



Short video marketing: What, when, and how short branded videos facilitate consumer engagement

Journal:	<i>Internet Research</i>
Manuscript ID	INTR-02-2022-0121.R3
Manuscript Type:	Research Paper
Keywords:	Video marketing, Digital marketing, Social media marketing, Short branded video, Consumer engagement

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Short video marketing: What, when, and how short branded videos facilitate consumer engagement

Abstract

Purpose: This study explores whether and how four main factors of short branded video content (content matching, information relevance, storytelling, and emotionality) facilitate consumer engagement (likes, comments, and shares), as well as the moderating effect of the release time (morning, afternoon, and evening) in such relationships.

Design/methodology/approach: This study uses Python to write programs to crawl relevant data information, such as consumer engagement and short video release time. It combines coding methods to empirically analyze the impact of short branded video content characteristics on consumer engagement. A total of 10,240 Weibo short videos (total duration: 238.645 hours) from 122 well-known brands are utilized as research objects.

Findings: Empirical results show that the content characteristics of short videos significantly impacted consumer engagement. Furthermore, the release time of videos significantly moderated the relationship between the emotionality of short videos and consumer engagement. Content released in the morning enhanced the positive impact of warmth, excitement, and joy on consumer engagement, compared to that released in the afternoon.

Practical implications: Our findings provide new insights for the dissemination of products and brand culture through short videos. We suggest that enterprises that use brand videos consider content matching, information relevance, storytelling, and emotionality in their design.

Originality/value: From a broader perspective, this study constructs a new method for

1
2
3
4 comprehensively evaluating short branded video content, based on four dimensions (content
5
6 matching, information relevance, storytelling, and emotionality) and explores the value of these
7
8 dimensions for creating social media marketing success, such as via consumer engagement.
9

10
11
12 Keywords: Video marketing, Digital marketing, Social media marketing, Short branded video,
13
14 Consumer engagement
15

16
17 Paper type: Research paper
18

19 20 **1. Introduction**

21
22 The growing number of emerging information systems and the maturity of mobile Internet
23
24 technologies have accelerated the popularity of social media platforms (Li and Xie, 2020) and
25
26 reshaped marketing praxis (Gavilanes *et al.*, 2018). Using different social networks and platforms,
27
28 companies can deliver marketing and brand content to targeted audiences in an efficient and timely
29
30 manner (Teixeira and Wedel, 2012). Among social marketing techniques, short videos have become
31
32 prominent (Kang *et al.*, 2012). The combination of short-form videos and social media platforms
33
34 increases the richness and vividness of information and improves communication efficiency,
35
36 providing great opportunities for socially based interactive marketing and advertising that engender
37
38 emotional arousal, immersion, trust, and social ties (Kang *et al.*, 2022; Gavilanes *et al.*, 2018;
39
40 Teixeira *et al.*, 2012). The short-form video market has been growing at an astonishing pace. In 2020,
41
42 the global online video platform market reached 1.17 billion dollars and is expected to reach 3.35
43
44 billion dollars in 2027 (Hengzhou Bozhi (QYR) Software and Business Service Research Center,
45
46 2021). Watching short-form videos, especially on mobile devices, is becoming the principal way for
47
48 most consumers to acquire information (Song *et al.*, 2021), and short-form video platforms such as
49
50 TikTok, YouTube Shorts, and Instagram Reels have concomitantly become the main battlefield of
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 marketing.

5
6 One of the biggest challenges in today's digital marketing for firms' consumer relationship
7 management is to engage consumers through social media content in all possible ways (Pansari and
8
9 Kumar, 2017). Consumer relationship management is considered an important firm activity (Pansari
10
11 and Kumar, 2017) and an approach for firms and organizations to acquire and maintain a sustainable
12
13 competitive advantage. Accordingly, in video content-based social media marketing, consumer
14
15 engagement can be used as the key indicator to measure digital and social media marketing
16
17 performance (Gavilanes *et al.*, 2018). However, competition in short video marketing is becoming
18
19 fiercer; firms currently face huge challenges in achieving satisfactory performance of short video
20
21 marketing. To be more specific, the key questions related to creating short branded videos are: What
22
23 kind of content can attract and retain consumers? How do consumers engage with them? Does the
24
25 release time of short branded videos affect consumer engagement?
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34
35 A review of existing studies on video and social media marketing reveals a lack of
36
37 comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing the success of short branded video
38
39 marketing. It is unclear what short-form video content consists of and what dimensions it includes
40
41 from a holistic perspective. In addition, it is important to know which factors and dimensions of
42
43 short-form video content play important roles in enhancing consumer engagement. Moreover, due to
44
45 the social nature of short-form videos, the time of publishing and posting the video content may be
46
47 particularly important. On one hand, unlike the passive relationship between audiences and
48
49 traditional media such as TV and video platforms, social media users have high autonomy to actively
50
51 choose marketing and advertising content (Liu *et al.*, 2018); on the other hand, they expect and crave
52
53 more social connections with others (Mulier *et al.*, 2021). The influence of the time of posting video
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 content seems to be related to the users' mental resources and their perception of social relatedness
5
6 (Zor *et al.*, 2022). Kanuri *et al.* (2018) pioneered exploring the impact of the time of social media
7
8 platform story posting on clicks to story links, but to our knowledge, there is almost no relevant
9
10 research on the impact of posting time on the marketing performance of short videos. In terms of
11
12 research methods, this study crawls relevant data information based on self-developed Python
13
14 programs to ensure the objectivity and authenticity of the findings which makes up for the
15
16 shortcomings of most existing studies based on self-reported surveys.
17
18
19
20
21

22 To address the aforementioned research gaps, this study empirically investigates the influence of
23
24 four main factors of short branded video content (content matching, information relevance,
25
26 storytelling, and emotionality) on consumer engagement (including likes, comments, and shares) by
27
28 analyzing 10,240 Weibo short videos (total duration: 238.645 hours) from 122 well-known brands.
29
30 We also examine the potential moderating effect of the release time. The study makes two main
31
32 contributions. First, we extracted the four important factors, namely, content matching, information
33
34 relevance, storytelling, and emotionality using a theoretical and empirical approach. Second, this
35
36 study provides strong evidence on how these factors influence consumer engagement with time as a
37
38 boundary condition. The findings contribute to content marketing and relationship management
39
40 theory and provide several practical implications. In this regard, the study shows that high-quality
41
42 short videos can attract consumers' attention, enhance consumer engagement, and increase product
43
44 and brand publicity.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 **2. Literature Review**

53 *2.1. Short video marketing*

54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Video marketing refers to an online platform --with content as the core and creativity as the

1
2
3
4 orientation--that uses finely planned video content to achieve product marketing and brand
5
6 communication. With the progress of media technology, visual information has become more
7
8 prevalent on social media, and companies increasingly rely on videos to promote their products and
9
10 services (Li *et al.*, 2019). Compared with text or static images, videos contain richer information,
11
12 including facial, body, and vocal features that enhance their popularity (Kang *et al.*, 2022).
13
14 Therefore, visual information, which is considered unstructured data (Sudhir, 2016), may play an
15
16 important role in the consumer decision-making process.
17
18
19
20
21

22
23 Current video marketing research shows that online video consumption is an important driver to
24
25 economics. From the perspective of consumer interest, engagement, and processing fluency, Mulier
26
27 *et al.* (2021) found that mobile vertical video advertising can improve consumer interest and
28
29 engagement compared to horizontal video advertising. In addition, the optimization of video title
30
31 information content, video title emotional intensity, video description information content, and video
32
33 tag volume can affect consumers' viewing behaviors (Tafesse, 2020), and short videos are also an
34
35 effective tool for marketing movies and other online contents (Liu *et al.*, 2018). Therefore, research
36
37 on the characteristics of short video content is important for visual marketing.
38
39
40
41
42

43 *2.2. Characteristics of short branded video content*

44
45 Recently, an increasing number of scholars have focused on the interaction between branded
46
47 marketing content and consumers on social media. For example, the interactivity, subjective norms,
48
49 and social ties of social media positively affect users' attitudes and behaviors related to sharing
50
51 relevant information (Lin *et al.*, 2019), and brand post characteristics (including vividness and
52
53 interactivity) and brand post content (including information and entertainment) on social media affect
54
55 the popularity of brand posts and consumer engagement (Schultz, 2017; Xi and Hamari, 2020). She
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 *et al.* (2021) found that headline characteristics and account types significantly influence the
5
6 attractiveness of social media posts, and content characteristics and media types affect the number of
7
8 likes of social media posts. Other research indicates that the credibility of product and industry
9
10 knowledge and dissemination of company content information significantly affect consumer brand
11
12 engagement (Bapna *et al.*, 2019), and multimedia content--such as videos, brand names, tags, and
13
14 subjective vocabulary--effectively increases consumers' positive word-of-mouth behavior related to
15
16 brand posts (Kim *et al.*, 2019).
17
18
19
20
21

22 Short branded video content refers to the products, characters, music, text, and other related
23
24 information contained in the short videos released by a brand. For short videos, characters, narratives,
25
26 and atmosphere are important. Research on characters shows that attractive spokespersons positively
27
28 impact consumers' purchase intentions (Kim *et al.*, 2021), and celebrities and cute elements, such as
29
30 babies and animals, are crucial to stimulating positive emotions, such as excitement (Tellis *et al.*,
31
32 2019). Short videos with a narrative structure can effectively stimulate the decision-making and
33
34 cognitive integration functions of brain regions, leading to better evaluations and more positive
35
36 attitudes toward a brand (Wang *et al.*, 2016). The higher the perceived fit of short videos to
37
38 consumers, the stronger consumers' preference for the brand (Batra *et al.*, 2010). Furthermore,
39
40 detailed branded product information may be particularly important to promoting brands and their
41
42 products. Maaya *et al.* (2020) indicate that information is important in decision-makers' choices, and
43
44 the attribute information provided during online shopping increases consumers' attention to relevant
45
46 attributes, thereby affecting consumer choice. Product-related information and source credibility in
47
48 user reviews positively affect consumers' cognitive attitudes and purchase behavior (Aghakhani *et*
49
50 *al.*, 2018). Furthermore, short videos contain information that expresses certain emotions that may
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 affect consumers' purchase decisions (Zhou *et al.*, 2021). Positive emotions facilitate consumers'
5
6 more emotion-consistent behaviors (Teixeira *et al.*, 2012; Tellis *et al.*, 2019).
7
8

9 Most previous studies mainly investigated the following four features of brand posts: content
10 matching, information relevance, storytelling, and emotionality (see Green and Brock, 2000;
11 Kruglanski, 2006; Agnihotri *et al.*, 2019). While most of the brand posts investigated consist of
12 textual and pictorial information, Tellis *et al.* (2019) examined what drives video ad sharing across
13 multiple social media platforms. In our research, we investigated the role of the four factors in short
14 branded videos.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 We selected 26 important items from Weibo short videos and invited 10 experienced Weibo
25 users to rate the items according to their importance. We deleted 10 items based on the literature and
26 user scoring. The remaining 16 most critical items have been cited extensively in the past and have
27 attracted users' attention. We used 11 of the 16 items as coding indicators for four factors that we
28 identify by referring to the literature and expert discussion. We included the remaining 5 items as
29 control variables because of their importance (for more detailed information, see Appendix 1).
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 Finally, consistent with previous research (Dall'Olio and Vakratsas, 2022), we considered the
41 characteristics of a short branded video from the perspective of its content and execution. Content
42 represents what is communicated in an ad, and execution represents how content is communicated.
43 For content, our study focuses on information relevance and emotionality, and for execution it
44 centers on content matching and storytelling. We explored the impact of both content and execution
45 on consumer engagement. Information refers to the various contents people transmit or receive,
46 which could enhance their understanding of their surroundings, and information relevance alludes to
47 the characters, products, and others. Emotion is part of the attitude, here concerning an individual's
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 attitude toward objects. Emotionality exists all the time, and consumers have different emotional
5
6 experiences related to different contents, affecting subsequent behavioral responses. Content
7
8 matching distinguishes the degree of causal correlation among phenomena and involves the
9
10 atmosphere created. Storytelling refers to the description of a story and mainly involves the storyline,
11
12 including two parts: narration and story.
13
14
15

16 17 2.3. Consumer engagement behavior 18

19
20 Consumer engagement has been widely discussed in relationship marketing as an important outcome
21
22 measure of a firm's activities (Pansari and Kumar, 2017). It refers to the degree of connection that
23
24 individuals form with organizations, including services, products, brands, and activities (Vivek *et al.*,
25
26 2012). Consumer engagement behavior has been defined as the behavioral manifestation of
27
28 consumers toward brands or companies caused by motivation drivers, including word-of-mouth
29
30 activities, recommendations, helping other consumers, writing comments, and even participating in
31
32 legal proceedings (Van Doorn *et al.*, 2010). Regarding consumer brand engagement, Liu *et al.* (2019)
33
34 showed that recognition, community identification, and self-efficacy have significant positive effects
35
36 on consumer engagement, which consequently enhances brand loyalty. Obilo *et al.* (2021) stated that
37
38 consumer brand engagement reflects the consumer's response to a good experience in the process of
39
40 interacting with brands, and it contains only behavioral dimensions; consumer engagement is
41
42 positively associated with brand advocacy. Moreover, building relationships and stimulating
43
44 emotional commitment can also increase consumer engagement behavior (Hu and Chaudhry, 2020),
45
46 and highly engaged consumers usually produce multiple behaviors, such as word of mouth, providing
47
48 consumer ratings, and blogging (Verhoef *et al.*, 2010).
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

58 In terms of behavioral engagement, scholars have focused on the number of likes, comments,
59
60

1
2
3
4 and shares, and have examined the specific factors that affect these behavioral engagements. For
5
6 example, word-of-mouth (positive or negative) and its interaction with community types (social or
7
8 functional target groups) affect the number of user likes and comments (Relling *et al.*, 2016). The
9
10 interaction between information readability and hedonism (Davis *et al.*, 2019) and language
11
12 behavior, rhetorical style, cross-information dynamics, and visual elements influence information
13
14 sharing (Ordenes *et al.*, 2019). Moreover, factors such as the launch of new products, product
15
16 display, consumer feedback, entertainment information, organization brand, text readability,
17
18 processing fluency, and advertising content attributes significantly impact consumers' likes,
19
20 comments, and shares (Gavilanes *et al.*, 2018; Pancer *et al.*, 2019).
21
22
23
24
25
26

27
28 Based on the focus of this study--namely, short branded videos--and the availability of data, we
29
30 use indicators of brand post popularity--that is, the number of likes, comments, and shares--to
31
32 measure consumer engagement behavior.
33
34

35 **3. Research Framework and Hypotheses Development**

36
37 Short branded video content is an important way to promote a brand and its products, and the
38
39 characteristics of short branded video content are very important. Consumers who watch a short
40
41 video will first hear background music and notice the location. The degree of matching between each
42
43 of these types of background and the product content displayed in the short video will affect
44
45 consumers' perceived fluency, which is expected to affect their attitudes toward the branded product
46
47 (Lee and Labroo, 2004). Therefore, content matching refers to the degree of matching of the short
48
49 branded video's background music with the brand or product and the short branded video's
50
51 background with the brand or product. As consumers obtain brand product information through short
52
53 videos, these videos must convey specific, clear, and credible informational content, and the
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 credibility of the information is expected to affect consumers' cognitive attitudes and engagement
5
6 (Agnihotri and Bhattacharya, 2019). Therefore, information relevance refers to the relevance of
7
8 information conveyed by the short branded video to a brand or product (i.e., whether and to what
9
10 extent the short video conveys brand or product information) and the clarity and credibility of the
11
12 short video product and non-product informational content. Concise language description and
13
14 high-quality narratives are easier to gain people's attention and promote consumer engagement
15
16
17 (Green and Brock, 2000; Atkinson *et al.*, 2018).
18
19
20
21

22 From discussions with experts in our research group, we consider storytelling to include the
23
24 conciseness of the short branded video's language and the excellent production of short video stories.
25
26 Emotionality refers to the emotion category conveyed by short branded videos. According to Tellis *et*
27
28 *al.* (2019) on the driving factors of video ad sharing, we identified 16 emotion categories, including
29
30 anger, courage, deprivation, disgust, excitement, failure, fear, hatred, humor, joy, love, pride,
31
32 sadness, shame, triumph, and warmth. Consumer engagement behavior includes comments and
33
34 shares. This study uses content matching, information relevance, storytelling, and emotionality as
35
36 independent variables, and consumer engagement behavior as the dependent variable. The research
37
38 model is illustrated in Figure 1.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Fig 1. Research model

Note: CBMB: the degree of matching of short video background music with the brand or product; CBB: the degree of matching of the short video background with the brand or product; PR: the relevance of information conveyed by the short video to brand or product; CC: the clarity and credibility of the short video product and non-product information content; LC: the conciseness of the short video language; SQ: the excellence of production of short video stories.

