# Assessing the readiness of the emerging ecosystem (actor) for the pay per outcome business model

Prasanna Kumar Kukkamalla <sup>1</sup>, Veli-Matti Uski<sup>2</sup>, Olli Kuismanen<sup>3</sup>, Hannu Kärkkäinen<sup>4</sup>, Karan Menon<sup>5</sup>

1-5 Faculty of Management and Business, Information and Knowledge Management, Tampere University, Tampere, Finland

<u>prasanna.kukkamalla@tuni.fi, veli-matti.uski@tuni.fi, hannu.karkkainen@tuni.fi, olli.kuismanen@tuni.fi, karan.menon@tuni.fi</u>

Abstract. The study presents how to assess the readiness of companies and the emerging ecosystem to adopt a Pay-per-Outcome (PPO) business model and identify critical dimensions in transition through a case study of 4 firms in the Indoor Environment Quality (IEQ) industry. We adopted a qualitative exploratory research approach to assess the readiness of firms (as an individual firm) and the emerging ecosystem. The maturity model was used to assess the readiness of emerging ecosystem and individual companies. The study identifies 11 critical dimensions in readiness from an emerging perspective. We assess the emerging ecosystem readiness for PPO by following a 4-step process, namely, assessing individual companies' current readiness level, assessing Individual companies' target readiness level, assessing the ecosystem's current readiness level, and assessing the ecosystem's target readiness level. This is the first of its kind in our knowledge to assess the emerging ecosystem readiness for PPO business model adaptation.

**Keywords:** Readiness assessment, Pay-per-Outcome, PPO, Business model, Emerging ecosystem, Indoor Environment Quality, Manufacturing, Maturity model.

#### 1 Introduction

Pay-per-Outcome (PPO) business models are gaining substantial attention from manufacturing industries and the academic community. Studies, for example [1–4] have discussed the economic and competitive advantages of these models. Due to the complexity of these business models, any firm alone, cannot operationalise the service offering[5]. Operationalizing these business models involves key plans and activities which lead to a collaboration with other partners, possibly creating an alliance or network (Ecosystem). The success of the company is not any more dependent on its internal capabilities but also on how well the company can acknowledge and utilize other actors' capabilities within the ecosystem[6]. The evolution and success of the ecosystem depend on the effective integration of actors based on their capabilities and responsibilities.

Several readiness assessment tools have been developed to assess the readiness of firms for a particular phase of transformation [7–9]. Even though studies [8], [10], [11] have discussed firms' readiness for Industry 4.0, digital transformation, circular economy, service design and business model emerging, the readiness of the emerging ecosystem (actors) to implement the PPO business model is not yet explored. To fill

this gap, this study focused on four companies that have joined a firm ecosystem to offer Indoor Environment Quality (IEQ) as a service. We framed the following research question to solve this research gap:

RQ: How to assess the emerging ecosystem's readiness (through participant companies' readiness) to implement a Pay-per-outcome business model?

# 2 Theoretical background

#### 2.1 Readiness of emerging ecosystem

In recent years ecosystems have gained interest from the scientific community. The success of the company is not any more dependent on its internal capabilities but also on how well the company can acknowledge and utilize other actors within the ecosystem[6]. Even though all companies collaborate with other actors, a strategic ecosystem requires deeper collaboration with two or more companies[12]. Studies have discussed how well the ecosystem performs and how it should be developed[6]. The readiness models help to assess the current level of readiness of organizations. For example, Gollhardt et al.[6] have developed a readiness model with five main dimensions, Culture, Ecosystem, Operations, Governance and Strategy, which each is divided into 5-6 sub-dimensions, such as Digital Culture and Business and IT synergy. Various readiness models for ecosystems have been developed for industries such as the software industry[6], construction industry [6] and logistics[13].

However, all these studies are focusing on constructing ecosystem readiness models that study already established ecosystem readiness rather than focusing on, how an emerging ecosystem's readiness can be assessed and what problems arise when assessing ecosystem readiness based on individual companies' readiness.

