



UNIVERSITY OF TAMPERE

This document has been downloaded from
TamPub – The Institutional Repository of University of Tampere

 *Publisher's version*

The permanent address of the publication is <http://urn.fi/URN:NBN:fi:uta-201304171089>

Author(s): Nwaru, Bright; Panesar, Sukhmeet; Hickstein, Lennart; Rader, Tamara; Werfel, Thomas; Muraro, Antonella; Hoffmann-Sommergruber, Karin; Roberts, Graham; Sheikh, Aziz

Title: The epidemiology of food allergy in Europe : protocol for a systematic review

Year: 2013

Journal Title: Clinical and Translational Allergy

Vol and number: 3 : 13

Pages: 1-5

ISSN: 2045-7022

Discipline: Public health care science, environmental and occupational health

School /Other Unit: School of Health Sciences

Item Type: Journal Article

Language: en

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/2045-7022-3-13>

URN: URN:NBN:fi:uta-201304171089

URL: <http://www.ctajournal.com/content/3/1/13>

All material supplied via TamPub is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorized user.

STUDY PROTOCOL

Open Access

The epidemiology of food allergy in Europe: protocol for a systematic review

Bright I Nwaru¹, Sukhmeet S Panesar², Lennart Hickstein³, Tamara Rader⁴, Thomas Werfel⁵, Antonella Muraro⁶, Karin Hoffmann-Sommergruber⁷, Graham Roberts⁸ and Aziz Sheikh^{2*} on behalf of European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis Guidelines group

Abstract

Background: The European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology is in the process of developing its Guideline for Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis, and this protocol of a systematic review is one of seven inter-linked evidence syntheses that are being undertaken in order to provide a state-of-the-art synopsis of the current evidence base in relation to epidemiology, prevention, diagnosis and clinical management and impact on quality of life, which will be used to inform the formulation of clinical recommendations.

The aims of the systematic review will be to understand and describe the epidemiology of food allergy, i.e. frequency, risk factors and outcomes of patients suffering from food allergy, and to describe how these characteristics vary by person, place and time.

Methods: A highly sensitive search strategy has been developed to retrieve articles that have investigated the various aspects of the epidemiology of food allergy. The search will be implemented by combining the concepts of food allergy and its epidemiology from electronic bibliographic databases.

Discussion: This systematic review will provide the most up to date estimates of the frequency of food allergy in Europe. We will attempt to break these down by age and geographical region in Europe. Our analysis will take into account the suitability of the study design and the respective study biases that could affect exposure and outcome. We will examine the different methods to diagnose food allergy and the associated measures of occurrence.

Keywords: Food allergy, IgE-mediated, Risk, Anaphylaxis, Epidemiology, Prevalence, Incidence

Background

The umbrella term 'food hypersensitivity' can be used to describe any 'adverse reaction to food' [1]. The term 'food allergy' refers to the sub-group of food-triggered reactions in which immunological mechanisms have been implicated, whether IgE-mediated, non-IgE-mediated, or involving a combination of IgE- and non-IgE-mediated etiologies [2]. All other reactions to food that were in the past sometimes referred to as 'food intolerance' constitute non-allergic food hypersensitivity reactions and are out of the focus of this enquiry.

Allergic sensitisation to a specific food does not always lead to clinical reactions. Consequently, serological tests for food-specific IgE or the determination of positive skin

prick test results are of themselves insufficient to establish the diagnosis of food allergy. Rather, there must also be evidence of the clinical expression of disease. IgE-mediated reactions can, for example, manifest as angioedema, urticaria, atopic eczema/dermatitis, oral allergy syndrome and anaphylaxis. Non-IgE-mediated immunological reactions result from activation of other immunological pathways (e.g., T-cell mediated) and can manifest as atopic eczema/dermatitis, gastro-esophageal reflux disease, food protein-induced enterocolitis, proctocolitis and enteropathy syndromes. The contemporary definition of food allergy thus includes several clinical entities with different pathophysiological (see Table 1) resulting from exposure to different foods. Coeliac disease is an important non-IgE mediated condition but as it has distinct symptoms and prognosis different from atopic conditions it will be excluded from this review [3].