3.1. Characteristics of short branded video content and consumer engagement behavior

3.1.1 Characteristics of short branded video content: Content Matching

Studies related to fluency theory (Labroo and Lee, 2006; Shapiro and Nielsen, 2013) indicate that a fit brings higher perceived fluency, and a fluent consumer experience contributes to the formation of a more positive consumer attitude toward a brand, thereby promoting consumer engagement. Previous research has shown that a lack of fit leads to the use of cognitive resources to resolve inconsistencies, which may reduce an individual's attention to information (Wang *et al.*, 2021). Accordingly, when a fit occurs, people are more likely to have a good feeling about what they have done, and then make a more positive evaluation response (Kruglanski, 2006). Among extended brands with a high degree of brand concept consistency and product feature similarity to the original brand, the stronger the perception of fit is, the more significant consumers' preference for the extended brand will be, which helps to form a higher brand evaluation (Batra *et al.*, 2010). Similarly, music that fits more closely with the content of a short video is likely to enhance consumers' belief in the brand, thus promoting consumers' interaction with the brand. Therefore, we propose the following hypothesis:

H1: Factors related to content matching positively influence consumer engagement behaviors with short branded videos including likes, comments, and shares.

3.1.2 Characteristics of short branded video content: Information Relevance

On social media, brand-related posts that convey irrelevant information about the brand hinder consumers' searching for brand-related information (Ha *et al.*, 2021), thereby reducing the interaction between consumers and the brand or other consumers. Consumers tend to make decisions based on information in the external environment and experiences stored in memory. Given limited time and

1
2
3
4 energy, they always choose to receive information that is beneficial to them, whereas irrelevant
5
6 information weakens their product beliefs and may even have negative effects (Guo *et al.*, 2020).
7
8 Agnihotri and Bhattacharya (2019) used indicators of advertising credibility, advertising clarity, and
9
10 advertising content richness to evaluate consumers' attitudes toward advertising. Consumers'
11
12 credibility perception of short videos can significantly predict their intention to continue using short
13
14 video apps to obtain information (Song *et al.*, 2021). Furthermore, information source credibility and
15
16 social interaction can affect consumers' perceived usefulness, perceived enjoyment, and social
17
18 support in s-commerce (Hu *et al.*, 2022). In this study, brand credibility refers to the credibility of
19
20 product information. The higher the credibility of the brand, the more it can promote consumers'
21
22 consideration and choice of the brand. Therefore, we propose the following hypothesis:
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 H2: Factors related to information relevance positively influence consumer engagement
31
32 behaviors with short branded videos including likes, comments, and shares.
33
34

35 3.1.3 Characteristics of short branded video content: Storytelling

36
37 Research related to transportation theory shows that when individuals are drawn into a storytelling
38
39 world, the narrative affects their beliefs about the real world (Green and Brock, 2000). Information
40
41 transmitted in narrative form can have a greater impact on judgment and decision-making than that
42
43 presented through lists, and people are more likely to praise it (Hsiao *et al.*, 2013; Gelper *et al.*,
44
45 2018). Elements of storytelling blogs, such as perceived aesthetics, narrative structure, and
46
47 self-reference, indirectly influence readers' intentions through empathy and attitude. High-quality and
48
49 popular stories obtain higher transmission rates more easily (Green and Brock, 2000), resonating
50
51 with consumers and shortening the distance between them and the brand, thereby enhancing
52
53 interaction. Moreover, learners are more accustomed to simplified language systems (Atkinson *et al.*,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 2018). Thus, concise and clear language offers more advantages in interpersonal communication
5
6
7 (Garritty *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, we propose the following hypothesis:

8
9 H3: Factors related to storytelling positively influence consumer engagement behaviors with
10
11 short branded videos including likes, comments, and shares.

12 13 14 3.1.4 Characteristics of short branded video content: Emotionality

15
16
17 Studies related to the theory of emotional contagion show that emotional attributes can be transmitted
18
19 through media, can be positive or negative, and can impact consumers' attitudes (Hasford *et al.*,
20
21 2015; Smith and Rose, 2020). Positive emotions, such as gratitude, joy, and love, have a strong
22
23 positive impact on consumer behavior (Dong *et al.*, 2022; Dong *et al.*, 2023; Kranzbühler *et al.*,
24
25 2020), and advertisements with positive emotions related to, for example, entertainment, excitement,
26
27 inspiration, and warmth, generate more sharing (Tellis *et al.*, 2019). Positive emotions, such as
28
29 surprise and joy, concentrate people's attention, directly or indirectly affecting consumer retention
30
31 (Teixeira *et al.*, 2012) and thus influencing consumer purchase behavior. Negative emotions also play
32
33 an important role in the relationship between consumers and brands. For example, sadness causes
34
35 consumers to talk less about the brand experience, and anger leads to consumers' complaints.
36
37 Accordingly, we propose the following hypothesis:

38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45 H4: Factors related to emotionality positively influence consumer engagement behaviors with
46
47 short branded videos including likes, comments, and shares.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

3.2. Moderating effect: Short branded videos' release time

In almost all marketing practices (e.g., advertising content, new products, and events), the release time is crucial to the success of marketing activities. Many studies (e.g., Bagchi and Cheema, 2013; Kanuri *et al.*, 2018) have indicated one's level of emotional arousal varies over time. Specifically, the availability of working memory peaks in the morning, but as the day goes on and an individual must undertake tasks and experience stress, resources are gradually depleted. Stress-induced elevated cortisol levels impair the availability of working memory, and consumers also increase their preference for simplified processing and reliance on reference choices (Luethi *et al.*, 2009; Pocheptsova *et al.*, 2009), especially regarding emotional expression. Therefore, at different times of the day, different levels of emotional arousal may have varied impact on consumer behavior, including consumer engagement behavior. In the morning, consumers' emotional resources and working memory may be stronger, and high arousal may significantly impact their engagement. In the afternoon, with the depletion of emotional and cognitive resources, consumers may increase their preferences for simplified processing and dependence on reference choices (Pocheptsova *et al.*, 2009), and the impact of high arousal on consumer engagement may be weakened. In the evening, with the gradual recovery of emotional resources, the impact of high arousal on consumer engagement may increase. Thus, exposure to short videos in the morning may stimulate stronger consumers' enthusiasm for content engagement than in the afternoon and evening. We propose the following hypothesis:

H5: The positive correlation between emotionality and consumer engagement behavior is higher for short videos released in the morning and afternoon than for those released in the evening.

4. Research Methods

4.1. Data collection

To explore the impact of the characteristics of short branded video content and their time of release on the success of short branded video marketing, we selected the top 200 brands listed on "The Annual Report on The World's Most Valuable and Strongest Brands"¹ but excluded 78 brands that did not have Sina Weibo accounts or did not publish video content. Sina Weibo (referred to as Weibo) is a social media platform based on user relationships. It realizes real-time sharing of information and interaction among users through text, pictures, videos, and other forms, and is one of the main channels for short video marketing in China. In December 2019, Weibo was selected as the 2019 China Brand Power Ceremony Model 100 Brand. Based on Weibo's social and interactive nature, we chose it as a media platform from which to obtain short videos. Eventually, from November 2018 to January 2020, we took 10,240 short branded videos of 122 brands related to products released on the Weibo platform. Weibo has an official certification mark, and we can ensure that the selected accounts were the brand's official Weibo accounts. The 122 selected brands represent 20 common industry categories, including apparel (e.g., Nike), business services (e.g., Deloitte), retail (e.g., Walmart), and technology (e.g., Amazon). Detailed brand information is provided in Appendix 2.

¹ Founded in 1996, Brand Finance is the world's leading independent brand valuation consultancy. Brand Finance has the advantages of independence, technical credibility, transparency, and expertise. Every year, thousands of the world's largest brands are tested to evaluate which brands are the strongest and most valuable. Brand Finance assisted in the crafting of the internationally recognized standard on Brand Valuation (ISO 10668) and the recently approved standard on Brand Evaluation (ISO 20671).

4.2. Variables and measures

4.2.1. Pilot study

According to the characteristics of the Weibo platform, and combined with the discussion results from professors and research team members, we tested the substitution effect of 22 variable indicators in four dimensions related to short video content on the characteristics of short video content and the importance of their impact on consumer engagement behavior. These variable indicators were the degree of matching between the video's background music and the brand or product (CBMB); the degree of matching between the video's background and the brand or product (CBB); the relevance of the information conveyed by the video to the brand or product (PR); the clarity and credibility of video's product and non-product information content (CC); the conciseness of the video's language (LC); the excellence of production of the video's stories (SQ); and the emotional content related to anger, courage, deprivation, disgust, excitement, failure, fear, hatred, humor, joy, love, pride, sadness, shame, triumph, and warmth. This information was subsequently coded.

We recruited 109 participants from Chinese universities (47.71% female, 52.29% male; $M_{age} = 22.3$) to evaluate the short video content items (see Appendix 3 for the questionnaire). Each participant was asked to watch two randomly selected short branded videos and rate the related items of short video content characteristics and their importance (1 = extremely unimportant; 5 = extremely important). After collecting 218 valid questionnaires, we conducted a regression analysis with the six content element indicators as independent variables and consumers' willingness to share short branded videos as the dependent variable (Chen and Berger, 2016; Barasch *et al.*, 2018). Our findings indicate that the degree of matching between the short video background music and the

1
2
3
4 brand or product (CBMB), and between the short video background and the brand or product (CBB);
5
6 the relevance of information conveyed by the short video to the brand or product (PR), the clarity and
7
8 credibility of the short video product and non-product information content (CC), the conciseness of
9
10 the short video language (LC), and the excellence of production of short video stories (SQ) were all
11
12 positively correlated with consumers' willingness to share (Table I). Moreover, we found that
13
14 participants believed that the excitement, joy, love, pride, and warmth conveyed by the short videos
15
16 had a significant positive impact on the popularity of short videos (Table II). Most research related to
17
18 emotions considers both positive and negative emotions. However, positive emotion is more germane
19
20 to short branded videos because their main purpose is to convey positive thoughts (Kim *et al.*, 2019).
21
22 Positive emotional expression can bring more positive emotions to consumers, leading to their
23
24 positive attitude toward brand products (Rocklage and Fazio, 2020) and enhancing consumers'
25
26 willingness to interact with short videos. Combining the pilot study results and discussions with
27
28 experts, we decided to consider only positive emotions, such as excitement, joy, love, pride, and
29
30 warmth, in the main study.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40
41 In addition, the significance level of the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test of unstandardized residual
42
43 and standardized residual was 0.200, which was significantly > 0.05 , and the dependent variable
44
45 conformed to a normal distribution (Table III). Simultaneously, we drew a P-P graph, and the results
46
47 showed that the standard predicted value and the standard residual value were linearly related,
48
49 indicating good homoscedasticity.
50
51
52

53
54 Therefore, we inferred that the 11 indicators (CBMB, CBB, PR, CC, LC, SQ, excitement, joy,
55
56 love, pride, and warmth) could effectively represent the characteristics of short video content and are
57
58 important predictors of consumer engagement. The following section describes our further empirical
59
60

analyses.

Table I

Impact of short video content characteristics on users' willingness to share

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta			
(Constant)	.766	.279			2.744	.007
CBMB	.147	.074	.148		1.988	.048
CBB	.203	.070	.204		2.904	.004
PR	.185	.071	.179		2.621	.009
CC	.243	.061	.247		4.001	.000
LC	.130	.059	.139		2.228	.027
SQ	.197	.059	.234		3.341	.001

a. Dependent variable: Willingness to share.

b. Note: connection between background music and brand (CBMB); connection between background and brand (CBB); product relevance (PR); content credibility (CC); language conciseness (LC); and story quality (SQ)

Table II

Emotional expression in short videos

Emotion	Frequency of Occurrence	Emotion	Frequency of Occurrence	Emotion	Frequency of Occurrence
Anger	2.75%	Fear	2.75%	Sadness	0.92%
Courage	13.30%	Hatred	1.38%	Shame	3.67%
Deprivation	2.75%	Humor	13.76%	Triumph	11.93%
Disgust	1.38%	Joy	62.39%	Warmth	53.67%
Excitement	55.96%	Love	48.17%		
Failure	0.46%	Pride	46.79%		

Table III

Residual Analysis

Model	Unstandardized		standardized	t	Sig.	B [95.0% CI]		Collinearity	
	B	Std Error				Lower limit	Upper limit	tolerance	VIF
alpha	0.766	0.279		2.744	0.007	0.215	1.316		
CBMB	0.147	0.074	0.148	1.988	0.048	0.001	0.292	0.468	2.135
CBB	0.203	0.070	0.204	2.904	0.004	0.065	0.341	0.526	1.903
PR	0.185	0.071	0.179	2.621	0.009	0.046	0.324	0.558	1.791
CC	0.243	0.061	0.247	4.001	0.000	0.123	0.363	0.680	1.471
LC	0.130	0.059	0.139	2.228	0.027	0.015	0.246	0.667	1.500
SQ	0.197	0.059	0.234	3.341	0.001	0.081	0.313	0.531	1.882

a. Dependent variable: Willingness to share

b. Note: connection between background music and brand (CBMB); connection between background and brand (CBB); product relevance (PR); content credibility (CC); language conciseness (LC); and story quality (SQ)

Additionally, we measured participants' familiarity with Weibo ("I am not at all/very familiar with Sina Weibo," "I am not at all/ very knowledgeable about Sina Weibo," and "I have no/much experience with Sina Weibo" using five-point semantic differential scales; Davis *et al.*, 2019). To prove that the results obtained were independent of participants' familiarity with Weibo, we conducted another regression analysis for participants who were very familiar with Sina Weibo (familiarity > 3; $\alpha = 0.828$) and obtained consistent results. That is, CBMB, CBB, PR, CC, LC, and SQ were positively correlated with consumers' willingness to share (Table IV).

Table IV

Impact of short video content indicators on its characteristics (familiarity > 3)

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
(Constant)	.561	.272		2.063	.041
CBMB	.178	.080	.183	2.235	.027
CBB	.193	.074	.196	2.617	.010
PR	.171	.076	.168	2.251	.026
CC	.263	.071	.258	3.714	.000
LC	.155	.064	.163	2.422	.017
SQ	.234	.063	.268	3.743	.000

a. Dependent variable: Willingness to share

b. Note: connection between background music and brand (CBMB); connection between background and brand (CBB); product relevance (PR); content credibility (CC); language conciseness (LC); and story quality (SQ)

4.2.2. Characteristics of short video content

We explored the four dimensions of the characteristics of short branded videos (content matching, information relevance, storytelling, and emotionality), with the 11 indicators. In addition to conducting the pilot study to choose the indicators, the four researchers discussed the meaning of the indicators multiple times before formal coding began. Two coders who were blind to the purpose of the research scored each short video on a five-point Likert scale (1 = very low content matching, weak information relevance, poor storytelling, and negative emotional expression; 3 = moderation;

1
2
3
4 and 5 = very high content matching, strong information relevance, excellent storytelling, and positive
5
6 emotional expression). They were postgraduates majoring in Internet marketing under the
7
8 supervision of two Ph.D.level associate professors of Internet marketing. The coders individually
9
10 rated the videos and met twice a week, under the guidance of the two professors, to negotiate
11
12 inconsistent results. Manual coding method is considered more reliable for content analysis, as the
13
14 data obtained through coding are more accurate (Song *et al.*, 2020). The two coders took three
15
16 months to conduct the coding work, and the two resulting sets of data were highly correlated (the
17
18 overall interrater agreement percentage was 0.859).