#### 2.2 Pay-per-Outcome business model Readiness Analysis

Pay-per-X (PPX) business models, such as pay-per-use (PPU) and Pay-per-Outcome (PPO) business models have changed the logic of the equipment manufacturing companies' operations. In the PPO business model, the customers don't own the equipment but pay for the value received from the usage of the equipment[14]. The change in ownership and responsibilities from the customer to the product/service provider creates extra responsibilities for the provider, which requires the development of new capabilities and partaking in new activities[15]. In this kind of situation, the self-diagnosing capabilities and skills of firms would minimise the risk of failure of these business models. Few readiness models have been developed to understand the development of complex systems such as business model transformation [16], product-service systems[7], Industry 4.0 [17] and smart manufacturing[18, 19].

### 3 Methodology

Our study implemented an embedded single case study [20] of the emerging ecosystem comprising four individual companies, engaged in the IAE industry, to understand their current level of readiness to implement a PPO business model. Yin [20] indicates the use of case studies when the goal is to analyse contemporary events. Therefore, a case-based approach was conducted with a series of workshops to collect data for assessing a set of conditions for PPO business model readiness. Case selection followed a meticulous process where an emerging ecosystem targeting to implement and roll out a PPO business was selected. The case ecosystem used in this study operates in the

construction and building operation industry, providing an Indoor Environment Quality as-a-Service. Four companies that have already acquired some of the required competencies for the business model transformation were analysed. A short description of the case companies (See table 2).

Table 1: Case compagnies and participants' profile

Company	Description	Interviewee profile
C1	HVAC maintenance service provider	Chairman
C2	A technology company specializing in smart building automation	Chief operating officer
C3	An equipment manufacturing company specialising in intelligent and energy-efficient HVAC technology, air management solutions and fans for industrial processes	President and Business Director
C4	A consulting company specialising in construction, construction design, and community and environment design	Senior specialist

The research process was carried out in semi-structured interviews with the help of the PPX maturity model\* which consists of 7 dimensions, 19 sub-dimensions, 5 maturity levels, and relevant boundary conditions (See Appendix). Each of the interviews was conducted using MS Teams and lasted 90 minutes. The interviewees were asked to analyse 1) the current PPO readiness of their company in the readiness model subdimensions and 2) the emerging ecosystem, and then 3) define target readiness levels for the ecosystem as well as 4) a target for their own company, as a part of the ecosystem. First, we explained the dimension of the readiness model and readiness levels. Then we asked participants to select appropriate statements that represent their current level (As an individual company) and emerging ecosystem. A set of the question was prepared to get participants' response, for example, the question related to the business strategy dimension framed like:

#### Question:

Business strategy: Considering the business strategy for PPO business models, which of the following sentence best describes your company?

- We have not defined any PPO business model strategy
- Business strategy for PPX business model(s) is experimented on, but strategic objectives are unclear, and decisions are reactive and ad hoc.
- Business strategy for PPO business model(s) is defined and documented
- PPO strategy is defined and continuously developed through denied key performance indicators
- PPO business strategy is fully integrated and vital part of the corporate strategy.

We also asked participants for their target level for each dimension as an individual company and an emerging ecosystem. Then these results were transferred into Excel sheets for analysis.

#### 4 Results

The summary of the case companies' current and target readiness levels and emerging ecosystem current and target readiness levels for the PPO business model is presented in table 3.

<sup>\*</sup>The maturity model (See Appendix) was adopted from the research paper *Pay-Per-X Business Models for Equipment Manufacturing Companies: A Maturity Model.* This paper is under review process. We will provide full citation details before the Pro-ve-2022 conference.