* Correspondence: aziz.sheikh@ed.ac.uk

²University of Edinburgh, Teviot Place, Edinburgh EH8 9AG, UK
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Table 1 Pathologies with respective disorders seen in food allergy

Pathology	Disorder
IgE-mediated (acute-onset)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Atopic eczema/dermatitis ● Wheals, angioedema or both ● Contact urticaria ● Anaphylaxis ● Food-associated, exercise-induced anaphylaxis ● Oral allergy syndrome (pollen-associated food allergy syndrome) ● Immediate gastrointestinal hypersensitivity
Cell-mediated (delayed onset/chronic)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Atopic eczema/dermatitis ● Food protein-induced enterocolitis syndrome ● Food protein-induced allergic proctocolitis ● Allergic contact dermatitis ● Heiner syndrome
Combined IgE and cell-mediated (delayed onset/chronic)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Atopic eczema/dermatitis ● Eosinophilic oesophagitis ● Eosinophilic gastroenteritis

Uncertainty in estimating the incidence and prevalence of food allergy is in part due to changing definitions and imprecision in terminology, with investigators often failing to make clear whether they are studying food hypersensitivity in general, IgE-mediated conditions, non-IgE mediated morbidities, or some combination or subset of these reactions. Another major contributing factor to this uncertainty is that relatively few epidemiological studies have utilised the gold standard of diagnosis – the double-blind, placebo-controlled food challenge (DBPCFC) [4-10]. Rather, many studies have based their estimates of the frequency of food allergy on measurements of lay/patient perceptions of food allergy, which are known to substantially overestimate the actual frequency [11-21]. There is clearly a need for large, population-based, longitudinal studies employing DBPCFCs to secure the diagnosis of food allergy, [22] but in the interim there is also a need to make better sense of the extant literature in order to, amongst other things, inform estimates on the frequency of the disease, provide insights into disease aetiology, and enable risk stratification, which can be used to inform management decisions and deliberations on prognosis.

Epidemiological measures of particular interest for food allergy therefore include estimates of incidence and prevalence, risk and prognostic factors, and risk of recurrence and death. The following epidemiological definitions proposed by Last, and adapted for food allergy will be employed in this review [23].

Incidence

The number of new cases of the various IgE-mediated, non-IgE-mediated or combination causes of food allergy that occur during a given period in a defined population. Incidence will be studied as:

- *Incidence rate*: The number of new cases of food allergy that occur during a given period per unit of person-time.
- *Cumulative incidence*: The number of new cases of food allergy that occur during a given period per the population at risk.

Prevalence

The proportion of a defined population known to have experienced the various IgE-mediated, non-IgE-mediated or combination causes of food allergy. Care is required in defining the appropriate denominator. This epidemiological measure will be further divided into:

- *Point prevalence*: the proportion of the population that has experienced food allergy at a specific time.
- *Period prevalence*: the proportion of the population that has experienced food allergy during a given period.
- *Lifetime* : the proportion of the population that at some point in their life will have experienced food allergy.

Case fatality rate

The proportion of cases of anaphylaxis that proves fatal (usually defined within a time period). This is also sometimes known as the case fatality ratio.

The European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology (EAACI) is in the process of developing the EAACI Guideline for Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis, and this systematic review is one of seven inter-linked evidence syntheses that are being undertaken in order to provide a state-of-the-art synopsis of the current evidence base in relation to epidemiology, prevention, diagnosis and clinical management and impact on quality of life, which will be used to inform the formulation of clinical recommendations.

The aims of this systematic review will be to:

- Understand and describe the epidemiology of food allergy, i.e. frequency, risk factors and outcomes of patients suffering from food allergy
- Describe how these characteristics vary by person, place and time.

Methods

Search strategy

A highly sensitive search strategy has been developed to retrieve articles that have investigated the various

aspects of the epidemiology of food allergy. The search will be implemented by combining the concepts of food allergy and its epidemiology from electronic bibliographic databases. We have conceptualised the search to incorporate the three elements below as shown in Figure 1: Conceptualisation of systematic review on the epidemiology of food allergy.

To retrieve systematic reviews, we will use the systematic review filter developed at McMaster University Health Information Research Unit [24]. We have also adapted the search filter from York University Centre for Reviews and Dissemination [25] to retrieve incidence, prevalence and other characteristics describing the epidemiology of food allergy. Similarly, we also applied the McMaster filter for prognosis studies [26].