24 25 4.2.3. *Consumer engagement behavior*

26
27 Based on previous research (Akpınar and Berger, 2017; Gavilanes *et al.*, 2018; Pancer *et al.*, 2019),
28
29 we used the indicators of brand posts' popularity, that is, the number of likes, comments, and shares,
30
31 to measure consumer engagement. Likes are a common measure of social media engagement in that
32
33 they enable users to express love, recognition, and appreciation of content without leaving comments.
34
35 They are considered a more personal and direct form of consumer engagement. Comments derive
36
37 mainly from consumers' demands for social interaction and express their active engagement in social
38
39 media. Shares are a high level of social engagement that allow users to recommend relevant content
40
41 to their followers, thus affecting the attitudes and behaviors of other users (Ordenes *et al.*, 2019; Li
42
43 and Xie, 2020).

44
45 In March 2020, we recorded the number of likes, comments, and shares for each short video
46
47 released by each brand during an observation period of 15 months, from November 2018 to January
48
49 2020. The principal reason for choosing this period is that it contained different seasons, which
50
51 enabled us to avoid the influence of festivals, customs, and other related factors. We used Python to
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 write programs to crawl the number of likes, comments, and shares of the videos; it can objectively
5
6 and accurately reflect the cumulative volume of each video. As all kinds of information automatically
7
8 pop up on the Weibo platform consumers are unlikely to see previously brand-released short video
9
10 messages unless they make a specific inquiry, we regard the numbers we counted as the maximum
11
12 values of likes, comments, and shares.
13
14
15

16 17 *4.2.4. Short videos release time*

18
19 Following Kanuri *et al.*'s (2018) research on the best time to release a social media story, we used
20
21 Python programming to crawl the time of day of each brand's release and divided the data into night,
22
23 morning, afternoon, and evening (00:00–5:59, 6:00–11:59, 12:00–17:59, and 18:00–23:59,
24
25 respectively). Finding that the number of short videos released at night during the observation period
26
27 was very small, we considered only three time periods (morning, afternoon, and evening) in the
28
29 formal analysis and used the evening period as the baseline.
30
31
32
33

34 35 *4.2.5. Control variables*

36
37 The control variables were duration of the video, attractiveness of the video, endorsement in the
38
39 video, first-person tone, and brand industry. Tellis *et al.* (2019) pointed to an asymmetric inverted
40
41 U-shaped relationship between duration and advertising sharing. Specifically, they found that the best
42
43 advertisement duration was 1.2–1.7 minutes, and shorter ads generated more shares than longer ads.
44
45 While likable music can bring pleasure and may stimulate positive beliefs in consumers, positive
46
47 comments from attractive reviewers can improve brand evaluation and positively impact consumers'
48
49 purchase intentions (Ozanne *et al.*, 2019). Some cute elements, such as babies and animals, can also
50
51 help arouse positive emotions (Tellis *et al.*, 2019). Moreover, intonation is an important factor in
52
53 effective online communication that enhances the hedonic value of consumers' online experiences.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

We also considered the industry fixed effects. The 122 brands we explored represented 20 common sectors, with the aerospace industry as a reference. Notably, commercial services, financial services, oil and gas, real estate, and utilities may be less attractive to consumers. Table V shows detailed descriptions and sources of all the variables. Table VI presents descriptive statistics.

Table V Variables description and sources

Variables	Notation	Detailed Description	Variable type	Source		
Consumer engagement:						
Likes	LIKE	Total number of likes received by video	Continuous	Weibo		
Comments	COMMENT	Total number of comments on video	Continuous	Weibo		
Shares	SHARE	Total number of forwards of video	Continuous	Weibo		
Characteristics of short video content (1–5):						
Connection	Background	CBMB	Matching of background music and brand or product	Continuous	Weibo code	
Music and Brand	Connection	Background and Brand	CBB	Matching of background and brand or product	Continuous	Weibo code
Product Relevance	PR	Relevance of information conveyed by video to brand or product	Continuous	Weibo code		
Content Credibility	CC	Clarity and credibility of product and non-product information content	Continuous	Weibo code		
Language Conciseness	LC	Conciseness of language	Continuous	Weibo code		
Story Quality	SQ	Excellence of production of story	Continuous	Weibo code		
Excitement Emotion	Excitement	Level of excitement in video	Continuous	Weibo code		
Joy Emotion	Joy	Level of joy in video	Continuous	Weibo code		
Love Emotion	Love	Level of love in video	Continuous	Weibo code		
Pride Emotion	Pride	Level of pride in videos	Continuous	Weibo code		
Warmth Emotion	Warmth	Level of warmth in video	Continuous	Weibo code		
Moderator:						

Time of day	Morning Afternoon Evening	Release time of day (morning, afternoon, evening)	Dummy	Weibo
Controls:				
New Follower	NF	Number of fans of the brand	Continuous	Weibo
Length Seconds	LS	Total length of video	Continuous	Weibo
Content Attractiveness	CA	Attractiveness of video content	Continuous	Weibo code
Endorser Attractiveness	EA	Attractiveness of endorsements in video	Continuous	Weibo code
First-person Tone	FPT	Use of first-person tone	Dummy	Weibo code
Time Fixed Effects	Y2019	Short branded videos published on Weibo from November 1, 2018, to January 31, 2020	Dummy	
Industry Fixed Effects	Industry 1	Aerospace	Dummy	Brand Finance Global 500
	Industry 2	Alcohol	Dummy	
	Industry 3	Apparel	Dummy	
	Industry 4	Automotive	Dummy	
	Industry 5	Beverage	Dummy	
	Industry 6	Commercial service	Dummy	
	Industry 7	Cosmetics and Personal Care	Dummy	
	Industry 8	Engineering and Construction	Dummy	
	Industry 9	Financial services	Dummy	
	Industry 10	Leisure	Dummy	
	Industry 11	Luxury	Dummy	
	Industry 12	Media	Dummy	
	Industry 13	Oil/Gas	Dummy	
	Industry 14	Real Estate	Dummy	
	Industry 15	Restaurants	Dummy	
	Industry 16	Retail	Dummy	
	Industry 17	Technology	Dummy	
	Industry 18	Telecoms	Dummy	
	Industry 19	Transportation	Dummy	
	Industry 20	Utilities	Dummy	

Note: Notation represents the abbreviated label of a variable.

Table VI Descriptive statistics

Variable	Notation/Description	Min	Max	Mean	SD
Consumer engagement					
Likes	LIKE	0	712,000	2,205.023	18,970.900
Comments	COMMENT	0	197,000	546.651	3,864.951
Shares	SHARE	0	722,000	2,513.175	20,835.940
Characteristics of short video content (1–5):					
Connection Background Music and Brand	CBMB	0	5	3.339	1.404
Connection Background and Brand	CBB	0	5	3.176	0.997
Product Relevance	PR	0	5	4.475	0.858
Content Credibility	CC	2 ^a	5	3.999	0.256
Language Conciseness	LC	0	5	3.593	0.508
Story Quality	SQ	0	5	1.783	1.815
Excitement	Excitement	0	5	1.785	1.877
Joy	Joy	0	5	1.579	1.881
Love	Love	0	5	0.038	0.393
Pride	Pride	0	5	0.439	1.222
Warmth	Warmth	0	5	0.335	1.086
Moderator:					
Time of day	Morning			2919 ^c	
	Afternoon			4065	
	Evening			3256	
Controls:					
New Follower	NF	2,468	11,409	5,538	1,493
Length in Seconds	LS	1	2748	83.472	124.479
Content Attractiveness	CA	2 ^b	5	3.450	0.551
Endorser Attractiveness	EA	0	5	0.865	1.555
First-person Tone	FPT	0	1	5560 ^c	0.498

a. Note: ^a, ^b the content contained in each short branded video has a certain credibility and attractiveness, so the minimum value is > 0. ^c the value reports the frequency of morning, afternoon, evening, and first-person tone.

5. Validity Checks

5.1. SUR Model

Seemingly uncorrelated regression (SUR) refers to the absence of an internal connection between the variables of each equation but a correlation between the disturbance terms. When the same dataset is used in different equations, the correlation between disturbance terms is easy to produce, whereas SUR allows correlation errors among equations (Shahbaznezhad *et al.*, 2021). Moreover, the lower the correlation between the independent variables of equations or the higher the correlation between the disturbance terms of equations, the greater the efficiency improvement brought about by the feasible generalized least squares estimation of SUR (Tan *et al.*, 2019). The generalized linear model is used mainly to resolve the situation in which the residual term does not meet the normal distribution, involving a single dependent variable. Given that our final model contains 3 dependent variables and 28 independent variables (including interaction effects), referring to the research of Shahbaznezhad *et al.* (2021), we used SUR for the validity test. Table VII shows the results of the correlation analysis for the normalized treatment of the dependent variables.

Table VII
Correlation analysis among dependent variables

	Norm Likes	Norm Comments	Norm Shares
Norm Likes	1		
Norm Comments	0.795***	1	
Norm Shares	0.339***	0.314***	1

(Significance level of significant variables: *** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$)

Table VII shows that the numbers of likes, comments, and shares were highly correlated. We recommend that future research measure the residual value of each dependent variable in the correlation regression model and the correlation between the residuals. Table VIII presents the results of the correlation analysis for the residuals of the dependent variables.

Table VIII

Correlation analysis among the residuals at the 0.01 level

	Standardized Residual Likes	Standardized Comments	Residual	Standardized Residual Shares
Standardized residual likes	1			
Standardized residual comments	0.625***	1		
Standardized residual shares	0.543***	0.573***		1

(Significance level of significant variables: *** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$)

Table VIII shows that the residuals of the number of likes, comments, and shares were significantly correlated. Moreover, the kurtosis values of the dependent variable residuals are very high; that is, some residuals are abnormal (see Appendix 4). Combining the correlation between the residuals of dependent variables, we used the Breusch–Pagan test of SUR to determine a significant relationship between the dependent variables, which also means a meaningful correlation between the three equations (see Appendix 5).

5.2. Endogeneity

A possible endogeneity problem in this study comes from measurement errors. Specifically, given the error in the measurement of dependent or independent variables, deviations are between measured and true values, which lead to the endogeneity problem. In terms of dependent variables, our data on consumer engagement are obtained directly from mobile social media using crawler technology and have no measurement error. However, the measurement of independent variables, namely, indicators of short video content characteristics, could have some deviations. Therefore, we conducted a pilot study to determine the characteristic indicators of short video content and used the encoded data of two coders to eliminate measurement deviations.

We invited two coders who were blind to the purpose of the research in advance to code the short videos, using a five-point Likert scale. In most studies regarding the measures of characteristics

1
2
3
4 of technology, systems, and platforms (see, e.g., technology acceptance model; Hollebeek and Belk,
5
6 2021), perceived characteristics have been widely used to assess usability, functions, utility, and
7
8 performance. Our four independent variables--content matching, information relevance, storytelling,
9
10 and emotionality--are all perceived characteristics. As absolute objective indicators cannot be used to
11
12 measure these independent variables, the debate over objective or subjective measures pertains to
13
14 accuracy and validity. In this study, the two coders (postgraduates), following two associate
15
16 professors' guidelines, used the criteria suggested by Hayes and Krippendorff (2007) to evaluate the
17
18 four main aspects of video content. Before the coding, the coders had extensive discussions with the
19
20 team members to ensure that everyone had a consistent understanding of the concepts and coding
21
22 standards related to the feature elements of short branded video content, with the goal of high
23
24 accuracy of the manual coding. Thus, the reliability and validity of this study were ensured based on
25
26 a relatively rigorous coding process.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 The credibility of the two sets of code data was measured. The overall inter-rater agreement
36
37 percentage was 0.859, and the kappa and tau correlations were 0.767 and 0.82, respectively.
38
39 According to the standard criterion, a kappa of 0.75 is generally regarded as sufficient. Therefore, our
40
41 coded data have strong accuracy and credibility.
42
43
44

45 **6. Main Study Result**

46
47 We conducted a correlation matrix analysis of all variables. The results are shown in Appendix 6.
48
49

50 *6.1. Regression analysis*

51
52 We used a multiple regression model with categorical variables to test the influence of independent
53
54 variables and a moderator variable on the dependent variables. We used the logarithmic
55
56 transformation of the dependent variables to ensure their approximate normal distribution, which is
57
58
59
60

consistent with existing research (Davis *et al.*, 2019). The independent variables examined in this study are the 11 indicators contained in the four dimensions of the characteristics of short video content, with a range of variation from 0 to 5. The grouping variables used in this study were binary. The short videos release time of day (morning and afternoon) was a moderator and a dummy variable. The dependent variables were count variables (Shahbaznezhad *et al.*, 2021). Considering the interaction between the independent and moderator variables, the regression model for each dependent variable in our study was developed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_{ij} = & \alpha_{1j}CBCB + \alpha_{2j}CBB + \alpha_{3j}PR + \alpha_{4j}CC + \alpha_{5j}LC + \alpha_{6j}SQ + \alpha_{7j}Love + \alpha_{8j}Warmth + \\
 & \alpha_{9j}Excitement + \alpha_{10j}Joy + \alpha_{11j}Pride + \alpha_{12j}Morning + \alpha_{13j}Afternoon + \alpha_{14j}NF + \\
 & \alpha_{15j}LS + \alpha_{16j}CA + \alpha_{17j}EA + \alpha_{18j}FPT + \alpha_{19j}Love * Morning + \alpha_{20j} \\
 & Love * Afternoon + \alpha_{21j}Warmth * Morning + \alpha_{22j}Warmth * Afternoon + \alpha_{23j} \\
 & Excitement * Morning + \alpha_{24j}Excitement * Afternoon + \alpha_{25j}Joy * Morning + \alpha_{26j} \\
 & Joy * Afternoon + \alpha_{27j}Pride * Morning + \alpha_{28j}Pride * Afternoon + \varepsilon_{1j} . \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

In Equation (1), i represents the index of different independent variable coefficients, and j represents the index of the number of likes, comments, or shares.

6.2. Model results

Table IX shows that the four dimensions of short branded video content characteristics significantly impacted consumer engagement, and the short videos' time of day effectively moderated the positive correlation between emotionality and consumer engagement. We discuss below the following three aspects: the impact of the characteristics of short branded video content on consumer engagement, the moderating effect of short videos' time of release, and control variables.

Table IX
Impact of short video content characteristics and the time of day on consumer engagement

	likes			comments			shares		
	γ	SE	p	γ	SE	P	γ	SE	p
Independent variables:									
CBMB	-0.006	0.009	0.51	-0.008	0.013	0.54	0.018	0.015	0.23
CBB	0.084***	0.016	0.00	0.109***	0.023	0.00	0.051*	0.028	0.07
PR	0.173***	0.019	0.00	0.254***	0.027	0.00	0.283***	0.032	0.00
CC	-0.177***	0.057	0.00	-0.227***	0.082	0.01	-0.213**	0.097	0.03
LC	0.206***	0.025	0.00	0.263***	0.036	0.00	0.269***	0.042	0.00
SQ	0.078***	0.007	0.00	0.094***	0.010	0.00	0.125***	0.012	0.00
Excitement	0.270***	0.013	0.00	0.275***	0.019	0.00	0.349***	0.023	0.00
Joy	0.241***	0.013	0.00	0.217***	0.018	0.00	0.317***	0.022	0.00
Love	0.022	0.057	0.70	0.050	0.081	0.54	-0.015	0.095	0.87
Pride	0.282***	0.018	0.00	0.193***	0.026	0.00	0.299***	0.031	0.00
Warmth	0.228***	0.021	0.00	0.213***	0.030	0.00	0.264***	0.035	0.00
Morning	-0.201***	0.069	0.00	-0.055	0.099	0.58	-0.052	0.117	0.66
Afternoon	-0.070	0.063	0.27	0.104	0.091	0.25	0.066	0.106	0.53
Controls:									
NF	-0.140	0.086	0.10	-0.171	0.119	0.15	-0.013	0.117	0.91
LS	0.00002	0.0001	0.84	0.0002	0.0001	0.05	0.001***	0.0002	0.00
CA	0.955***	0.027	0.00	1.055***	0.039	0.00	1.238***	0.046	0.00
EA	0.375***	0.009	0.00	0.389***	0.014	0.00	0.496***	0.016	0.00
FPT	0.037	0.026	0.15	-0.039	0.038	0.30	-0.020	0.045	0.66
Interactions:									
E*M	0.073***	0.018	0.00	0.051**	0.026	0.05	0.045	0.030	0.13
J*M	0.047***	0.017	0.01	0.030	0.024	0.21	0.028	0.029	0.33
L*M	0.100	0.074	0.18	0.105	0.106	0.32	0.155	0.124	0.21
P*M	0.016	0.025	0.52	0.049	0.036	0.17	0.018	0.042	0.67
W*M	0.067**	0.028	0.02	0.014	0.041	0.73	0.080*	0.048	0.10
E*A	0.006	0.017	0.72	-0.064***	0.024	0.01	-0.020	0.029	0.49
J*A	0.030*	0.016	0.06	0.009	0.023	0.70	-0.010	0.027	0.71
L*A	0.010	0.072	0.89	0.005	0.103	0.96	0.038	0.121	0.75
P*A	-0.073***	0.024	0.00	-0.062*	0.034	0.07	-0.056	0.040	0.16
W*A	0.018	0.027	0.51	-0.029	0.038	0.45	0.014	0.045	0.76
Fixed effects:									
Industry 2	-1.728	1.214	0.15	-1.695	1.672	0.31	-2.736*	1.635	0.09
Industry 3	0.138	1.177	0.91	-0.115	1.622	0.94	-1.177	1.587	0.46
Industry 4	-1.441	1.079	0.18	-1.312	1.486	0.38	-1.564	1.453	0.28
Industry 5	-0.471	1.200	0.69	-0.192	1.653	0.91	-0.988	1.617	0.54
Industry 6	-2.847**	1.272	0.03	-4.335**	1.753	0.01	-3.873**	1.716	0.02
Industry 7	0.093	1.475	0.95	0.470	2.031	0.82	-0.786	1.983	0.69
Industry 8	-0.345	1.429	0.81	-0.151	1.969	0.94	-2.216	1.926	0.25