 Table 2: Readiness assessment of companies and emerging ecosystem

Dimension Subdimension												Eme	rging	ecosys	tem	Mean	Eme	erging	ecosys	tem	
											Mean	Current level (Rating				target level (Rating from case companies)				Mean	
	<u> </u>	Case companies Current level			Mean	n Case companies			from case companies)												
	<u> </u>					target level															
	1	C1	C2	C3	C4		C1	C2	C3	C4		C1	C2	C3	C4		C1	C2	C3	C4	
OG	Operational Governance	2	2	3	2	2.25	4	3	4	3	3.5	3	1	1	1	1.5	4	3	5	3	3.75
	People Governance	3	3	2	1	2.25	4	3	4	3	3.5	3	2	1	1	1.75	4	3	5	3	3.75
	Data & Information Governance	2	3	2	1	2	4	3	5	3	3.75	3	1	1	1	1.5	4	3	5	3	3.75
ST	Business Strategy	3	4	3	2	3	4	5	5	4	4.5	1	2	2	1	1.5	4	5	5	3	4.25
	Resource Allocation	3	3	2	2	2.5	4	3	4	4	3.75	2	2	1	2	1.75	4	3	4	4	3.75
	Strategic Alignment	4	3	3	2	3	4	4	3	4	3.75	2	3	2	2	2.25	4	4	4	4	4
RM	Business Risks	3	4	4	2	3.25	4	3	5	3	3.75	1	2	1	1	1.25	3	3	5	3	3.5
	Operational Risks	3	3	4	2	3	4	3	4	3	3.5	1	2	1	1	1.25	3	3	4	3	3.25
	Cybersecurity Risks	3	3	4	5	3.75	3	4	5	5	4.25	1	2	1	1	1.25	3	3	5	5	4
CC	Competences	3	2	3	2	2.5	4	4	4	3	3.75	3	2	2	2	2.25	4	4	4	3	3.75
	Culture	3	3	4	3	3.25	3	4	4	4	3.75	2	3	2	2	2.25	3	4	5	3	3.75
PLP	Beginning of Life Processes	3	3	3	2	2.75	4	4	5	4	4.25	2	2	1	2	1.75	4	4	5	3	4
	Middle of Life Processes	4	3	3	2	3	4	4	4	3	3.75	3	1	1	1	1.5	4	4	4	3	3.75
	End of Life Processes	2	3	1	2	2	3	3	3	4	3.25	2	1	1	2	1.5	3	3	3	4	3.25
PPT	Smart Product & factory	1	3	3	3	2.5	1	4	5	4	3.5	3	2	3	3	2.75	4	4	5	4	4.25
	Connectivity	2	3	3	3	2.75	3	5	5	3	4	3	2	2	3	2.5	4	5	5	3	4.25
DA	Data Access	4	3	3	2	3	4	3	5	3	3.75	3	2	1	2	2	4	3	5	3	3.75
	Data Analysis	4	3	3	3	3.25	4	4	5	3	4	1	3	2	2	2	4	4	5	3	4
	Data Utilization	4	2	3	2	2.75	4	3	5	3	3.75	1	2	1	2	1.5	3	3	5	3	3.5
Mean level						2.77					3.78					1.78					3.80

**Dimensions:** OG (Organizational Governance), ST (Strategy), RM (Risk Management), CC (Competences & Culture), PLP (Product Lifecycle Processes), PPT (Product & Production Technology), DA (Data Analytics).

Our study found certain patterns across the evaluated PPO readiness model subdimensions. In the three subdimensions dealing with risk management, the Majority of companies (C, C2 and C3) were ranked between 3-4 for business risks, operational risks and cyber security risks. However, they ranked ecosystem readiness between 1-2. C4 ranked them 5 for the cybersecurity risks dimension but ranked 1 for the ecosystem. The reason for the low level e.g. cyber security risk management was explained by C4 as:

"...we don't we have cyber security risk management because there are no protocols. On how we manage the data."

The company C2 ranked themselves as level 4 and C4 ranked themselves as level 2 in business strategy dimension. Even though individually the companies ranked themselves high they believed that the ecosystem level was at 1.5 (Mean level). One manager from C4 expressed:

'....at least I haven't seen any documents or files that would tell how we work, how we work together, and how we will go on from this point.'

The majority of companies (C1, C2 and C3) ranked themselves between 3-4 levels in data analytics dimension, but ranked low, between 1-3 for the ecosystem. The company C1 ranked themselves level 4 in data analysis and data utilization dimensions but ranked level 1 for the ecosystem. C1 expressed as:

"....we haven't done as an ecosystem. We haven't done any data analysis. We have buildings, but we haven't done it yet. There's no and then the data channel..., we haven't talked about it at all."

For smart product and factory, C1 ranked 1 but 3 for ecosystem readiness.

C1 explained the reason for level 1 as:

'We don't produce machines. We produce services,...since we are not producing technology products..'

Concerning companies' readiness for connectivity, the majority of companies C2, C3 and C4, ranked 3. C1 and C4 assessed the ecosystem to be on level 3. Regarding the companies' readiness for competence management, C1 and C3 ranked 3. C2, C3 and C4 expressed that the current ecosystem-level was 2. In the subdimension smart product & factory majority of companies, C2, C3 and C4, agreed that they were at level 3 (Defined). Similarly, the majority of companies, C1, C3 and C4, ranked the ecosystem's current lever as 3.