We will search the following databases:

- MEDLINE (OVID)
- Embase (OVID)
- CINAHL (Ebscohost)
- ISI Web of Science (Thomson Web of Knowledge)

The search strategy has been devised on OVID MEDLINE and then adapted for the other databases (see Additional file 1 for full search strategies). In all cases the databases will be searched from 1 January 2000 to 30 September 2012, and limited to Europe based on the definition provided by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) [27]. The countries covered by this restriction include Austria, Belgium, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Slovak Republic,

Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom. All references will be imported into an EndNote Library and tagged with the name of the database. Searches will be limited to literature from 2000 onwards as we want to study the contemporary epidemiology of food allergy.

Additional references will be located through searching the references cited by the identified studies, and unpublished work and research in progress will be identified through discussion with experts in the field. We will invite experts who are active in the field from a range of disciplines and geography to comment on our search strategy, and the list of included studies. There are no language restrictions and, where possible, all literature will be translated. We will report any literature which we are unable to translate.

Inclusion criteria for study designs

- Systematic reviews and meta-analyses
- Cross-sectional studies
- Case-control studies
- Cohort studies
- Routine healthcare studies

These study designs were chosen to ensure that the highest levels of evidence were pooled based on the aims of this review [28].

Exclusion criteria for study designs

- Reviews, discussion papers, non-research letters and editorials




Figure 1 Conceptualisation of systematic review on the epidemiology of food allergy.

- Case studies and case series
- Animal studies

Outcome assessment

Recognising that varied methods of assessments have been used to define food allergy across different studies, in estimating the frequency of the disease, we will include all possible methods that have been used by the primary studies to be included in the review, which include studies with self-reported assessment, clinician diagnosis, allergic sensitisation (based on skin prick test, specific IgE measurement, skin atopy patch test, and other radioallergosorbent test (RAST) measurements), and food challenges (open food challenge, one blinded food challenge, and double-blind placebo-controlled food challenge). For the synthesis of the studies on the risk and prognostic factors for food allergy, we will include only the studies that have studied objectively-verified (food challenges) food allergy as an outcome, as this will constitute the strongest evidence in terms of highlighting potential causal link between the risk factors and food allergy.

Study selection

The titles of the retrieved articles will be checked independently by two reviewers according to the above selection criteria and categorised as: included, not included and unsure. For those papers in the unsure category, we will retrieve the abstract and re-categorise as above after further discussion on them. Any discrepancies will be resolved by consensus and if necessary a third reviewer will be consulted to arbitrate. Full text copies of potentially relevant studies will be obtained and their eligibility for inclusion independently assessed by two reviewers. Studies that do not fulfil all of the inclusion criteria will be excluded.

Risk of bias assessment strategy

Risk of bias assessments will independently be carried out on each study by two reviewers using an adapted and modified relevant version of the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) quality assessment tool for systematic reviews [29], cohort studies and cross-sectional [30] and case-control studies [31], which involves an assessment of both internal and external validity [32]. An overall grading and grading for the various components of each study (e.g. the appropriateness of the study design for the research question, the risk of selection bias, exposure measurement, and outcome assessment) will be given to each study. Any discrepancies will be resolved by discussion or, if agreement could not be reached, by arbitration by a third reviewer.

Analysis, data synthesis and reporting

Data will be independently extracted onto a customised data extraction sheet by two reviewers, and any discrepancies will be resolved by discussion or, if agreement could not be reached, by arbitration by a third reviewer. A descriptive summary with data tables will be produced to summarise the literature. If clinically and statistically appropriate, meta-analysis using either fixed-effect or random-effects modelling will be undertaken using methods suggested by Agresti and Coul [33]. A narrative synthesis of the data will also be undertaken.

This review has been registered with the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) and has the registration number CRD42013003704 allocated to it. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) checklist will be used to guide the reporting of the systematic review [34].

Discussion

This systematic synthesis of studies published between January 2000 and September 2012 will provide estimates of the frequency of food allergy across different age groups and geographical regions in Europe. It will take into account the suitability of the study design for the research question, potential for selection bias, and the methods of exposure and outcome assessments. One strength of the review is that we will be able to examine all the different methods that have been used to measure food allergy (self-report, specific sensitization to food allergens, and food challenges, and their various combinations) as well as the different measures of occurrence of food allergy (point prevalence, life-time prevalence, and incidence), which will give us the opportunity to study different estimates of the frequency of food allergy according to these varied definitions.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Search strategies.