Industry 9	-2.792**	1.143	0.01	-2.079	1.574	0.19	-2.183	1.540	0.16
Industry 10	-0.491	1.208	0.68	-0.991	1.664	0.55	-1.290	1.627	0.43
Industry 11	0.253	1.150	0.83	-0.140	1.584	0.93	-0.897	1.549	0.56
Industry 13	-4.880***	1.628	0.00	-4.849**	2.244	0.03	-6.688***	2.197	0.00
Industry 14	-2.484*	1.274	0.05	-3.988**	1.755	0.02	-3.431**	1.719	0.05
Industry 15	-0.202	1.210	0.87	0.279	1.667	0.87	-0.981	1.630	0.55
Industry 16	-2.516**	1.131	0.03	-2.212	1.559	0.16	-3.681**	1.525	0.02
Industry 17	-1.015	1.080	0.35	-0.587	1.488	0.69	-2.095	1.455	0.15
Industry 18	-0.505	1.231	0.68	0.820	1.696	0.63	-0.435	1.657	0.79
Industry 19	-1.357	1.640	0.41	1.071	2.260	0.64	-3.324	2.216	0.13
Industry 20	-3.104**	1.467	0.03	-2.675	2.021	0.19	-2.550	1.977	0.20

- a. Note: * $p < 0.1$; ** $p < 0.05$; *** $p < 0.01$. Industry 12 is media, and there is only one brand (Fox) in our research, with only one short video, and the short video does not contain background music, narrative, or other elements; therefore it was deleted from the data analysis.
- b. Note: connection between background music and brand (CBMB); connection between background and brand (CBB); product relevance (PR); content credibility (CC); language conciseness (LC); story quality (SQ); new follow (NF); length in seconds (LS); content attractiveness (CA); endorser attractiveness (EA); first-person tone (FPT); excitement*morning (E*M); joy*morning (J*M); love*morning (L*M); pride*morning (P*M); warmth*morning (W*M); excitement*afternoon (E*A); joy*afternoon (J*A); love*afternoon (L*A); pride*afternoon (P*A); warmth* afternoon (W*A).
- c. Time of day and industry were dummy variables, and evening and industry 1 were selected as reference groups.

6.3. Impact of short branded video content characteristics on consumer engagement

Through empirical analysis, we also conclude that the characteristics of short branded video content positively impacted consumer engagement. Most previous scholars have explored the impact of the vividness, interactivity, information, and entertainment of brand content on consumer cognition and behavior (Bazi *et al.*, 2020; Demmers *et al.*, 2020; Lin *et al.*, 2019; Schultz, 2017), whereas the present study divides the content characteristics of short branded videos into four specific dimensions, with 11 indicators, and further analyzes the impact of the content characteristics of short branded videos on consumer engagement.

The results showed that the degree of matching between short video background music and the brand or product had no significant impact on consumer engagement ($p > 0.10$). Ozanne *et al.* (2019) pointed out that likable music can bring pleasure and may stimulate positive beliefs in consumers,

1
2
3
4 which may lead to consumer engagement behaviors. However, the background music in short videos
5
6 is not all likable, and when watching short videos with limited fragmentation time consumers pay
7
8 more attention to the short video content while ignoring the existence of the background music. The
9
10 degree of matching between the short video background and the brand or product had a significant
11
12 positive impact on consumer engagement ($p < 0.10$), which is consistent with Lee and Labroo's
13
14 finding (2004); specifically, they reveal that background will affect consumers' perceived fluency,
15
16 which in turn affects consumers' attitudes toward branded products. Thus, Hypothesis 1 is partially
17
18 supported. The relevance of the information conveyed by the short video to a brand or product
19
20 positively impacted consumer engagement ($p < 0.10$). This result is almost consistent with the
21
22 research of Gavilanes *et al.* (2018). They derived seven content categories for social network
23
24 advertising which are relevant to the brand or product and then confirmed a significant but unequal
25
26 impact of at least four content categories on various engagement metrics. However, the clarity and
27
28 credibility of the short video product and non-product information content negatively impacted
29
30 consumer engagement, probably because of some controversial content, which is more likely to
31
32 attract consumers' attention and lead to more discussion, whereas clearer content is more likely to
33
34 lead consumers to lose interest in further understanding; Hypothesis 2 is partially supported. The
35
36 storytelling of the short branded videos—that is, the conciseness of the short video language and the
37
38 excellent production of the short video stories—had a significant positive impact on consumer
39
40 engagement ($p < 0.10$), thus supporting Hypothesis 3. This finding is consistent with previous
41
42 researchers' results, that is, concise language description and high-quality narrative more easily gain
43
44 people's attention and promote consumer engagement (Green and Brock, 2000; Atkinson *et al.*,
45
46 2018). The emotionality (warmth, excitement, joy, and pride) of the short branded videos had a
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 significant positive impact on consumer engagement, except for the emotion of love ($p > 0.10$). This
5
6 result is similar to the finding of Berger and Milkman, who used a unique data set of all New York
7
8 Times articles published over a three-month period to examine how emotion shapes viral
9
10 transmission (Berger and Milkman, 2012). Their research object was articles, whereas the present
11
12 study focused on short videos. Moreover, unlike our study, they do not investigate the emotion of
13
14 love as an individual variable. Possibly, the reason love had no significant effect on consumer
15
16 engagement in our study is that the emotional expression of love is sufficiently strong that consumers
17
18 who become immersed in it ignore the process of participation. Thus, Hypothesis 4 is partially
19
20 supported.
21
22
23
24
25

26 27 6.4. Moderating effect of the short videos release time of day 28

29
30 The time of day of a short video effectively moderated the impact of emotionality on consumer
31
32 engagement. Overall, the interaction between emotionality and the morning was stronger than that
33
34 between emotionality and the afternoon. The impact of the interaction of warmth, excitement, joy,
35
36 and time of day on consumer engagement supports our hypothesis. That is, the interaction of a short
37
38 video released in the morning with warmth, excitement, and joy had a significant positive impact on
39
40 consumers' likes, whereas a short video released in the afternoon interacted only with joy, with a
41
42 positive effect on likes. The reason may be that with the gradual depletion of individual resources
43
44 throughout the day, consumers increase their preference for simplified processing and reliance on
45
46 reference selection (Pocheptsova *et al.*, 2009). Accordingly, the emotional expression of warmth and
47
48 excitement may not be able to arouse consumers' responses, but joy may be able to attract consumers'
49
50 attention through a happy atmosphere, thereby promoting consumers' likes. Moreover, the interaction
51
52 between the time of day and warmth and joy had no significant impact on consumers' comments,
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 whereas the interaction between short videos released in the morning and excitement positively
5
6 impacted their comments; and the interaction between short videos released in the afternoon and
7
8 excitement had a significant negative impact on their comments. A possible reason is that the
9
10 emotions of warmth and joy are softer, and their impact on consumers' comments does not change
11
12 over time. However, excitement can cause a drastic change in emotion, and its interaction with time
13
14 significantly impacts consumers' comments. The interaction between the time of day and excitement
15
16 and joy had no significant impact on consumers' shares, whereas the interaction between short videos
17
18 released in the morning and warmth positively impacted shares. We believe that positive emotional
19
20 expression always makes consumers generate a desire to share with others, which does not change
21
22 over time. Therefore, we suggest that short branded videos should contain more positive emotions of
23
24 warmth, excitement, and joy and be published in the morning as much as possible to promote
25
26 consumers' engagement.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34
35 Similar to the research on the impact of emotionality on consumer engagement, the interaction
36
37 between the short videos' release time of day and love had no significant impact on consumer
38
39 engagement ($p > 0.10$), suggesting that the strong emotional expression of love may immerse
40
41 consumers at any time. Moreover, the interaction between the short videos released in the morning
42
43 and pride had no significant impact on consumer engagement ($p > 0.10$); however, the interaction
44
45 between the short videos released in the afternoon and pride had a significant negative impact on
46
47 consumers' likes and comments ($p < 0.10$), but no significant impact on consumers' shares ($p > 0.10$).
48
49 A possible reason is that pride contains conceited emotional expressions and, according to the
50
51 resource exhaustion theory, consumers may feel disdainful in response. Thus, we suggest that brand
52
53 owners should avoid including pride when publishing short videos in the afternoon to reduce
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 consumers' negative reactions.
5

6 7 *6.5. Control variables* 8

9 Other content elements of short branded videos may generate consumer engagement. Our results
10 show that the number of fans of the brand and first-person tones in the short video have no significant
11 impact on consumer engagement ($p > 0.10$). The video's duration had no significant impact on
12 consumers' likes and comments ($p > 0.10$) but significantly impacted consumers' shares ($p < 0.01$);
13 longer duration enhanced consumers' willingness to share. In addition, the attractiveness of short
14 video content and endorsements of short videos effectively increased consumer engagement ($p <$
15 0.01). Furthermore, by including industry fixed effects we showed that compared with the aerospace
16 industry as the baseline, commercial services, financial services, oil and gas, real estate, retail, and
17 utilities negatively impacted consumers' likes. Correspondingly, commercial services, oil and gas,
18 and real estate negatively impacted consumers' comments; and commercial services, oil and gas, real
19 estate, and retail negatively impacted consumers' shares.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 **7. Conclusions** 38

39 This study presents the impact of short branded video content characteristics and short videos' release
40 time of day on consumer engagement in social media. We divided the characteristics of short branded
41 video content into four dimensions (content matching, information relevance, storytelling, and
42 emotionality) and verified the research model and hypotheses based on data obtained from Weibo.
43 The results show a significant positive correlation between the selected characteristics of short
44 branded video content and consumer engagement. Moreover, we found that the short videos' release
45 time of day significantly moderated the positive correlation between the positive emotions of short
46 videos (e.g., warmth, excitement, and joy) and consumer engagement. In other words, compared with
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 an afternoon short video content release, a morning release appears to better promote the positive
5
6 impact of warmth, excitement, and joy on consumer engagement, which is a finding that refines the
7
8 relevant research of Kanuri *et al.* (2018).
9
10

11 *7.1. Theoretical implications*

12
13
14 This study combed existing research on consumer engagement and short video content
15
16 characteristics, used short branded videos on the Weibo social media platform as research objects,
17
18 and determined the variables that best represent consumer engagement--namely, likes, comments,
19
20 and shares. We constructed a conceptual research model that combined theories of fluency, narrative
21
22 transmission, and emotional contagion and used crawling, coding, and other methods to obtain data
23
24 on the content characteristics of short branded videos, the time of day videos were released, and
25
26 consumer engagement.
27
28
29
30
31

32
33 This study contributes to the field of online marketing in the following three ways. First, most
34
35 studies related to factors that influence consumer engagement focus on textual and pictorial
36
37 information, such as advertisement types and post features, while studies on short video content are
38
39 relatively few. This study uses short branded videos on social media platforms as the research object
40
41 and enriches theories of content marketing by employing a broader brand perspective.
42
43
44

45
46 Second, we organized the research framework based on previous research (Dall'Olio and
47
48 Vakratsas, 2022), namely, following the paths of content and execution. In our research, content
49
50 focuses on the independent variables of information relevance and emotionality, and execution
51
52 focuses on content matching and storytelling. While previous research analyzed only the content of
53
54 products, based on sales volume and marketing-mix activities, we have expanded previous research
55
56 by investigating both content and execution in brand advertisement. Through crawling and coding we
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 identified four dimensions of short brand video characteristics and their effects on consumer
5
6 engagement (likes, comments, and shares), thus contributing to the literature on short video content
7
8 and consumer engagement and further expanding the content marketing theory and visual marketing
9
10 theory.
11
12

13
14 Finally, this study explored the impact of the interaction between the time of day of short
15
16 branded videos release and the emotionality of short branded videos on consumer engagement. In a
17
18 fast-paced life, consumers are unable to browse short videos at any time and in any place, and some
19
20 short videos may be ignored and/or miss wide dissemination because of the time in which they are
21
22 released. By including the release time of day, we have captured the time periods when short videos
23
24 may have the best effects. This result provides a reference for other researchers to further explore this
25
26 field.
27
28
29
30

31 32 *7.2. Managerial implications* 33

34
35 Our suggestions for how businesses produce short videos include creating a comfortable atmosphere
36
37 for consumers and selecting a background that matches the target product. Irrelevant information
38
39 should be avoided as much as possible because it can easily divert consumers' attention, thereby
40
41 weakening their awareness of the product. Focusing on the authenticity and credibility of the content
42
43 can make consumers feel good about the brand and its products, while false information is known to
44
45 mislead consumers and bring unbearable consequences for the brand (e.g., the Sanlu-contaminated
46
47 milk scandal). Consumers are often unwilling to receive complex and redundant information. Thus, a
48
49 simple description may produce a cordial feeling and help them to capture key information and form
50
51 a deep impression easily. Elaborating stories is a way to attract consumers' attention and resonate
52
53 with them, and positive emotions (e.g., warmth, excitement, joy, and pride) are likely to arouse
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 consumers' interest.
5

6 The release time of short videos is also very important. Except for special times (e.g.,
7 just-in-time hotspots, a memorable moment), enterprises should try their best to release short videos
8 before noon. Given that release in the morning can enhance the positive impact of positive emotions,
9 such as warmth, excitement, and joy, on consumer engagement and bring more consumer
10 engagement, more potential consumers may be exposed to the short video content, leading to the best
11 dissemination effect.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 22 *7.3 Limitations and future research* 23

24 The current study makes significant contributions but also has certain limitations. First, we focused
25 exclusively on Weibo, among the many social media platforms, and the research results may not
26 adequately explain consumer engagement on other platforms, such as TikTok. Future research could
27 extend the investigation of the impact of short video content on consumer engagement to more social
28 media platforms. Second, short branded video advertisements tend to convey positive emotions,
29 which limited our study of the relationship between a fuller range of emotions and consumer
30 engagement. Examining the impact of negative emotions may be an interesting research direction.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43 Third, we chose the short videos release time of day as the moderator variable. Future research
44 should explore other moderating variables, for example, the existence of a brand logo, consumers'
45 loyalty and familiarity with a brand, characters in short videos, and the duration of a short video may
46 also affect the relationship between the characteristics of short video content and consumer
47 engagement.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References:

- Aghakhani, N., Karimi, J. and Salehan, M.A. (2018), "Unified model for the adoption of electronic word of mouth on social network sites: Facebook as the exemplar", *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, Vol. 22 No. 2, pp. 202-231.
- Agnihotri, A. and Bhattacharya, S. (2019), "The relative effectiveness of endorsers: The identity badge of CEOs and founders versus the attractiveness of celebrities", *Journal of Advertising Research*, Vol. 59 No. 3, pp. 357-369.
- Akpinar, E. and Berger, J. (2017), "Valuable virality", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 54 No. 2, pp. 318-330.
- Atkinson, M., Smith, K. and Kirby, S. (2018), "Adult learning and language simplification", *Cognitive Science*, Vol. 42 No. 8, pp. 2818-2854.
- Bagchi, R. and Cheema, A. (2013), "The effect of red background color on willingness-to-pay: The moderating role of selling mechanism", *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 39 No. 5, pp. 947-960.
- Bapna, S., Benner, M.J. and Qiu, L. (2019), "Nurturing online communities: An empirical investigation", *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 43 No. 2, pp. 425-452.
- Barasch, A., Zauberan, G. and Diehl, K. (2018), "How the intention to share can undermine enjoyment: Photo-taking goals and evaluation of experiences", *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 44 No. 6, pp. 1220-1237.
- Batra, R., Lenk, P. and Wedel, M. (2010), "Brand extension strategy planning: Empirical estimation of brand–category personality fit and atypicality", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 47 No. 2, pp. 335-347.
- Bazi, S., Filieri, R. and Gorton, M. (2020), "Customers' motivation to engage with luxury brands on social media", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 112, pp. 223-235.
- Berger, J. and Milkman, K.L. (2012), "What makes online content viral?", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 49 No. 2, 192-205.
- Chen, Z. and Berger, J. (2016), "How content acquisition method affects word of mouth", *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 43 No. 1, pp. 86-102.
- Dall'Olio, F. and Vakratsas, D. (2023), "The impact of advertising creative strategy on advertising elasticity", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 87 No. 1, pp. 26-44.
- Davis, S.W., Horváth, C., Gretry, A. and Belei, N. (2019), "Say what? How the interplay of tweet readability and brand hedonism affects consumer engagement", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 100, pp. 150-164.
- Demmers, J., Weltevreden, J.W. and van Dolen, W.M. (2020), "Consumer engagement with brand posts on social

media in consecutive stages of the customer journey”, *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, Vol. 24 No. 1, pp. 53-77.