Regarding the mean level of readiness of various dimensions, 11 out of 19 readiness dimensions, such as Operational Governance, People Governance, Data & Information Governance, Business Strategy, Resource Allocation, Business Risks Operational Risks, Cybersecurity Risks, Middle Life Processes, End of Life Processes, and Data Utilization ranked below 2 (Table 3) for the emerging ecosystem.

Finally, it was observed from table 3, the mean current level of readiness of individual companies was 2.77, and the ecosystem was 1.78. The mean level of companies' target was 3.78 and emerging ecosystem target level was 3.80

#### 5 Discussion and conclusions

Our study answered the research question *How to assess the emerging ecosystem's readiness (through participant companies' readiness) to implement a Pay-per-outcome business model?* by assessing the current level and target level of readiness of companies and ecosystem through the readiness assessment model.

The contribution of this study is three-fold. First, as per our knowledge, Individual companies (within the ecosystem) readiness for PPO business model adaptation was not discussed in earlier studies. A study [21] focused on ecosystem readiness for blockchain technology adaptation. In another study [22] focused on industrial ecosystem readiness in the circular economy. Other study [23] discussed readiness and maturity assessment for the industry 4.0 ecosystem was studied. However, the emerging ecosystem's readiness to adopt the PPO business model has not yet been addressed. Our study fulfils this gap addressed this gap by assessing ecosystem companies' readiness for PPO business model adaptation in the IEQ industry. Hence this is first of its kind study, in our knowledge that assesses the readiness of individual companies (within the ecosystem)

Second, few studies discussed readiness assessment of the process, for example, in a study [17] simulation models were employed to assess the readiness of manufacturing firms before and after Industry 4.0 implementation. In other study [24] Industry 4.0 readiness online self-check used to assess their readiness of companies. The results were validated with companies in a workshop. In our study, we followed 4 steps process to assess the readiness of the ecosystem. In the first step, we assess individual companies' current readiness levels. Then we assess individual companies' target current readiness level. In the third step we assess the ecosystem's current readiness level, and then the ecosystem target level. By following this 4-step process. By following this 4-step process we identify differences between the readiness level of induvial companies and their view on the current level of emerging ecosystem readiness levels. These findings highlighted that ecosystem readiness is not the sum of individual companies' readiness for the PPO business model. For example, C3 ranked level 1 for an ecosystem for business risks, but they ranked themselves level 4. A contradictory pattern was found in the smart product & factory subdimension. C1 ranked level 3 for the ecosystem but ranked level 1 for themselves. This kind of variation in levels indicates that even though individual companies' readiness is high, they believe that other partners in the emerging ecosystem's readiness are not high. One company expressed that they haven't seen any document or files that guide all partners on how to work together. So, based on this study's findings, it is reasonable to say that ecosystem PPO readiness is not the sum of individual companies' readiness for the PPO business model.

We can identify the least and high ranked readiness levels from both individual companies and the ecosystem. We suggest this 4-step process in future studies to assess the readiness of an ecosystem. The four steps process helps companies to assess capabilities first from their perspective and then from the ecosystem perspective, which creates buy-in for their role in the ecosystem – that it's not "the others" who create the readiness of the emerging ecosystem.

Third, we have identified dimensions that ranked low level and high-level emerging ecosystems and individual companies' perspectives. Eleven out of 19 readiness dimensions, such as Operational Governance, People Governance, Data & Information Governance, Business Strategy, Resource Allocation, Business Risks Operational Risks, Cybersecurity Risks, Middle Life Processes, End of Life Processes, and Data Utilization ranked below 2 levels (Table 3) at the ecosystem level. No dimension was ranked level 3 or above 3. The studied ecosystem needs to focus on these critical 11

dimensions as stated above to achieve the required readiness level to implement the PPO business model. This finding suggests that the emerging ecosystem is away from being ready for PPO business model adaptation. However, individual companies were ranked high in these 11 dimensions. The overall readiness for emerging ecosystem readiness can be levelled up by transferring the knowledge and skills across the ecosystem from an actor who is dominant in a specific dimension.

This study specifically focused on companies engaged in Indoor Environment Quality, so this study's findings cannot be generalized for all types of industries. Another limitation is the phase of the emerging ecosystem. The ecosystem is not fully established, and the roles of actors are not defined. The research setting was another limitation, as we have assessed ecosystem readiness first from each case firm's perspective.