Abbreviations

DBPCFC: Double-blind, placebo-controlled food challenge; EAACI: European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology; OECD: Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development; RAST: Radioallergosorbent test; CASP: Critical Appraisal Skills Programme; PROSPERO: Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews; PRISMA: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses.

Competing interest

All authors declare that they have no competing interests, financial or otherwise.

Authors' contributions

BIN, SSP, LH, TR conceptualised and designed the protocol and drafted earlier versions of the document in their capacity as methodologists. TW, AM, KH-S and GR contributed to further refinements of the protocol and revised it critically for important intellectual content in their capacity as guideline leads. AS led on the development of concepts used in this

protocol and revised it critically for important intellectual content in his capacity as the methodology lead. All authors approved the final version to be published.

Author details

¹University of Tampere, Kalevantie 4 FI-33014, Finland. ²University of Edinburgh, Teviot Place, Edinburgh EH8 9AG, UK.
³Ludwig-Maximilian-University, Leopoldstr. 3, 018a 80802, Munich, Germany.
⁴University of Ottawa, 75 Laurier Avenue East, Ottawa, ON K1N 6N5, Canada.
⁵Hanover Medical School, Carl-Neuberg-Straße 1, 30625, Hanover, Germany.
⁶Padua General University Hospital, Via Giustiniani 3, 35128, Padua, Italy.
⁷Medical University of Vienna, Spitalgasse 23, 1090, Vienna, Austria. ⁸Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton SO171BJ, UK.

