals in unilateral PAD patients. A comparison between different time delays between the peak or foot points of the PWs from different locations and between the patients and control subjects is also in our future interests.

4.2. Limitations of the method

In previous study [11], statistically significant differences were found for the study groups consisting of patients having atherosclerotic changes and same-aged healthy control subjects. The experimental setup in the previous study [11] was different than in this study in which the lower limbs that underwent SFA of the PTA were compared with the lower limbs without the PTA. The results of the present study do not show significant bilateral differences between the treated limb and the non-treatment limb if the pre-treatment values of the PW-derived parameters are studied. This possibly limits the diagnostic use of the studied PW-analysis methods.

Possible reason is that 68% of non-treated lower limbs provided abnormal ABI reading at least in one of the two measurements. If a patient has the symptoms of PAD in one limb, there is a high likelihood of atherosclerotic changes also contralaterally and elsewhere in the vasculature. The studied PW-derived parameters may also be sensitive to all kinds of degenerative atherosclerotic changes including the stiffening of the large arteries such as the aorta, not only
the stenoses and occlusions which are the typical lesions of the PAD. Still, depending on the method, either bilateral comparison or unilateral measurement can provide the better performance, even though the differences are small as in [8, 9].

If the obvious sources of error in the PPG-measurement, such as incorrectly placed PPG-probe and motion artefacts, are excluded, there are a few methodological limitations. Poor peripheral perfusion decreases the amplitude of the PPG-signal and may prevent the proposed analysis. The low-amplitude PPG-signal can be a marker of PAD, but can also be caused by vasoconstriction as the result of low temperature. The body position affects the shape of the PPG-signal and is a topic of further studies. However, in the present study, all the measurements were conducted in supine position and limbs extented. Light from the environment can disturb the PPG-signals, but in our measurements, regular 50 Hz and 100 Hz frequency components caused by the mains and the fluorescent lights were the most significant sources of disturbance and were eliminated by the filtering.

Despite the limitations discussed, the results suggest that PTA has an effect on most of the features extracted and evaluated in the present study. The analysis of the PW-features may provide additional information in finding the early signs of PAD or in monitoring the treatment during the follow-up period especially in the cases in which the conventional methods, ABI and toe pressure measurements, provide in unreliable or conflicting results. A part of the features combined with other health data may be utilized also as the inputs of multivariate analysis methods or machine learning which would be a topic of further studies.

5. Conclusions

Statistically significant differences were found in the values of the PW-derived features between pre-treatment and immediate post-treatment condition. Stronger differences in the treated lower limb were found between the
pre-treatment values and the post-treatment conditions after a 1-month follow-up period. In both cases, the changes in the values of the treated limb differed significantly from the corresponding changes found for the non-treated lower limb. In case of the non-treated lower limbs, the variations of the differences between different measurement events were relatively high but without a consistent trend towards healthier or worse condition. The endovascular instrumentation inserted into SFA did not affect the PW-parameters. The study was not able to show statistically significant differences in the pre-treatment values of the PW-derived parameters between the treated and non-treated lower limbs. This is possibly explained by the study sample: 68 % of the non-treated limbs produced an abnormal ABI-reading at least for one of the two measurements. This indicates that many patients had subclinical atherosclerotic changes also in the other lower limb. Prior to the real utilization of the measurement method, further comparison of the healthy subjects and PAD-patients and having standardized measurement conditions should confirm the findings.
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