#### References

- Ng, I.C.L., Ding, D.X., Yip, N.: Outcome-based contracts as new business model: The role of partnership and value-driven relational assets. Ind. Mark. Manag. 42, 730–743 (2013).
- 2. Visnjic, I., Jovanovic, M., Neely, A., Engwall, M.: What brings the value to outcome-based contract providers? Value drivers in outcome business models. Int. J. Prod. Econ. 192, 169–181 (2017).
- Grubic, T., Jennions, I.: Do outcome-based contracts exist? The investigation of power-bythe-hour and similar result-oriented cases. Int. J. Prod. Econ. 206, 209–219 (2018).
- Sjödin, D., Parida, V., Jovanovic, M., Visnjic, I.: Value Creation and Value Capture Alignment in Business Model Innovation: A Process View on Outcome-Based Business Models. J. Prod. Innov. Manag. 37, 158–183 (2020).
- Snihur, Y., Tarzijan, J.: Managing complexity in a multi-business-model organization. Long Range Plann. 51, 50–63 (2018).
- 6. Gökalp, E., Martinez, V.: Digital transformation maturity assessment: development of the digital transformation capability maturity model. Int. J. Prod. Res. 1–21 (2021).
- 7. Neff, A.A., Hamel, F., Herz, T.P., Uebernickel, F., Brenner, W., vom Brocke, J.: Developing a maturity model for service systems in heavy equipment manufacturing enterprises. Inf. Manag. 51, 895–911 (2014).
- 8. Balasubramanian, S., Shukla, V., Sethi, J.S., Islam, N., Saloum, R.: A readiness assessment framework for Blockchain adoption: A healthcare case study. Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change. 165, 120536 (2021).
- Schroderus, J., Lasrado, L.A., Menon, K., Kärkkäinen, H.: Towards a Pay-Per-X Maturity Model for Equipment Manufacturing Companies. Procedia Comput. Sci. 196, 226–234 (2022).
- Aboelmaged, M.G.: Predicting e-readiness at firm-level: An analysis of technological, organizational and environmental (TOE) effects on e-maintenance readiness in manufacturing firms. Int. J. Inf. Manage. 34, 639–651 (2014).
- 11. Teso, G., Walters, A.: Assessing Manufacturing SMEs' Readiness to Implement Service Design. Procedia CIRP. 47, 90–95 (2016).
- 12. Paulus-Rohmer, D., Schatton, H., Bauernhansl, T.: Ecosystems, Strategy and Business Models in the age of Digitization How the Manufacturing Industry is Going to Change its Logic. Procedia CIRP. 57, 8–13 (2016).
- 13. Leal, A.G., dos Santos, A.S., Miyake, M.Y., Marte, C.L.: Assessment of maturity and efficacy of Toll Collection Ecosystems. In: 16th International IEEE Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC 2013). pp. 523–528. IEEE (2013).
- 14. Uski, V.-M., Kukkamalla, P.K., Kärkkäinen, H., Menon, K., Mittal, S., Khan, M.A., Wuest,

- T.: Review of PPX Business Models: Adaptability and Feasibility of PPX Models in the Equipment Manufacturing Industry. In: IFIP Advances in Information and Communication Technology. pp. 358–372 (2022).
- 15. Uuskoski, M., Menon, K., Kärkkäinen, H., Koskinen, K.: Perceived Risks and Benefits of Advanced Pay-Per-Use Type of Business Models Based on Industry 4.0 Enabled Technologies in Manufacturing Companies. Presented at the (2018).
- Poandl, Elisabeth Maria; Vorbach, Stefan; Müller, C.: A Maturity Assessment for the Business Model of Start-ups. In: The International Society for Professional Innovation Management (ISPIM). pp. 1–9 (2019).
- 17. Mohammad, E., Albarakah, L., Kudair, S., Karaman, A.S.: Evaluating the industry 4.0 readiness of manufacturing companies: A case study in Kuwait. Proc. Int. Conf. Ind. Eng. Oper. Manag. 6625–6636 (2021).
- Jayasekara, D., Pawar, K., Ratchev, S.: A Framework to Assess Readiness of Firms for Cloud Manufacturing. Proc. - 2019 IEEE Int. Conf. Eng. Technol. Innov. ICE/ITMC 2019. (2019).
- Sheen, D.P., Yang, Y.: Assessment of Readiness for Smart Manufacturing and Innovation in Korea. 2018 IEEE Technol. Eng. Manag. Conf. TEMSCON 2018. 11–15 (2018).
- 20. Yin, R.K.: Case study research: design and methods. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE, [2014] (2014).
- 21. Lustenberger, M., Malešević, S., Spychiger, F.: Ecosystem Readiness: Blockchain Adoption is Driven Externally. Front. Blockchain. 4, (2021).
- Parida, V., Burström, T., Visnjic, I., Wincent, J.: Orchestrating industrial ecosystem in circular economy: A two-stage transformation model for large manufacturing companies. J. Bus. Res. 101, 715–725 (2019).
- Govindasamy, A., Arularasan, A.: Readiness and Maturity Assessment Model to Measure the Industry 4.0 Ecosystem. In: Kannan, R.J., Geetha, S., Sashikumar, S., Diver, C. (ed.) International Virtual Conference on Industry 4.0. pp. 57–67. Springer, Singapore (2021).
- Machado, C.G., Winroth, M., Almström, P., Ericson Öberg, A., Kurdve, M., AlMashalah, S.: Digital organisational readiness: experiences from manufacturing companies. J. Manuf. Technol. Manag. 32, 167–182 (2021).