Dong, X., Chang, Y., Liao, J., Hao, X. and Yu, X. (2023), “The impact of virtual interaction on consumers’ pro-environmental behaviors: the mediating role of platform intimacy and love for nature”, *Information Technology & People*, doi: 10.1108/ITP-02-2021-0164.

Dong, X., Wen, X., Wang, K. and Cai, C. (2022), “Can negative media coverage be positive? When negative news coverage improves firm financial performance”, *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, Vol. 37 No. 6, pp. 1338-1355.

Garritty, C., Hersi, M., Hamel, C., Stevens, A., Monfaredi, Z., Butler, C., Tricco, A.C., Hartling, L., Stewart, L.A., Welch, V., Thavorn, K., Cheng, W. and Moher, D. (2020), “Assessing the format and content of journal published and non-journal published rapid review reports: A comparative study”, *PLoS ONE*, Vol. 15 No. 8, pp. 1-16.

Gavilanes, J.M., Flatten, T.C. and Brettel, M. (2018), “Content strategies for digital consumer engagement in social networks: Why advertising is an antecedent of engagement”, *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 47 No. 1, pp. 4-23.

Gelper, S., Peres, R. and Eliashberg, J. (2018), “Talk bursts: The role of spikes in prerelease word-of-mouth dynamics”, *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 55 No. 6, pp. 801-817.

Green, M.C. and Brock, T.C. (2000), “The role of transportation in the persuasiveness of public narratives”, *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, Vol. 79 No. 5, pp. 701-721.

Guo, Y., Lu, Z., Kuang, H. and Wang, C. (2020), “Information avoidance behavior on social network sites: Information irrelevance, overload, and the moderating role of time pressure”, *International Journal of Information Management*, Vol. 52, pp. 102067.

Ha, Y., Park, K., Kim, S.J., Joo, J. and Cha, M. (2021), “Automatically detecting image–text mismatch on Instagram with deep learning”, *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 50 No. 1, pp. 52-62.

Hasford, J., Hardesty, D.M. and Kidwell, B. (2015), “More than a feeling: Emotional contagion effects in persuasive communication”, *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 52 No. 6, pp. 836-847.

Hayes, A.F. and Krippendorff, K. (2007), “Answering the call for a standard reliability measure for coding data”, *Communication Methods and Measures*, Vo. 1 No. 1, pp. 77-89.

Hengzhou Bozhi (QYR) Software and Business Service Research Center (2021), “2021–2027 Global and China Short Video Platform Industry Research and Analysis Report of the 14th Five-Year Plan”, available at:

<https://www.qyresearch.com.cn/reports/online-video-p803657.html> (accessed 3 July 2021)

- Hollebeck, L.D. and Belk, R. (2021), "Consumers' technology-facilitated brand engagement and wellbeing: Positivist TAM/PERMA- vs. Consumer Culture Theory perspectives", *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 38 No. 2, pp. 387-401.
- Hsiao, K.L., Lu, H.P. and Lan, W.C. (2013), "The influence of the components of storytelling blogs on readers' travel intentions", *Internet Research*, Vol. 23 No. 2, pp. 160-182.
- Hu, M. and Chaudhry, S.S. (2020), "Enhancing consumer engagement in e-commerce live streaming via relational bonds", *Internet Research*, Vol. 30 No. 3, pp. 1019-1041.
- Hu, X., Chen, Z., Davison, R.M. and Liu, Y. (2022), "Charting consumers' continued social commerce intention", *Internet Research*, Vol. 32 No. 1, pp. 120-149.
- Kang, H., Shin, W. and Huang, J. (2022), "Teens' privacy management on video-sharing social media: the roles of perceived privacy risk and parental mediation", *Internet Research*, Vol. 32 No. 1, pp. 312-334.
- Kanuri, V.K., Chen, Y. and Sridhar, S. (2018), "Scheduling content on social media: Theory, evidence, and application", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 82 No. 6, pp. 89-108.
- Kim, M., Song, D. and Jang, A. (2021), "Consumer response toward native advertising on social media: the roles of source type and content type", *Internet Research*, Vol. 31 No. 5, pp. 1656-1676.
- Kim, T., Kim, H. and Kim, Y. (2019), "How do brands' Facebook posts induce consumers' e-word-of-mouth behavior? Informational versus emotional message strategy: A computational analysis", *Journal of Advertising Research*, Vol. 59 No. 4, pp. 402-413.
- Kranzbühler, A.M., Zerres, A., Kleijnen, M.H.P. and Verlegh, P.W.J. (2020), "Beyond valence: A meta-analysis of discrete emotions in firm-customer encounters", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 48 No. 3, pp. 478-498.
- Kruglanski, A.W. (2006), "The nature of fit and the origins of 'feeling right': A goal-systemic perspective", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 43 No. 1, pp. 11-14.
- Labroo, A.A. and Lee, A.Y. (2006), "Between two brands: A goal fluency account of brand evaluation", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 43 No.3, pp. 374-385.
- Lee, A.Y. and Labroo, A.A. (2004), "The effect of conceptual and perceptual fluency on brand evaluation", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 41 No. 2, pp. 151-165.
- Li, X., Shi, M. and Wang, X. (2019), "Video mining: Measuring visual information using automatic methods",

- 1
2
3
4 *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 36 No. 2, pp. 216-231.
- 5
6 Li, Y. and Xie, Y. (2020), "Is a picture worth a thousand words? An empirical study of image content and social
7
8 media engagement", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 1-19.
- 9
10 Lin, X., Sarker, S. and Featherman, M. (2019), "Users' psychological perceptions of information sharing in the context
11
12 of social media: A comprehensive model", *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, Vol. 23 No. 4, pp.
13
14 453-491.
- 15
16 Liu, L., Liu, R., Lee, M. and Chen, J. (2019), "When will consumers be ready? A psychological perspective on
17
18 consumer engagement in social media brand communities", *Internet Research*, Vol. 29 No. 4, pp. 704-724.
- 19
20 Liu, X., Shi, S.W., Teixeira, T. and Wedel, M. (2018), "Video content marketing: The making of clips", *Journal of*
21
22 *Marketing*, Vol. 82 No. 4, pp. 86-101.
- 23
24 Luethi, M., Meier, B. and Sandi, C. (2009), "Stress effects on working memory, explicit memory, and implicit
25
26 memory for neutral and emotional stimuli in healthy men", *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience*, Vol. 2, pp. 5.
- 27
28 Maaya, L., Meulders, M. and Vandebroek, M. (2020), "Online consumers' attribute non-attendance behavior: Effects
29
30 of information provision", *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, Vol. 24 No. 3, pp. 338-365.
- 31
32 Mulier, L., Slabbinck, H. and Vermeir, I. (2021), "This way up: The effectiveness of mobile vertical video
33
34 marketing", *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, Vol. 55, pp. 1-15.
- 35
36 Obilo, O.O., Chefor, E. and Saleh, A. (2021), "Revisiting the consumer brand engagement concept", *Journal of*
37
38 *Business Research*, Vol. 126, pp. 634-643.
- 39
40 Ordenes, F.V., Grewal, D., Ludwig, S., Ruyter, K.D., Mahr, D. and Wetzels, M. (2019), "Cutting through content
41
42 clutter: How speech and image acts drive consumer sharing of social media brand messages", *Journal of*
43
44 *Consumer Research*, Vol. 45 No. 5, pp. 988-1012.
- 45
46 Ozanne, M., Liu, S.Q. and Mattila, A.S. (2019), "Are attractive reviewers more persuasive? Examining the role of
47
48 physical attractiveness in online reviews", *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, Vol. 36 No. 6, pp. 728-739.
- 49
50 Pancer, E., Chandler, V., Poole, M. and Noseworthy, T.J. (2019), "How readability shapes social media engagement",
51
52 *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, Vol. 29 No. 2, pp. 262-270.
- 53
54 Pansari, A. and Kumar, V. (2017), "Customer engagement: the construct, antecedents, and consequences", *Journal of*
55
56 *the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 45 No. 3, pp. 294-311.
- 57
58 Pocheptsova, A., Amir, O., Dhar, R. and Baumeister, R.F. (2009), "Deciding without resources: Resource depletion
59
60 and choice in context", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 46 No. 3, pp. 344-355.

- 1
2
3
4 Reich, B.J. and Pittman, M. (2020), "An appeal to intimacy: Consumer response to platform -appeal fit on social
5
6 media", *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, Vol. 30 No. 4, pp. 660-670.
7
- 8 Relling, M., Schnittka, O., Sattler, H. and Johnen, M. (2016), "Each can help or hurt: Negative and positive word of
9
10 mouth in social network brand communities", *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 33 No.1, pp.
11
12 42-58.
13
- 14 Rocklage, M.D. and Fazio, R.H. (2020), "The enhancing versus backfiring effects of positive emotion in consumer
15
16 reviews", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 57 No. 2, pp. 332-352.
17
- 18 Schultz, C.D. (2017), "Proposing to your fans: Which brand post characteristics drive consumer engagement activities
19
20 on social media brand pages?", *Electronic Commerce Research & Applications*, Vol. 26, pp. 23-34.
21
- 22 Shahbaznezhad, H., Dolan, R. and Rashidirad, M. (2021), "The role of social media content format and platform in
23
24 users' engagement behavior", *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, Vol. 53, pp. 47-65.
25
- 26 Shapiro, S.A. and Nielsen, J.H. (2013), "What the blind eye sees: Incidental change detection as a source of
27
28 perceptual fluency", *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 39 No. 6, pp. 1202-1218.
29
- 30 She, J., Zhang, T., Chen, Q., Zhang, J., Fan, W., Wang, H. and Chang, Q. (2022), "Which social media posts generate
31
32 the most buzz? Evidence from WeChat", *Internet Research*, Vol. 32 No. 1, pp. 273-291.
33
- 34 Smith, L.W. and Rose, R.L. (2020), "Service with a smiley face: Emotional contagion in digitally mediated
35
36 relationships", *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 37 No. 2, pp. 301-319.
37
- 38 Song, H., Tolochko, P., Eberl, J.M., Eisele, O., Greussing, E., Heidenreich, T., Lind, F., Galyga, S. and Boomgaarden,
39
40 H.G. (2020), "In validations we trust? The impact of imperfect human annotations as a gold standard on the
41
42 quality of validation of automated content analysis", *Political Communication*, Vol. 37 No. 4, pp. 550-572.
43
- 44 Song, S., Zhao, Y.C., Yao, X., Ba, Z. and Zhu, Q. (2021), "Short video apps as a health information source: an
45
46 investigation of affordances, user experience and users' intention to continue the use of TikTok", *Internet
47
48 Research*, Vol. 31 No. 6, pp. 2120-2142.
49
- 50 Sudhir, K. (2016), "Editorial: The exploration-exploitation tradeoff and efficiency in knowledge production",
51
52 *Marketing Science*, Vol. 35 No. 1, pp. 1-9.
53
- 54 Tafesse, W. (2020), "YouTube marketing: How marketers' video optimization practices influence video views",
55
56 *Internet Research*, Vol. 30 No. 6, pp. 1689-1707.
57
- 58 Tan, X., Wang, Y. and Tan, Y. (2019), "Impact of live chat on purchase in electronic markets: The moderating role of
59
60 information cues", *Information Systems Research*, Vol. 30 No. 4, pp. 1248-1271.

- 1
2
3
4 Teixeira, T., Wedel, M. and Pieters, R. (2012), "Emotion-induced engagement in internet video advertisements",
5
6 *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 49 No. 2, pp. 144-159.
- 7
8 Tellis, G.J., MacInnis, D.J., Tirunillai, S. and Zhang, Y. (2019), "What drives virality (sharing) of online digital
9
10 content? The critical role of information, emotion, and brand prominence", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 83 No. 4,
11
12 pp. 1–20.
- 13
14 Van Doorn, J., Lemon, K.N., Mittal, V., Nass, S., Pick, D., Pirner, P. and Verhoef, P.C. (2010), "Customer
15
16 engagement behavior: Theoretical foundations and research directions", *Journal of Service Research*, Vol. 13
17
18 No. 3, pp. 253-266.
- 19
20 Verhoef, P.C., Reinartz, W. J. and Krafft, M. (2010), "Customer engagement as a new perspective in customer
21
22 management", *Journal of Service Research*, Vol. 13 No. 3, pp. 247-252.
- 23
24 Vivek, S.D., Beatty, S.E. and Morgan, R.M. (2012), "Customer engagement: Exploring customer relationships beyond
25
26 purchase", *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, Vol. 20 No. 2, pp. 122-146.
- 27
28 Wang, R.W.Y., Chang, Y.C. and Chuang, S.W. (2016), "EEG spectral dynamics of video commercials: Impact of the
29
30 narrative on the branding product preference", *Scientific Reports*, Vol. 6, pp. 36487.
- 31
32 Wang, Y., Ramachandran, V. and Liu Sheng, O.R. (2021), "Do fit opinions matter? The impact of fit context on
33
34 online product returns", *Information Systems Research*, Vol. 32 No. 1, pp. 268-289.
- 35
36 Xi, N. and Hamari, J. (2020), "Does gamification affect brand engagement and equity? A study in online brand
37
38 communities", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 109, pp. 449-460.
- 39
40 Zhou, M., Chen, G.H., Ferreira, P. and Smith, M.D. (2021), "Consumer Behavior in the Online Classroom: Using
41
42 Video Analytics and Machine Learning to Understand the Consumption of Video Courseware", *Journal of*
43
44 *Marketing Research*, Vol. 58 No. 6, pp. 1079-1100.
- 45
46 Zor, O., Kim, K.H. and Monga, A. (2022), "Tweets we like aren't alike: Time of day affects engagement with vice
47
48 and virtue tweets", *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 49 No. 3, pp. 473-495.
- 49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Appendix 1. Details of interview process

a. Purpose: After determining four features of short videos (content matching, information relevance, storytelling, and emotionality) to fill in previous research gaps, we needed to confirm the coding items for each factor.

b. Ten users: According to the official report of Weibo in 2020, nearly 80% of users were between 23 and 33 years old, and female users exceeded male users. We then recruited volunteers who were active users of Weibo aged 23 to 33 and attended a university; from them, 10 were selected randomly, including six males and four females. The average daily use time of Weibo for these users was more than 1 hour. Therefore, the user group selected in this study was representative.

c. Four experts: The four experts in this study were scholars from different universities with rich research experience in the field of Internet marketing, all holding Ph.D. degrees.

d. Items coding: Two postgraduates majoring in Internet marketing completed the coding work under the supervision of two Ph.D.-level associate professors of Internet marketing. In addition to conducting a pilot study to determine the indicators, the four researchers also discussed the meaning of the indicators many times before formal coding began. After determining the final indicators, the two coders individually encoded all the branded short videos and under the guidance of the two professors met twice a week to negotiate inconsistent ratings.