Received: 17 February 2013 Accepted: 23 March 2013

Published: 1 April 2013

References

- Johansson SG, Hourihane JO, Bousquet J, Brujnzeel-Koomen C, Dreborg S, Haahtela T, Kowalski ML, Mygind N, Ring J, van Cauwenberge P, van Hage-Hamsten M, Wüthrich B: **EAACI (the European academy of allergology and clinical immunology) nomenclature task force. A revised nomenclature for allergy. An EAACI position statement from the EAACI nomenclature task force.** *Allergy* 2001, **56**(9):813–824.
- Chafen JJS, Newberry SJ, Riedl MA, Bravata DM, Maglione M, Suttorp MJ, Sundaram V, Paige NM, Towfigh A, Hulley BJ, Shekelle PG: **Diagnosing and managing common food allergies: a systematic review.** *JAMA* 2010, **303**:1848–1856.
- Burks AW, Tang M, Sicherer S, Muraro A, Eigenmann PA, Ebisawa M, Fiocchi A, Chiang W, Beyer K, Wood R, Hourihane J, Jones SM, Lack G, Sampson HA: **ICON: food allergy.** *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2012, **129**(4):906–920.
- Eggesbo M: **The prevalence of CMA/CMPI in young children.** *Allergy* 2001, **56**:393–402.
- Jansen JJN, Kardinaal AFM, Huijbers G, Vlieg-Boerstra BJ, Martens B, Ockhuizen T: **Prevalence of food allergy and intolerance in the adult Dutch population.** *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1994, **93**:446–456.
- Vlieg-Boerstra BJ, Bijleveld MA, van der Heide S, Beusekamp BJ, Wolt-Plompen SAA, Kukler J, Brinkman J, Dulverman EJ, Dubois AE: **Development and validation of challenge materials for double-blind, placebo-controlled food challenges in children.** *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2004, **113**:341–346.
- Osterballe M, Hansen TK, Mortz CG, Host A, Bindslev-Jensen C: **The prevalence of food hypersensitivity in an unselected population of children and adults.** *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 2005, **16**:567–573.
- Roehr CC, Edenharter G, Reimann S, Ehlers I, Worm M, Zuberbier T, Niggemann B: **Food allergy and non-allergic food hypersensitivity in children and adolescents.** *Clin Exp Allergy* 2004, **34**:1534–1541.
- Young E, Stoneham M, Petrukevitch A, Barton J, Rona R: **A population study of food intolerance.** *Lancet* 1994, **343**:1127–1130.
- Zuberbier T, Edenharter G, Worm M, Ehlers I, Reimann S, Hantke T, Roehr CC, Bergmann KE, Niggemann B: **Prevalence of adverse reactions to food in Germany: a population study.** *Allergy* 2004, **59**:338–345.
- Aardoom HA, Hirasings RA, Rona RJ, Sanavro FL, van den Heuvel EW, Leeuwenburg J: **Food intolerance (food hypersensitivity) and chronic complaints in children: the parents' perception.** *Eur J Pediatr* 1997, **156**:110–112.
- Altman DR, Chiamonte LT: **Public perception of food allergy.** *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1996, **97**:1247–1251.
- Marklund B, Ahlstedt S, Nordstrom G: **Health-related quality of life among adolescents with allergy-like conditions: with emphasis on food hypersensitivity.** *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2004, **2**:65.
- Lunet N, Falcao H, Sousa M, Bay N, Barros H: **Self-reported food and drug allergy in Maputo, Mozambique.** *Public Health* 2005, **119**:587–589.
- Kristjansson I, Ardal B, Jonsson JS, Sigurdsson JA, Foldevi M, Bjorksten B: **Adverse reactions to food and food allergy in young children in Iceland and Sweden.** *Scand J Prim Health Care* 1999, **17**:30–34.
- Kanny G, Moneret-Vautrin D-A, Flabbee J, Beaudouin E, Morisset M, Thevenin F: **Population study of food allergy in France.** *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2001, **108**:133–140.
- Garcia Ara MC, Boyano Martinez MT: **Incidence of allergy to cow's milk protein in the first year of life and its effect on consumption of hydrolyzed formulae.** *An Pediatr (Barc)* 2003, **58**:100–105.
- Brugman E, Meulmeester JF, Spee-van der Wekke A, Beuker RJ, Radder JJ, Verloove-Vanhorick SP: **Prevalence of self-reported food hypersensitivity among school children in The Netherlands.** *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1998, **52**:577–581.
- Eggesbo M, Halvorsen R, Tamsb K, Botten G: **Prevalence of parentally perceived adverse reactions to food in young children.** *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 1999, **10**:122–132.
- Emmett SE, Angus FJ, Fry JS, Lee PN: **Perceived prevalence of peanut allergy in Great Britain and its association with other atopic conditions and with peanut allergy in other household members.** *Allergy* 1999, **54**:380–385.
- Frongia O, Bellomo AR: **Food allergies and intolerance in infants and children.** *Medico Bambino* 2005, **24**:533–538.
- Mills EN, Mackie AR, Burney P, Beyer K, Frewer L, Madsen C, Botjes E, Crevel RW, van Ree R: **The prevalence, cost and basis of food allergy across Europe.** *Allergy* 2007, **62**(7):717–722.
- Last JM: *A dictionary of epidemiology.* 4th edition. New York: Oxford University Press; 2000.
- McMaster University Health Information Research Unit. http://hiru.mcmaster.ca/hiru/HIRU_Hedges_MEDLINE_Strategies.aspx#Reviews.
- York University Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. http://www.york.ac.uk/inst/crd/intertasc/epidemiological_studies.html.
- McMaster filter for prognosis studies. http://hiru.mcmaster.ca/hiru/HIRU_Hedges_EMBASE_Strategies.aspx.
- The Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. <http://www.oecd.org/about/membersandpartners/>.
- OCEBM Levels of Evidence Working Group: *The Oxford 2011 Levels of Evidence.* Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine. 2012. Available online at <http://www.cebm.net/index.aspx?o=5653> Last accessed on 28th September.
- CASP checklist for systematic reviews. http://www.casp-uk.net/wp-content/uploads/2011/11/CASP_Systematic_Review_Appraisal_Checklist_14oct10.pdf Last accessed on 10th October 2012.
- CASP checklist for cohort studies. http://www.casp-uk.net/wp-content/uploads/2011/11/CASP_Cohort_Appraisal_Checklist_14oct10.pdf Last accessed on 10th October 2012.
- CASP checklist for case-control studies. http://www.casp-uk.net/wp-content/uploads/2011/11/CASP_Case-Control_Appraisal_Checklist_14oct10.pdf Last accessed on 10th October 2012.
- Appraisal Tools. <http://www.casp-uk.net/> Last accessed on 20th September 2012.
- Agresti A, Coull BA: **Approximate is better than "exact" for interval estimation of binomial proportions.** *Am Statist* 1998, **52**:119–126.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group: **Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement.** *PLoS Med* 2009, **6**(6):e1000097.

doi:10.1186/2045-7022-3-13

Cite this article as: Nwaru et al.: The epidemiology of food allergy in Europe: protocol for a systematic review. *Clinical and Translational Allergy* 2013 3:13.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