#### 9

# Appendix

Dimension	Subdimension	1.Initial	2.Experimenting	Maturity Lev 3.Defined	vel 4.Advanced 5.Optimized					
Organizational	Operational	No PPX-specific	Operational PPX	Necessary operational	Operational PPX architecture	Operational PPX governance is				
Governance	Governance	operational governance	architecture requirements identified with ad hoc implementation and development.	PPX architecture requirements are documented and related governance measures are standardized.	requirements are defined and compliance is systematically monitored through related key performance indicators.	integrated across company with best practices in place.				
	People Governance	No PPX-specific roles or responsibilities related to PPX business model(s) defined.	Responsibilities related to PPX are identified with ad hoc implementation and development.	Necessary roles and responsibilities for PPX business model(s) are documented, defined and systematically governed.	PPX-related roles and responsibilities are defined with systematic performance monitoring through defined standards and key performance indicators.	Roles and responsibilities related to PPX are optimized and defined with respect to all company activities.				
	Data & Information Governance	No set rules for PPX data & information governance.	PPX data & information governance requirements are identified with ad hoc implementation and development.	Necessary data governance requirements are documented and standardized, with data storage infrastructure defined in production.	Data & information governance requirements are defined, with compliance systematically monitored and developed through defined key performance indicators.	Data & information governance measures are optimized and integrated across company.				
Strategy	Business Strategy	No defined business strategy for PPX business model(s).	Strategy for PPX business model(s) is experimental with ad hoc implementation and development.	Strategy for PPX business model(s) is defined and documented.	PPX is strategy is defined and continuously developed through defined key performance indicators.	PPX business strategy is fully developed and integral part of the corporate strategy.				
	Resource Allocation	No plan for allocating resources towards PPX business model(s).	Basic PPX resource requirements are identified with ad hoc assignment.	Procedures for allocating resources towards PPX business model(s) are standardized, allowing systematic resource allocation for specific PPX activities.	PPX resource requirements are identified and documented across company, allowing systematic resource management and prioritization at an organizational level.	PPX resource allocation follows best practices and is optimized across company.				
	Strategic Alignment	No strategic alignment between PPX and other strategic objectives.	Limited understanding of PPX and its relationship to other strategic objectives with ad hoc alignment practices.	Strategic understanding and objectives are shared between relevant business.	Strategic objectives are shared across company with compliance and performance monitored through common key performance indicators.	Full strategic alignment allowing optimization and development of common strategic goals across company.				
Risk Management	Business Risks	No PPX-related business risk management.	PPX-related business risks are acknowledged with ad hoc management practices.	PPX-related business risk are documented, with systematic and defined risk management practices in place.	PPX-related business risk management is systematic and monitored, allowing predictive risk management.	PPX-related business risk management is proactive, with continuous improvement and optimization of risk management practices.				
	Operational Risks	No PPX-related operational risk management.	PPX-related operational risks are acknowledged with ad hoc management practices.	PPX-related operational risk are documented, with systematic and defined risk management practices in place.	PPX-related operational risk management is systematic and monitored, allowing predictive risk management.	PPX-related operational risk management is proactive, with continuous improvement and optimization of risk management practices.				
	Cybersecurity Risks	No PPX-related cybersecurity risk management.	PPX-related cybersecurity risks are acknowledged, with ad hoc management practices.	PPX-related cybersecurity risk are documented, with systematic and defined risk management practices in place.	PPX-related cybersecurity risk management is systematic and monitored, allowing predictive risk management.	PPX-related cybersecurity risk management is proactive, with continuous improvement and optimization of risk management practices.				
Competences & Culture	Competences	No identified any PPX-related competences.	PPX-related competences are acknowledged with ad hoc acquisition.	Basic PPX-related competence requirements are defined and documented, allowing systematic competence acquisition.	PPX-related competences are acquired as well as developed systematically.	All PPX-related competences can be acquired and managed proactively.				