Appendix 2. Brand information

Brand		Brand	Industry categories	Note
		Finance		
		Global 200		
Amazon		1	Technology (17)	
Apple	ST	2		There were no short videos posted on Weibo.
Google		3	Technology (17)	
Microsoft		4	Technology (17)	
Samsung		5	Technology (17)	
AT&A	ST	6		There was no official Weibo.
Facebook	ST	7		There was no official Weibo.
ICBC		8	Financial services (9)	Weibo was only visible for half a year.
Verizon	ST	9		There was no official Weibo.
China Construction Bank	ST	10		There were no short videos posted on Weibo.
Walmart		11	Retail (16)	
Huawei		12	Technology (17)	
Mercedes-Benz		13	Automotive (4)	
Ping An		14	Financial services (9)	
China Mobile		15	Telecoms (18)	
Agricultural Bank of China		16	Financial services (9)	
Toyota		17	Automotive (4)	
State Grid		18	Utilities (20)	
Bank of China		19	Financial services (9)	
WeChat		20	Technology (17)	
Tencent (QQ)		21	Technology (17)	
Home Depot		22	Retail (16)	
Taobao		23	Technology (17)	
T (Deutsche Telekom)	ST	24		There was no official Weibo.
Disney		25	Leisure (10)	"Disney China" was selected as the research object, and they were classified as the leisure industry. Weibo content was posted until August 2019.
Shell	ST	26		There was no official

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

				Weibo.
Volkswagen		27	Automotive (4)	
NTT Group	ST	28		There was no official Weibo.
BMW		29	Automotive (4)	
Wells Fargo	ST	30		There were no short videos posted on Weibo.
Starbucks		31	Restaurants (15)	
YouTube	ST	32		There was no official Weibo.
PetroChina	ST	33		There were no short videos posted on Weibo.
Bank of America	ST	34		There was no official Weibo.
Tmall		35	Technology (17)	
Citi		36	Financial services (9)	
Chase	ST	37		There was no official Weibo.
Coca-Cola		38	Beverage (5)	
Marlboro	ST	39		There was no official Weibo.
IBM		40	Commercial service (6)	
Nike		41	Apparel (3)	
Boeing		42	Aerospace (1)	
McDonald's		43	Restaurants (15)	
UnitedHealthcare	ST	44		There was no official Weibo.
Moutai		45	Alcohol (2)	
Deloitte		46	Commercial service (6)	
Porsche		47	Automotive (4)	
UPS		48	Transportation (19)	
Sinopec		49	Oil/Gas (13)	Since the group official Weibo was not found, "Sinopec Guangdong Petroleum Branch" was selected as the research object.
Intel		50	Technology (17)	
General Electric	ST	51		There was no official Weibo.
Visa		52	Financial services (9)	
American Express		53	Commercial service (6)	
Xfinity	ST	54		There was no official Weibo.

1				
2				
3	Mitsubishi Group	ST	55	There was no official
4				Weibo.
5				
6	Accenture		56	Commercial service (6)
7	Honda		57	Automotive (4)
8	CSCEC		58	Engineering & Weibo was only visible for
9				half a year.
10				
11	Oracle		59	Technology (17)
12	Total		60	Oil/Gas (13)
13	PWC		61	Commercial service (6)
14	FedEx		62	Transportation (19)
15				Weibo contents were posted
16				until June 2019.
17	Lowe's	ST	63	There was no official
18				Weibo.
19				
20	EY	ST	64	There were no short videos
21				posted on Weibo.
22				
23	Allianz		65	Financial services (9)
24	Bosch		66	Engineering &
25				Construction (8)
26				
27	Dell		67	Technology (17)
28	BP	ST	68	There was no official
29				Weibo.
30	Uber	ST	69	There was no official
31				Weibo.
32				
33	China Merchants Bank		70	Financial services (9)
34	China Life		71	Financial services (9)
35				Weibo was only visible for
36				half a year.
37	Cisco		72	Technology (17)
38	Siemens		73	Engineering &
39				Construction (8)
40				
41	IKEA		74	Retail (16)
42	Vodafone	ST	75	There was no official
43				Weibo.
44				
45	CVS Health	ST	76	There was no official
46				Weibo.
47	Netflix	ST	77	There was no official
48				Weibo.
49				
50	Orange	ST	78	There was no official
51				Weibo.
52				
53	Hyundai Group		79	Automotive (4)
54	China Telecom		80	Telecoms (18)
55	Evergrande		81	Real Estate (14)
56				Since there was no short
57				video content in group
58				official Weibo, "Evergrande
59				Spring" was selected as the
60				

1					research object.
2					
3					
4	HSBC	ST	82		There was no official
5					Weibo.
6					
7	JP Morgan	ST	83		There was no official
8					Weibo.
9					
10	Nestlé		84	Beverage (5)	"Nescafe China" was
11					selected as the research
12					object, so it was classified
13					as a beverage industry.
14					
15	Audi		85	Automotive (4)	
16	Tata Group	ST	86		There were no short videos
17					posted on Weibo.
18					
19	Baidu		87	Technology (17)	
20	SoftBank	ST	88		There was no official
21					Weibo.
22					
23	Nissan		89	Automotive (4)	
24	Pepsi		90	Beverage (5)	
25	LG Group		91	Technology (17)	Since the group official
26					Weibo was not found, "LG
27					TV Official Weibo" was
28					selected as the research
29					object.
30					
31					
32	Zara		92	Apparel (3)	
33	Ford		93	Automotive (4)	
34	Mastercard		94	Commercial service (6)	
35	au	ST	95		There was no official
36					Weibo.
37					
38	Santander	ST	96		There was no official
39					Weibo.
40					
41	RBC	ST	97		There was no official
42					Weibo.
43					
44	Costco	ST	98		There was no official
45					Weibo.
46	Chevron		99	Oil/Gas (13)	
47	Instagram	ST	100		There was no official
48					Weibo.
49					
50	Adidas		101	Apparel (3)	
51	Country Garden		102	Real Estate (14)	
52	Target	ST	103		There was no official
53					Weibo.
54					
55	Wuliangye		104	Alcohol (2)	
56	Fox		105	Media (12)	
57					
58	Walgreens	ST	106		There was no official
59					Weibo.
60					

1				
2				
3	H&M		107	Apparel (3)
4	Universal		108	Leisure (10)
5				"Universal Pictures" was
6				selected as the research
7				object, so it was classified
8				as a leisure industry.
9				
10	AXA	ST	109	There were no short videos
11				posted on Weibo.
12	SAP		110	Technology (17)
13	AIA		111	Financial services (9)
14	Spectrum	ST	112	
15				There was no official
16				Weibo.
17	China Railway		113	Engineering &
18	Construction			Construction (8)
19	Corporation			
20	Hitachi Group		114	Technology (17)
21				Since the group official
22				Weibo was not found,
23				"Hitadhi Vantara Official
24				Weibo" was selected as the
25				research object.
26				
27				
28	BNP Paribas		115	Financial services (9)
29	Alibaba		116	Technology (17)
30	Bank of		117	Financial services (9)
31	Communications			
32	Capital One	ST	118	
33				There was no official
34				Weibo.
35	NBC	ST	119	
36				There was no official
37				Weibo.
38	Johnson's		120	Cosmetics & Personal
39				Care (7)
40				Since the official Weibo
41				was not found, "Campus
42				recruitment of Johnson's"
43				was selected as the research
44				object.
45	TD	ST	121	
46				There was no official
47				Weibo.
48	Volvo		122	Automotive (4)
49	Cartier		123	Luxury (11)
50				It was classified as a luxury
51				industry.
52	Louis Vuitton		124	Luxury (11)
53				It was classified as a luxury
54				industry.
55	Anthem	ST	125	
56				There was no official
57				Weibo.
58	KFC		126	Restaurants (15)
59	Petronas		127	Oil/Gas (13)
60	Chevrolet		128	Automotive (4)

1				
2				
3	ExxonMobil	ST	129	There was no official
4				Weibo.
5				
6	Shanghai Pudong		130	Financial services (9)
7	Development Bank			
8	Warner Bros.		131	Leisure (10)
9				"Warner Bros. Movies" was
10				selected as the research
11				object, so it was classified
12				as the leisure industry.
13				
14	Paypal	ST	132	There were no short videos
15				posted on Weibo.
16	Airbus	ST	133	There was no official
17				Weibo.
18				
19	KPMG		134	Commercial Services (6)
20	China CITIC Bank		135	Financial services (9)
21	Sumitomo Group	ST	136	There was no official
22				Weibo.
23				
24	Optum	ST	137	There was no official
25				Weibo.
26				
27	Midea Group		138	Technology (17)
28	Sberbank	ST	139	There was no official
29				Weibo.
30				
31	Sony		140	Technology (17)
32				Weibo was only visible for
33				half a year.
34	NetEase		141	Technology (17)
35	EDF	ST	142	There was no official
36				Weibo.
37	Panasonic		143	Technology (17)
38	booking.com		144	Technology (17)
39	Uniqlo		145	Apparel (3)
40				Weibo was only visible for
41				half a year.
42	Industrial Bank		146	Financial services (9)
43	CRECG	ST	147	There were no short videos
44				posted on Weibo.
45				
46	Barclays	ST	148	There was no official
47				Weibo.
48				
49	Chanel		149	Luxury (11)
50				It was classified as a luxury
51				industry.
52	JR		150	Transportation (19)
53	JD.com		151	Technology (17)
54	ING	ST	152	There was no official
55				Weibo.
56	MUFG	ST	153	There was no official
57				Weibo.
58				
59	Tesco	ST	154	There were no short videos
60				

				posted on Weibo.
4	Scotiabank	ST	155	There was no official Weibo.
7	Renault		156	Automotive (4)
8	DHL	ST	157	There were no short videos posted on Weibo.
11	SK Group	ST	158	There was no official Weibo.
14	CBS	ST	159	There was no official Weibo.
16	Postal Savings Bank		160	Financial services (9)
18	Lockheed Martin	ST	161	There was no official Weibo.
20	Vanke		162	Real Estate (14)
26	Hermes		163	Luxury (11)
27				It was classified as a luxury industry.
29	Aetna	ST	164	There was no official Weibo.
32	Greenland	ST	165	There were no short videos posted on Weibo.
35	L'Oréal		166	Cosmetics & Personal Care (7)
37	BBVA	ST	167	There was no official Weibo.
40	China Pacific Insurance Company		168	Financial services (9)
42	Eni	ST	169	There was no official Weibo.
45	Movistar	ST	170	There was no official Weibo.
47	Canon		171	Technology (17)
49	UBS	ST	172	There was no official Weibo.
51	Telstra	ST	173	There was no official Weibo.
54	Goldman Sachs	ST	174	There was no official Weibo.
56	Aldi		175	Retail (16)
58	Sky	ST	176	There was no official Weibo.

1				
2				
3	Engie	ST	177	There was no official
4				Weibo.
5				
6	Enel	ST	178	There was no official
7				Weibo.
8				
9	Humana	ST	179	Weibo contents were posted
10				until April 2017.
11	China Unicom		180	Telecoms (18)
12	Gucci		181	Luxury (11)
13				It was classified as a luxury
14				industry.
15	Sam's Club		182	Retail (16)
16	BMO	ST	183	There was no official
17				Weibo.
18				
19	Delta		184	Aerospace (1)
20	7-Eleven		185	Retail (16)
21	HP		186	Technology (17)
22	Nokia		187	Technology (17)
23				
24	U.S. Bank	ST	188	There was no official
25				Weibo.
26				
27	Lexus		189	Automotive (4)
28	Medtronic	ST	190	There was no official
29				Weibo.
30				
31	American Airlines		191	Aerospace (1)
32	Carrefour		192	Retail (16)
33	China Minsheng Bank		193	Financial services (9)
34	Union Pacific	ST	194	There was no official
35				Weibo.
36				
37	Equinor	ST	195	There was no official
38				Weibo.
39				
40	PICC		196	Financial services (9)
41	Mitsui Group		197	Retail (16)
42				"Mitsui Shopping Park in
43				Japan" was selected as the
44				research object, so it was
45				classified as a retail
46				industry.
47	TSMC	ST	198	There were no short videos
48				posted on Weibo.
49				
50	Yanghe		199	Alcohol (2)
51	Land Rover		200	Automotive (4)
52				

Note: ST means special treatment.

Appendix 3. Questionnaire survey on the indicators of short video content regarding characteristics of short videos and the importance of consumer participation

Research on the influence of short video content elements on consumer participation

Dear students:

Hello! Thank you for participating in this questionnaire survey. Data collected will remain strictly confidential. All data will be used solely for research purposes. No names or identifying information will be used in any publication or presentation. The questionnaire may take up some of your precious time, please fill it out carefully according to your own feelings. Thank you again for your participation. Wish you all the best and a happy life!

1. Please watch the short video carefully, and then evaluate the importance of the following items to your understanding the video’s content, and even to generating positive emotions. 1 means strongly unimportant, 2 means less important, 3 means average, 4 means more important, 5 means very important (tick "√").

Items	1	2	3	4	5
The title of the short video is very closely related to the displayed product.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The background music of the short video fits perfectly with the displayed product.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The background of the short video fits well with the displayed product.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The graphic information conveyed by the short video is highly relevant to the product or brand.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The content displayed in the short video is very clear and credible.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
There are hot topics in the short video.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The language description in the short video is concise.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The story in the short video is carefully crafted and appealing.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(Multiple choice) What emotions do you think the short video contains that make you more willing to spend time with them. (Tap "√" under the corresponding emotion)					
Love	Pride	Courage	Joy	Triumph	Warmth
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Excitement	Humor	Shame	Disgust	Deprivation	Failure
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Sadness	Fear	Anger	Hatred		
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		

2. Please watch the short video carefully and answer the following questions. 1 means strongly disagree, 2 means more disagree than agree, 3 means average, 4 means more agree than disagree, 5 means strongly agree (tick "√").

Items	1	2	3	4	5
I am certain to share this short video with others	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I am very likely to share this short video with others	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I will probably share this short video with others	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

3. Please evaluate your familiarity with the Weibo platform. 1 means strongly disagree, 2 means more disagree than agree, 3 means average, 4 means more agree than disagree, 5 means strongly agree (tick "√").

Items	1	2	3	4	5
I log on Weibo every day and am very familiar with it.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I know all the features of Weibo and am very knowledgeable about it.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I always participate in discussions and express opinions on Weibo and have a lot of experience with it.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

4. Please note your basic personal information

Your gender: male female

Your age:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Appendix 4. The normal test of the residual of the dependent variable

Descriptives				Statistic	Std. Error
Likes	Mean			2205.02	191.615
	95% Confidence Interval for	Lower Bound		1829.42	
	Mean	Upper Bound		2580.63	
	5% Trimmed Mean			392.43	
	Median			75.00	
	Variance			3.599E8	
	Std. Deviation			18970.902	
	Minimum			0	
	Maximum			712000	
	Range			712000	
	Interquartile Range			248	
	Skewness			26.297	.025
	Kurtosis			842.783	.049
	Comments	Mean			546.65
95% Confidence Interval for		Lower Bound		470.13	
Mean		Upper Bound		623.17	
5% Trimmed Mean				124.18	
Median				26.00	
Variance				14937848.840	
Std. Deviation				3864.951	
Minimum				0	
Maximum				197000	
Range				197000	
Interquartile Range				80	
Skewness				29.207	.025
Kurtosis				1212.663	.049
Shares		Mean			2513.18
	95% Confidence Interval for	Lower Bound		2100.64	
	Mean	Upper Bound		2925.71	
	5% Trimmed Mean			327.14	
	Median			20.00	
	Variance			4.341E8	
	Std. Deviation			20835.938	
	Minimum			0	
	Maximum			722000	
	Range			722000	
	Interquartile Range			137	
	Skewness			21.327	.025
	Kurtosis			582.274	.049

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Tests of Normality

Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			
	Statistic	df	Sig.
likes	.454	9802	.000
comments	.444	9802	.000
shares	.452	9802	.000

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

Internet Research

Appendix 5. Breusch- Pagan test results

Seemingly unrelated regression

Equation	Obs	Parms	RMSE	" R-sq "	Chi2	p
Likes1	9,802	48	1.267399	0.6960	22437.50	0.0000
Comments1	9,802	48	1.778379	0.5609	12521.63	0.0000
Shares1	9,802	48	2.020015	0.5496	11962.15	0.0000

	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	p> z	[95% conf.	Interval]
Likes1						
NF	.0288211	.0132754	2.17	0.030	.0028018	.0548404
LS	-.0000339	.0001153	-0.29	0.768	-.0002598	.000192
CA	1.123186	.0302277	37.16	0.000	1.063941	1.182431
EA	.3657256	.0105834	34.56	0.000	.3449825	.3864687
FPT	.1254998	.0308771	4.06	0.000	.0649817	.1860179
CBMB	.0267212	.0103505	2.58	0.010	.0064346	.0470078
CBB	.0882979	.0186359	4.74	0.000	.0517722	.1248235
PR	.2249731	.0217732	10.33	0.000	.1822984	.2676478
CC	-.2660863	.0580651	-4.58	0.000	-.3798918	-.1522808
LC	.1724562	.0285333	6.04	0.000	.1165319	.2283804
SQ	.1206481	.0081674	14.77	0.000	.1046402	.136656
Excitement	.3160334	.0158585	19.93	0.000	.2849512	.3471155
Joy	.2675054	.0151491	17.66	0.000	.2378138	.297197
Love	-.0090715	.0673496	-0.13	0.893	-.1410744	.1229314
Pride	.3281178	.0216392	15.16	0.000	.2857058	.3705298
Warmth	.2383291	.0249094	9.57	0.000	.1895075	.2871506
E*M	.0476519	.0213752	2.23	0.026	.0057574	.0895465
J*M	.0457805	.0201425	2.27	0.023	.0063018	.0852591
L*M	.0655884	.0879903	0.75	0.456	-.1068694	.2380463
P*M	-.0067749	.0298912	-0.23	0.821	-.0653607	.0518109
W*M	.040422	.0337903	1.20	0.232	-.0258059	.1066498
E*A	.0250312	.0201054	1.24	0.213	-.0143747	.0644371
J*A	.0607286	.0190066	3.20	0.001	.0234763	.0979809
L*A	-.0661974	.0856629	-0.77	0.440	-.2340936	.1016989
P*A	-.0291276	.0279585	-1.04	0.297	-.0839252	.0256701
W*A	.053094	.0315762	1.68	0.093	-.0087943	.1149822
Morning	-.0977617	.0816058	-1.20	0.231	-.2577061	.0621827
Afternoon	-.267887	.0732524	-3.66	0.000	-.4114591	-.124315
Industry dummy						
Industry 2	-2.271121	.2049334	-11.08	0.000	-2.672784	-1.869459
Industry 3	-.5254884	.2043748	-2.57	0.010	-.9260556	-.1249212
Industry 4	-1.595908	.1877513	-8.50	0.000	-1.963894	-1.227922
Industry 5	-.8546633	.2067452	-4.13	0.000	-1.259876	-.44945
Industry 6	-3.050737	.2256719	-13.52	0.000	-3.493045	-2.608428

1							
2							
3	Industry 7	-.3772931	.2024627	-1.86	0.062	-.7741127	.0195265
4	Industry 8	-1.575417	.2370309	-6.65	0.000	-2.039989	-1.1110845
5	Industry 9	-3.247689	.1992988	-16.30	0.000	-3.638308	-2.85707
6	Industry 10	-.8500898	.194743	-4.37	0.000	-1.231779	-.4684005
7	Industry 11	-.1209521	.1935364	-0.62	0.532	-.5002765	.2583724
8	Industry 13	-5.994992	.2998753	-19.99	0.000	-6.582737	-5.407247
9	Industry 14	-2.475266	.2499293	-9.90	0.000	-2.965118	-1.985413
10	Industry 15	-.2548421	.1936115	-1.32	0.188	-.6343137	.1246295
11	Industry 16	-2.186394	.1995849	-10.95	0.000	-2.577573	-1.795215
12	Industry 17	-.9410933	.188144	-5.00	0.000	-1.309849	-.5723379
13	Industry 18	-.8738205	.1932466	-4.52	0.000	-1.252577	-.4950643
14	Industry 19	-2.665157	.3367676	-7.91	0.000	-3.32521	-2.005105
15	Industry 20	-3.202647	.2572351	-12.45	0.000	-3.706819	-2.698476
16	_cons	-1.141219	.338947	-3.37	0.001	-1.805543	-.4768955
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22	Comments1						
23	NF	-.0628094	.0186277	-3.37	0.001	-.099319	-.0262999
24	LS	.0000941	.0001617	0.58	0.561	-.0002229	.0004111
25	CA	1.280764	.0424147	30.20	0.000	1.197633	1.363895
26	EA	.4384588	.0148504	29.53	0.000	.4093527	.467565
27	FPT	.1533423	.043326	3.54	0.000	.068425	.2382597
28	CBMB	.0034124	.0145236	0.23	0.814	-.0250532	.0318781
29	CBB	.1533022	.0261494	5.86	0.000	.1020504	.2045539
30	PR	.2665408	.0305516	8.72	0.000	.2066608	.3264207
31	CC	.30279	.0814754	3.72	0.000	.1431013	.4624788
32	LC	.106243	.0400371	2.65	0.008	.0277717	.1847144
33	SQ	.1352714	.0114603	11.80	0.000	.1128096	.1577332
34	Excitement	.3107282	.0222523	13.96	0.000	.2671146	.3543419
35	Joy	.2293243	.0212567	10.79	0.000	.1876618	.2709867
36	Love	.0272007	.0945032	0.29	0.773	-.1580221	.2124235
37	Pride	.2176161	.0303635	7.17	0.000	.1581047	.2771275
38	Warmth	.2201358	.0349522	6.30	0.000	.1516307	.2886409
39	E*M	.016549	.029993	0.55	0.581	-.0422363	.0753343
40	J*M	.0372932	.0282634	1.32	0.187	-.0181021	.0926886
41	L*M	.0632357	.1234656	0.51	0.609	-.1787525	.3052239
42	P*M	.0275542	.0419426	0.66	0.511	-.0546517	.1097601
43	W*M	-.0226075	.0474137	-0.48	0.633	-.1155367	.0703216
44	E*A	-.1093988	.0282114	-3.88	0.000	-.164692	-.0541055
45	J*A	-.0145508	.0266696	-0.55	0.585	-.0668221	.0377206
46	L*A	-.0611114	.1201999	-0.51	0.611	-.2966988	.174476
47	P*A	-.0684463	.0392306	-1.74	0.081	-.1453369	.0084443
48	W*A	-.0413143	.0443069	-0.93	0.351	-.1281541	.0455256
49	Morning	.0298662	.114507	0.26	0.794	-.1945634	.2542958
50	Afternoon	.1657164	.1027857	1.61	0.107	-.03574	.3671728
51	Industry dummy						
52							
53							
54							
55							
56							
57							
58							
59							
60							

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Industry 2	-2.191454	.287557	-7.62	0.000	-2.755056	-1.627853
Industry 3	-1.154866	.2867731	-4.03	0.000	-1.716931	-.5928007
Industry 4	-1.321294	.2634475	-5.02	0.000	-1.837641	-.804946
Industry 5	-.6185231	.2900993	-2.13	0.033	-1.187107	-.049939
Industry 6	-4.878722	.3166567	-15.41	0.000	-5.499357	-4.258086
Industry 7	.0884972	.2840901	0.31	0.755	-.4683091	.6453036
Industry 8	-.7967183	.3325952	-2.40	0.017	-1.448593	-.1448437
Industry 9	-1.904378	.2796507	-6.81	0.000	-2.452484	-1.356273
Industry 10	-1.142854	.2732581	-4.18	0.000	-1.67843	-.6072781
Industry 11	-.3564551	.271565	-1.31	0.189	-.8887127	.1758025
Industry 13	-5.402963	.4207768	-12.84	0.000	-6.22767	-4.578255
Industry 14	-4.109133	.350694	-11.72	0.000	-4.796481	-3.421786
Industry 15	.2456393	.2716704	0.90	0.366	-.2868249	.7781035
Industry 16	-1.871296	.280052	-6.68	0.000	-2.420188	-1.322405
Industry 17	-.5595393	.2639984	-2.12	0.034	-1.076967	-.0421119
Industry 18	.7973804	.2711583	2.94	0.003	.26592	1.328841
Industry 19	.3783145	.4725431	0.80	0.423	-.5478529	1.304482
Industry 20	-2.758596	.3609452	-7.64	0.000	-3.466035	-2.051156
_cons	-5.41022	.4756011	-11.38	0.000	-6.342381	-4.478059
<hr/>						
Shares1						
NF	.1101927	.0211587	5.21	0.000	.0687224	.151663
LS	.0008997	.0001837	4.90	0.000	.0005396	.0012597
CA	1.230381	.0481778	25.54	0.000	1.135954	1.324807
EA	.5182456	.0168681	30.72	0.000	.4851847	.5513065
FPT	.1775709	.0492129	3.61	0.000	.0811155	.2740263
CBMB	-.0155284	.0164969	-0.94	0.347	-.0478618	.016805
CBB	.0185103	.0297024	0.62	0.533	-.0397053	.0767259
PR	.4411782	.0347027	12.71	0.000	.3731621	.5091943
CC	.5007213	.0925458	5.41	0.000	.3193349	.6821077
LC	.1434457	.0454772	3.15	0.002	.0543121	.2325793
SQ	.1367691	.0130175	10.51	0.000	.1112553	.1622829
Excitement	.3786575	.0252758	14.98	0.000	.3291179	.4281971
Joy	.3350311	.024145	13.88	0.000	.2877078	.3823544
Love	-.0437116	.1073437	-0.41	0.684	-.2541015	.1666782
Pride	.3229965	.0344891	9.37	0.000	.2553991	.390594
Warmth	.2399116	.0397013	6.04	0.000	.1620984	.3177248
E*M	.0362908	.0340683	1.07	0.287	-.0304819	.1030635
J*M	.0499075	.0321037	1.55	0.120	-.0130146	.1128297
L*M	.1420611	.1402414	1.01	0.311	-.132807	.4169293
P*M	.0150033	.0476415	0.31	0.753	-.0783723	.108379
W*M	.0752914	.053856	1.40	0.162	-.0302644	.1808473
E*A	-.042452	.0320446	-1.32	0.185	-.1052581	.0203542
J*A	-.0287137	.0302933	-0.95	0.343	-.0880874	.03066
L*A	-.0442902	.1365319	-0.32	0.746	-.3118879	.2233075

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

	P*A	-.0486189	.0445611	-1.09	0.275	-.135957	.0387192
	W*A	.0181412	.050327	0.36	0.718	-.080498	.1167804
	Morning	-.1627609	.1300656	-1.25	0.211	-.4176847	.092163
	Afternoon	.0054878	.1167517	0.05	0.963	.2233414	.2343169
	Industry dummy						
	Industry 2	-3.176747	.3266286	-9.73	0.000	-3.816927	-2.536566
	Industry 3	-2.143473	.3257382	-6.58	0.000	-2.781908	-1.505038
	Industry 4	-1.570072	.2992433	-5.25	0.000	-2.156578	-.9835665
	Industry 5	-1.193123	.3295163	-3.62	0.000	-1.838963	-.5472827
	Industry 6	-3.894473	.3596822	-10.83	0.000	-4.599437	-3.189508
	Industry 7	-1.12932	.3226907	-3.50	0.000	-1.761782	-.4968577
	Industry 8	-3.070328	.3777864	-8.13	0.000	-3.810776	-2.32988
	Industry 9	-2.071708	.317648	-6.52	0.000	-2.694287	-1.449129
	Industry 10	-1.42855	.3103868	-4.60	0.000	-2.036897	-.820203
	Industry 11	-1.174217	.3084638	-3.81	0.000	-1.778795	-.5696394
	Industry 13	-7.59683	.4779496	-15.89	0.000	-8.533594	-6.660066
	Industry 14	-3.391904	.3983443	-8.52	0.000	-4.172645	-2.611164
	Industry 15	-.5930047	.3085835	-1.92	0.055	-1.197817	.0118078
	Industry 16	-3.166523	.3181039	-9.95	0.000	-3.789995	-2.543051
	Industry 17	-2.011528	.2998691	-6.71	0.000	-2.59926	-1.423795
	Industry 18	-.3309256	.3080017	-1.07	0.283	-.9345979	.2727467
	Industry 19	-4.219562	.5367496	-7.86	0.000	-5.271572	-3.167552
	Industry 20	-2.384421	.4099885	-5.82	0.000	-3.187984	-1.580859
	_cons	-6.758812	.5402231	-12.51	0.000	-7.81763	-5.699995

Note: new follow (NF); length seconds (LS); content attractiveness (CA); endorser attractiveness (EA); first person tone (FPT); connection between background music and brand (CBMB); connection between background and brand (CBB); product relevance (PR); content credibility (CC); language conciseness (LC); story quality (SQ); love*morning (L*M); warmth*morning (W*M); excitement*morning (E*M); joy*morning (J*M); pride*morning (P*M); love*afternoon (L*A); warmth*afternoon (W*A); excitement*afternoon (E*A); joy* afternoon (J*A); pride*afternoon (P*A).

Correlation matrix of residuals:

	Likes1	Comments1	Shares1
Likes1	1.0000		
Comments1	0.6260	1.0000	
Shares1	0.5442	0.5735	1.0000

Breusch-Pagan test of independence: $\chi^2(3) = 9968.787$, $pr = 0.0000$

Appendix 6. Correlation matrix

	LIKE	COMMENT	SHARE	CBMB	CBB	PR	CC	LC	SQ	Love	Warmth	Excitement
LIKE												
COMMENT	0.795***											
SHARE	0.339***	0.314***										
CBMB	0.038***	0.042***	0.041***									
CBB	0.029**	0.036***	0.026**	-0.006								
PR	0.042***	0.054***	0.037***	0.026*	0.693***							
CC	-0.000	-0.000	-0.001	-0.239***	0.016	-0.042***						
LC	0.059***	0.072***	0.055***	0.102***	-0.105***	0.009	-0.061***					
SQ	0.018	0.012	0.037***	0.256***	-0.100***	-0.082***	-0.158***	-0.020				
Love	0.012	0.003	0.026*	0.027**	-0.124***	-0.162***	-0.039***	-0.001	0.101***			
Warmth	0.003	-0.002	0.015	0.059***	-0.197***	-0.192***	-0.023*	0.059***	0.196***	0.225***		
Excitement	0.105***	0.121***	0.099***	0.078***	0.171***	0.190***	-0.061***	0.038***	-0.123***	-0.087***	-0.271***	
Joy	0.132***	0.159***	0.131***	0.124***	0.024*	0.034***	-0.050***	0.123***	0.068***	-0.010	-0.040***	-0.325***
Pride	-0.008	-0.022*	-0.006	-0.011	-0.050***	-0.047***	0.029**	0.049***	0.128***	-0.028**	-0.044***	-0.243***
Morning	0.046***	0.059***	0.050***	0.061***	0.016	0.037***	-0.048***	0.052***	0.057***	0.012	0.009	0.030**
Afternoon	-0.029**	-0.026**	-0.019	-0.085***	-0.019	-0.052***	0.091***	-0.037***	-0.051***	0.001	0.015	-0.070***
Evening	-0.014	-0.030**	-0.029**	0.030**	0.005	0.019	-0.048***	-0.011	-0.002	-0.013	-0.024*	0.045***
NF	-0.009	-0.015	-0.008	-0.067***	0.060***	0.043***	0.032**	-0.063***	0.009	-0.003	0.009	-0.092***
LS	-0.014	-0.025*	-0.010	-0.007	-0.149***	-0.163***	0.101***	-0.012	0.222***	0.073***	0.137***	-0.109***
CA	0.177***	0.205***	0.167***	0.171***	-0.048***	-0.044***	-0.054***	0.202***	0.192***	0.096***	0.100***	0.184***
EA	0.229***	0.258***	0.235***	-0.093***	-0.053***	-0.057***	0.150***	0.111***	-0.024*	0.058***	0.046***	0.073***
FPT	0.030**	0.040***	0.052***	-0.055***	-0.158***	-0.125***	0.104***	0.253***	0.168***	0.053***	0.131***	-0.171***

	Joy	Pride	Morning	Afternoon	Evening	Reward	Holiday	NF	LS	CA	EA	FPT
LIKE												
COMMENT												
SHARE												
CBMB												
CBB												
PR												
CC												
LC												
SQ												
Love												
Warmth												
Excitement												
Joy												
Pride	-0.190***											
Morning	0.062***	0.017										
Afternoon	-0.050***	-0.005	-0.512***									
Evening	-0.008	-0.012	-0.431***	-0.554***								
NF	-0.027**	0.091***	0.001	-0.002	0.002	0.044***	-0.051***					
LS	-0.060***	0.155***	-0.038***	0.047***	-0.012	0.034***	-0.022*	-0.008				
CA	0.293***	0.040***	0.058***	-0.088***	0.036***	0.154***	-0.020*	-0.076***	0.003			
EA	0.272***	-0.054***	0.033***	0.034***	-0.068***	0.041***	-0.022*	-0.074***	-0.007	0.372***		
FPT	0.090***	0.091***	0.020	0.054***	-0.076***	0.055***	-0.025*	0.023*	0.280***	0.106***	0.283***	

a. Note: *p < 0.1; **p < 0.05; ***p < 0.01.

b. Note: connection between background music and brand (CBMB); connection between background and brand (CBB); product relevance (PR); content credibility (CC); language conciseness (LC); story quality (SQ); new follow (NF); length seconds (LS); content attractiveness (CA); endorser attractiveness (EA); first person tone (FPT).