	Culture	Culture is product- oriented, with no cooperation between different business units.	Organizational culture supports experimentation with limited & ad hoc cooperation between some business units.	Organizational culture supports innovation and is open towards PPX, with frequent collaboration between some business units.	Organizational culture is committed to PPX business model(s) with common incentives, with frequent collaboration across all related business units.	Organizational culture fully supports PPX, with complete trust and open communication at all organizational levels and relevant business units.				
Product Lifecycle Processes	Beginning of Life Processes	No identified beginning of life processes for PPX business model(s).	PPX-related beginning of life processes are identified with ad hoc implementation.	PPX-related beginning of life processes are defined and systematically implemented for specific project(s).	PPX-related beginning of life processes are defined and implemented across company with systematic management through defined metrics.	PPX-related beginning of life processes are optimized and continuously improved across company.				
	Middle of Life Processes	No identified middle of life processes for PPX business model(s).	PPX-related middle of life processes are identified with ad hoc implementation.	PPX-related middle of life processes are defined and systematically implemented for specific project(s).	PPX-related middle of life processes are defined and implemented across company with systematic management through defined metrics.	PPX-related middle of life processes are optimized and continuously improved across company.				
	End of Life Processes	No identified end of life processes for PPX business model(s).	PPX-related end of life processes are identified with ad hoc implementation.	PPX-related end of life processes are defined and systematically implemented for specific project(s).	PPX-related end of life processes are defined and implemented across company, with systematic management through defined metrics.	PPX-related end of life processes are optimized and continuously improved across company.				
Product & Production Technology	Smart Product & Factory	No machine data collection capabilities for PPX business model(s).	PPX data collection capabilities are tested in machine(s), allowing contract-specific, ad hoc data collection from customer(s).	PPX data collection technologies are standardized, with systematic data collection from customer machine.	PPX data collection capabilities is integrated in all machines, with performance monitored through defined key performance indicators.	Production technology fully supports data-based products for PPX, with performance optimized through cost minimization and efficiency.				
	Connectivity	No connectivity between machines or production processes for PPX business model(s).	PPX product- and production-related connectivity technologies are experimental and non- standardized.	PPX product- and production-related connectivity technologies are standardized and we have access to customer(s)' machine.	PPX product- and production- related connectivity technologies are standardized and monitored through defined quality control measurements for development needs.	PPX product- and production- related connectivity technologies are optimized and continuously improved, allowing 2- way/remote connection and control of machines.				

Data Analytics	Data Access	No access to PPX data.	PPX data is identified, but siloed and accessed manually & ad hoc.	PPX data is defined, enabling continous data flow and basic automation with online access.	PPX data is systematically accessed, with related key performance indicators defined and utilized in quality control.	All PPX data can be accessed, with cost-efficient, high- performing and optimized best practices in place.			
	Data Analysis	No PPX data analysis.	PPX data analysis is unstructured, allowing descriptive analysis and basic monitoring.	PPX data analysis capabilities are defined, enabling diagnostic analysis & recommendations and manual machine tuning.	PPX data analysis is systematic and predictive, with performance monitored through defined key performance indicators.	PPX data analysis is prescriptive/self-learning, with automation and self-adjusting capabilities.			
	Data Utilization	PPX data not utilized in decision- making.	PPX data utilized for awareness purposes in basic reporting with ad hoc utilization in decision-making.	PPX data established as an asset and utilized to support decision-making.	PPX data utilzied broadly in the development of overall company strategy, with performance monitored through defined key performance indicators.	PPX data is considered as central to company strategy and operations development.			