Revision Notes for Manuscript INTR-02-2022-0121

Social media marketing success through short videos: what, when, and how short branded videos facilitate consumer engagement

Response to the Editors

We greatly appreciate the opportunity to revise the manuscript for Internet Research and sincerely appreciate the encouraging comments and directions given by the review team. We are grateful to the reviewers & editors for their insightful comments on the manuscript. To further improve the manuscript, we have faithfully addressed the review concerns and improved the manuscript by making the required revisions. We remain open to further suggestions and look forward to the editors' and reviewers' evaluation of the revised manuscript.

Starting from the section, we have made a point-by-point response to the comments and concerns of each reviewer.

Responses to Reviewer 1

We would first like to thank R1 for all the constructive and important comments on our paper. In response, we made a concerted effort to address R1's comments, and we believe we successfully revised in line with all of R1's comments. To be more specific, we have 1) enhanced the explanation of issues related to theoretical foundation; 2) answered the reason for the selection of the fit of background/ background music as the factor of content matching and the selection of credibility of content as a factor of information relevance; 3) reorganized and corrected some wrong expression. We have highlighted the important changes in red color in 'revised content'.

R1, Comment # 1:

The authors tried to use “content and execution” to frame the four factors. However, the rationale about why they were adopted is not clear.

Response: Thank R1 for this comment. The reason why we use “content and execution” to frame the four factors is that we refer to the research of scholar Dall’Olio and Vakratsas (2022). Specifically, they investigated of advertising creative strategy on advertising elasticity and their research framework stems from two constituents of creative strategy: content and execution of the ad. Content represents what is communicated in the ad, while execution represents how content is communicated. On the one hand, our research objects are similar to theirs, which is we focus on short video advertising, and they focus on television advertising. On the other hand, the items of content in their research include product, usage novelty, feeling generation, etc; the items of execution in their research include endorsement, entertainment, mnemonic devices, etc. We have identified four factors according to our research scenario which are included in their framework, information relevance and emotionality belong to the content, and content matching and storytelling belong to the execution.

R1, Comment # 2:

The definitions of content and execution were found in section 7.1. My suggestion is to move them up to where they were first mentioned. This will make the arguments easier to comprehend.

Response: Thank R1 for this insightful suggestion. We have move the definitions of content and execution from section 7.1 to section 2.2 where they were first mentioned.

RI, Comment # 3:

It is better to justify why information relevance and emotionality were considered in content dimension, and why storytelling and content matching were considered in execution dimension. Otherwise, the justification of the selection of variables is still weak.

Response: Firstly, content represents what is communicated in the ad. Information refers to the various contents transmitted or received by people, thus information relevance includes the characters, products, and others in short videos. Emotionality originates from emotion, which is a person's attitude affected by the contents in short videos. Therefore, information relevance and emotionality are related to the content itself communicated in the ad.

Secondly, execution represents how content is communicated. Storytelling refers to the description of a story, and it focuses on language concise and story quality of the short video advertising in this research. Content matching is a way to distinguish the degree of causal correlation between phenomena, and it involves the atmosphere created by the short video. Therefore, storytelling and content matching are related to the way that how content is communicated.

In summary, information relevance and emotionality were considered in content dimension, while storytelling and content matching were considered in execution dimension.

RI, Comment # 4:

The authors changed the name of "in-depth interview" to "in-depth discussions" However, this makes no difference to me. The purpose of the discussion/interview and details of the process should be reported in appendix, like who were the 10 users and how were they selected, how were the items coded, and who were the experts. Otherwise, I have concerns on the reliability of the results, which may limit the value of this discussion/interview. If details cannot be reported, the authors may consider removing this part and justify the selection of variables totally from the theoretical ground.

Response: We are sorry that we didn't explain all the details clearly, and we have reported the purpose of the discussion and details of the process in the appendix, as follows:

Revise Content:**Appendix**

a. The purpose: After determining the four features of short videos according to the previous research gaps, namely content matching, information relevance, storytelling, and emotionality, then we need to confirm the coding items of each factor.

b. 10 users: According to the official report of Weibo in 2020, nearly 80% of users are between 23 and 33 years old, and female users are more than male users. Therefore, this study recruited volunteers who were active users of Weibo and aged 23-33 in the university, then selected 10 from them randomly, including 6 males and 4 females. The average daily use time of Weibo for all users is more than 1 hour. It can be basically considered that the user group selected in this study is representative.

c. Four experts: The four experts in this study are scholars with rich research experience in the field of Internet marketing from a university in eastern China and a university in southern China, all holding Ph.D. degrees.

d. Items coding: In this study, two postgraduates majoring in Internet marketing completed the coding work under the supervision of two associate professors of Internet marketing with Ph.D. Before formal coding, in addition to conducting a pilot study to determine the indicators, the four researchers also discussed the meaning of the indicators many times. After determining the final indicators, the two coders individually encoded all the branded short videos, and they met twice a week to communicate with each other to negotiate inconsistent encoded information under the guidance of two professors.

RI, Comment # 5:

In the last round, I asked for the justification for the selection of the fit of background/ background music as the factor of content matching and the selection of credibility of content as a factor of information relevance. The authors' response didn't fully address my concerns. I wonder how the seven categories "current product display, new product announcement, sweepstakes, sales, customer feedback, infotainment, and organization branding" from the study of Gavilanes et al. (2018) can

1
2
3
4 *explain how the fit background/ background music with brand can really reflect content matching*
5 *and why credibility of content is considered as a factor of information relevance. The authors would*
6 *need to align with the theories tight and draw in more direct and sufficient theoretical evidence in*
7 *supporting the arguments.*
8
9
10

11
12 **Response:** First of all, different from text and picture information, background music is one of the
13 important components of short video content and it will affect consumers' ability to receive and
14 process information (Roehm, 2011). In addition, consumers will also pay attention to the background
15 while watching short videos in addition to the core information like products or brands displayed in
16 the videos, so the background is also a part of the content of short videos. If the
17 background/background music does not fit with the brand or product, it will distract consumers and
18 affect their consumption of short video content. So it is reasonable to recognize the fit of
19 background/background music as the factor of content matching.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27
28 As for the selection of credibility of content as a factor of information relevance, you also raised
29 the question of “it is possible that the information in the video is credible, but not relevant to the
30 brand or product” in the last round. We are sorry that we did not give a clear answer to this question,
31 thus we try to re-enforce the explanation here: the purpose of post released by brand enterprises is to
32 strengthen brand publicity, announce new products and display current products, etc. Gavilanes et al.
33 (2018) also showed that the purpose of social media post released by enterprises is related to brands
34 and products. Therefore, we can believe that the brand post (including short video) contents are
35 almost directly or indirectly related to the brand and product.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 **RI, Comment # 6:**

45
46 *I suggest that the authors may separate the discussion from the results and make discussion an*
47 *independent section. It will make the structure clear.*
48
49

50
51 **Response:** In the last round of revision, another reviewer suggested that we put the discussion of
52 verified and unverified results in section 6.3 to enhance the readability of the article, and we did it as
53 his suggestion because we think it is feasible after comprehensive consideration. If you strongly
54 suggest making a discussion an independent section, we will make adjustments.
55
56
57
58
59
60

RI, Comment # 7:

Proofreading is required. Typos were found. For example, in the statement “then we explored there impacts on consumer engagement” (p.7), I think “there” should be “their”.

Response: We are sorry for the typos in the paper. We have checked the paper again and revised all the typos we founded.

RI, Comment # 8:**Additional Questions:**

1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: Fine.

Response: Thank you for your approval.

2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: Theoretical foundation still needs to be improved.

Response: We have strengthened the theoretical foundation one by one according to the comments.

3) Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?: Fine.

Response: Thank you for your approval.

4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?: Better to separate the discussion from the results.

Response: In the last round of revision, another reviewer suggested that we put the discussion of verified and unverified results in section 6.3 to enhance the readability of the article, and we did it as his suggestion because we think it is feasible after comprehensive consideration. If you strongly suggest making a discussion an independent section, we will make adjustments.

5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any

1
2
3
4 *implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory*
5 *and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in*
6 *teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the*
7 *impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications*
8 *consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?: Fine.*

9
10
11
12
13
14 **Response:** Thank you for your approval.

15
16
17 6. *Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the*
18 *technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has*
19 *attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use,*
20 *acronyms, etc.: Proofreading is needed.*

21
22
23
24
25 **Response:** We have checked the paper again and revised all the typos we founded.

26
27
28
29
30 References:

31
32
33 Roehm, M. L. (2001). Instrumental vs. vocal versions of popular music in advertising, *Journal of Advertising*
34 *Research*, 41(3), 49–58.

Responses to Reviewer 2

We would first like to thank R2 for all the constructive and important comments on our paper. In response, we made a concerted effort to address R2's comments, and we believe we successfully revised in line with all of R 2's comments. To be more specific, we have 1) provided more details of the discussion; 2) explained the reason of why moderating effect is only tested on the relationship between emotionality and engagement.

R2, Comment #1:

Regarding the explanation of authors about their choice of four factors, the authors only change the terms “in-depth interviews” into “discussions”. But this answer is not convincing to me. Either interviews or “discussions” as mentioned by the authors are forms of qualitative methods, and since the authors also mentioned “26 key coding items” and “16 most critical items”, it means the authors recognizes their method as qualitative and used coding to explore and identify the concepts to be used in this research. Therefore, it is necessary to provide more details of this process as well as the results.

The first paragraph of Section 3. Research Framework and Hypothesis Development where the authors try to explain what variables are used to measure what factors, as I understand, these results come from the above “in-depth discussions” that were mentioned in Section 2.2. Then it is more necessary to provide the details of this process and results of these discussions to strengthen the foundation for your choices.

Response: Thank R2 for this valuable suggestion. We have reorganized our expression of the “in-depth discussion” to make it more clearly in the paper. And we have also added an appendix to report more details of the process.

Revised content:

(1) **The revised content on page 7:** We selected 26 important items of Weibo short videos. Then we invited 10 experienced Weibo users to rate the 26 items according to their importance. Finally, we deleted 10 items according to the literature reference and user scoring, and the remaining 16 most critical items are the ones that have been studied extensively in the past and have attracted

1
2
3
4 users' attention. Further, we confirmed that 11 of the 16 items can be used as coding indicators for
5
6 four factors through expert discussion and literature reference, and the remaining 5 items are listed as
7
8 control variables due to their importance (Details See Appendix).

9
10 **(2) The new added appendix:**

11
12 **a. The purpose:** After determining the four features of short videos according to the previous
13
14 research gaps, namely content matching, information relevance, storytelling, and emotionality, then
15
16 we need to confirm the coding items of each factor.

17
18 **b. 10 users:** According to the official report of Weibo in 2020, nearly 80% of users are between 23
19
20 and 33 years old, and female users are more than male users. Therefore, this study recruited
21
22 volunteers who were active users of Weibo and aged 23-33 in the university, then selected 10 from
23
24 them randomly, including 6 males and 4 females. The average daily use time of Weibo for all users is
25
26 more than 1 hour. It can be basically considered that the user group selected in this study is
27
28 representative.

29
30 **c. Four experts:** The four experts in this study are scholars with rich research experience in the field
31
32 of Internet marketing from different universities, all holding Ph.D. degrees.

33
34 **d. Items coding:** In this study, two postgraduates majoring in Internet marketing completed the
35
36 coding work under the supervision of two associate professors of Internet marketing with Ph.D.
37
38 Before formal coding, in addition to conducting a pilot study to determine the indicators, the four
39
40 researchers also discussed the meaning of the indicators many times. After determining the final
41
42 indicators, the two coders individually encoded all the branded short videos, and they met twice a
43
44 week to communicate with each other to negotiate inconsistent encoded information under the
45
46 guidance of two professors.

47
48
49 ***R2, Comment #2:***

50
51 *Authors should reasonably explain why moderating effect is only tested on the relationship between*
52
53 *emotionality and engagement on the manuscript. As I understand, this paper is more data driven*
54
55 *than theory driven, and authors relied more on "in-depth discussions" to decide which variables and*
56
57 *which concepts to be tested; or in other words, this research is not heavily depended on literature.*
58
59
60

Then testing the moderating effects on the rest of three relationships might be contribution of this paper, shedding light on something not tested before.

Response: Thank R2 for this insightful suggestion. This time we retested the moderating effect between the other three independent variables (content matching, information relevance, storytelling) and engagement according to the comments. While the results show that the moderating effect between the other three independent variables and engagement is almost insignificant. Then we wanted to avoid the complexity of the structure of this paper, we only show the moderating effect results between emotionality and engagement. The following three tables are data results, and we only show the part of the moderating effect for better readability and conciseness:

(1) moderating effect between content matching and engagement

	LIKE			COMMENT			SHARE		
	γ	SE	p	γ	SE	p	γ	SE	p
<u>Other variables</u>	included	included	included	included	included	included	included	included	included
CBMB*A	-0.003	0.009	0.688	-0.031***	0.009	0.001	-0.054***	0.012	0.000
CBMB*M	0.007	0.010	0.486	-0.0002	0.011	0.988	-0.0004	0.014	0.973
CBB*A	-0.001	0.012	0.952	-0.001	0.013	0.519	0.005	0.016	0.746
CBB*M	0.021	0.013	0.101	0.013	0.014	0.336	0.025	0.018	0.155

* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$

(2) moderating effect between storytelling and engagement

	LIKE			COMMENT			SHARE		
	γ	SE	p	γ	SE	p	γ	SE	p
<u>Other variables</u>	included	included	included	included	included	included	included	included	included
LC*A	0.038	0.024	0.105	0.022	0.025	0.389	-0.027	0.327	0.415
LC*M	0.001	0.026	0.968	0.014	0.028	0.612	-0.018	0.036	0.617
SQ*A	-0.012	0.007	0.067	-0.019**	0.007	0.007	-0.018*	0.009	0.045
SQ*M	0.002	0.007	0.831	-0.013	0.008	0.099	0.004	0.010	0.719

* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$

(3) moderating effect between information relevance and engagement

	LIKE			COMMENT			SHARE		
	γ	SE	p	γ	SE	p	γ	SE	p
<u>Other variables</u>	included	included	included	included	included	included	included	included	included
PR*A	0.010	0.014	0.469	-0.008	0.015	0.594	-0.0002	0.019	0.990
PR*M	0.029	0.015	0.058	0.024	0.016	0.132	0.034	0.021	0.104
CC*A	-0.060	0.053	0.259	0.208***	0.057	0.000	0.356***	0.074	0.000
CC*M	-0.172**	0.065	0.008	-0.176*	0.070	0.012	-0.282**	0.090	0.002

* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$

R2, Comment #3:**Additional Questions:**

1. *Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: Fine*

Response: Thank you for your approval.

2. *Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: Fine but need to strengthen the explanation for their choice of four main factors and 12 indicators.*

Response: Thank you for your approval and we have reorganized our expression for the choice of four main factors and 12 indicators on page 7.

3. *Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?: Fine but more details to be provided to explain their choice of four main factors and 12 indicators*

Response: Thank you for your approval and we have reorganized our expression for the choice of four main factors and 12 indicators on page 7.

4. *Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?: Fine.*

Response: Thank you for your approval.

5. *Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?: Fine*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Response: Thank you for your approval.

6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.: Fine.

Response: Thank you for your approval.

Internet Research