Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Katy Rezvani, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, United States

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Cancer Immunity and Immunotherapy, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 30 November 2022 ACCEPTED 06 December 2022 PUBLISHED 16 December 2022

CITATION

Leavenworth JW, Lai X, Miao H, Wang D, Zhao H and Li Y (2022) Editorial: Macrophage immunity and metabolism in cancer: Novel diagnostic and therapeutic strategies. *Front. Immunol.* 13:1113031. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1113031

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Leavenworth, Lai, Miao, Wang, Zhao and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Macrophage immunity and metabolism in cancer: Novel diagnostic and therapeutic strategies

Jianmei W. Leavenworth^{1,2,3*}, Xin Lai^{4,5*}, Hongming Miao^{6,7*}, Di Wang^{8*}, Huakan Zhao^{9*} and Yongsheng Li^{9*}

¹Department of Neurosurgery, Heersink School of Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, United States, ²The O'Neal Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, United States, ³Department of Microbiology, Heersink School of Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, United States, ⁴Laboratory of Systems Tumor Immunology, Department of Dermatology, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg (FAU) and Universitätsklinikum Erlangen, Erlangen, Germany, ⁵BioMediTech, Faculty of Medicine and Health Technology, Tampere University, Tampere, Finland, ⁶Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Third Military Medical University (Army Medical University), Chongqing, China, ⁷Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Southwest Medical University, Luzhou, China, [®]Institute of Immunology and Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, China, [®]Department of Medical Oncology, Chongqing University Cancer Hospital, Chongqing, China

KEYWORDS

macrophage, tumor-associated macrophages, tumor microenvironment, tumor immunity, cancer immunotherapy

Editorial on the Research Topic

Macrophage immunity and metabolism in cancer: Novel diagnostic and therapeutic strategies

The immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment (TME) represents one of major hurdles for cancer immunotherapy. To reprogram the immunosuppressive cold TME into an immune active hot TME, many of current immunotherapeutic strategies have focused on reviving exhausted T cells and also targeting various immunosuppressive cells, particularly tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) (1). Thus, a better understanding of the functional plasticity of TAMs and the factors responsible for modulating their function would facilitate to develop the most effective TAM-based therapeutic strategies. These subjects are presented and discussed in a collection of articles in this Research Topic.

The immune landscape within each type of tumor is often distinct. Lv et al. provided a comprehensive analysis of 22 different types of tumor-infiltrating immune cells in the uveal melanoma (UM) microenvironment by leveraging the CIBERSORT, a deconvolution methodology, which decoded tumor-infiltrating immune cell (TIC) profiles from the bulk RNA sequencing datasets extracted from the public resources (TCGA and GEO). This approach combined with unsupervised clustering methods and other computational algorithms was allowed to develop the TIC score as a prognostic biomarker and immune therapeutic predictor for UM patients. Specifically, patients with a better prognosis and favorable response to immune checkpoint therapy expressed the high-TIC score signature, which was reflected by an upregulation of genes related to immune checkpoints (e.g., PD-1, CTLA-4) and immunological activity, including those enriched for proinflammatory M1 macrophages. This analysis pipeline could be utilized to characterize the immune landscape and discover biomarkers in other types of cancer.

With the technology advancement, profiling the TME can be performed at the single-cell resolution combined with multiomics analysis (2, 3). Yang et al. adopted the single-cell RNA sequencing, whole-exome sequencing and microbiome sequencing to compare the tumor immune signatures of synchronous primary colorectal cancers to those of gastric cancers (GC). Despite that the two types of cancer shared many similarities reflected by a similar cellular distribution pattern within the juxta-tumoral normal tissues in both cancer samples, their TME was quite distinct with a marked increase of macrophages and myeloid cells in the GC tumoral sites. Interestingly, this study also revealed that the cellular components in the TME were influenced by the tumor mutational landscape and metabolism-related microbiome, providing insights for future investigation of these types of cancer.

TAMs are actually heterogenous comprising different subsets with distinct capacity to modulate tumor immunity (4). Among the various subsets, M1-like cells are proinflammatory and exhibit anti-tumor properties, while M2-like cells are often pro-tumoral and immunosuppressive. Thus, eliminating M2-like TAMs may offer therapeutic potential, but which often lacks the specificity resulting in wide-spread side effects. Instead, repolarizing pro-tumoral TAMs towards the pro-inflammatory M1-like phenotype is suggestive of a better treatment option. This strategy was evidenced by Vadevoo et al. The authors demonstrated that a combined use of the DNA methylation inhibitor 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine and the histone deacetylation inhibitor trichostatin A decreased M2-type macrophages while increasing M1-type and improved antitumor immunity, leading to an efficient tumor control. Mechanistically, the combination treatment upregulated miR-7083-5p in M2 macrophages, which curtailed tumor growth, in part by inhibiting the expression of several genes involved in tumor progression. These preclinical results suggested that reprogramming immune cells, including TAMs, via epigenetic therapy may be a promising approach to treat cancer (5).

Lastly, Li et al. summarized three types of innate T cells that can reprogram the TME by targeting both tumor and immunosuppressive cells, particularly TAMs and myeloid derived suppressor cells. These innate T cells, including invariant natural killer T cells, mucosal-associated invariant T cells and gamma delta T cells, are great candidates for cell-based immunotherapy and can be engineered *via* the chimeric antigen receptor-based technology. One of the therapeutic advantages of these innate T cells over conventional T cells for the allogeneic engraftment is that these cells do not require MHC antigen presentation for recognition and function, minimizing the risk of graft versus host disease. This review also discussed the specific mechanisms by each of these innate T cells adopt to disrupt TAM-mediated immunosuppression while preserving the pro-inflammatory function of activated macrophages, and pointed out the limitations and improvement strategies for their use in cell-based therapies for the treatment of solid tumors.

Conclusions

Our Research Topic "Macrophage Immunity and Metabolism in Cancer: Novel Diagnostic and Therapeutic Strategies" has stimulated the discussion of current research on the immunosuppressive TME, the macrophage-mediated regulation of tumor immunity and new macrophage-based strategies for cancer treatment. We (the editors) believe that this Research Topic has provided a platform for future studies of macrophage immunity and macrophage-based cancer immunotherapies.

Author contributions

JWL drafted this editorial article. All authors made substantial, direct and intellectual contributions to the work, and approved it for publication.

Funding

JWL is supported by the University of Alabama at Birmingham (UAB) faculty start-up funds, DoD W81XWH-18-1-0315, NIH R01AI148711 and NIH R01CA276190. XL receives the funding e:Med MelAutim 01ZX1905A from German Federal Ministry of Education and Research.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all authors for their contributions to this Research Topic.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Bejarano L, Jordao MJC, Joyce JA. Therapeutic targeting of the tumor microenvironment. *Cancer Discov* (2021) 11(4):933-59. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-20-1808

2. Nieto P, Elosua-Bayes M, Trincado JL, Marchese D, Massoni-Badosa R, Salvany M, et al. A single-cell tumor immune atlas for precision oncology. *Genome Res* (2021) 31(10):1913–26. doi: 10.1101/gr.273300.120

3. Ma A, Xin G, Ma Q. The use of single-cell multi-omics in immuno-oncology. Nat Commun (2022) 13(1):2728. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-30549-4

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

4. Yin J, Valin KL, Dixon ML, Leavenworth JW. The role of microglia and macrophages in CNS homeostasis, autoimmunity, and cancer. J Immunol Res (2017) 12. doi: 10.1155/2017/5150678

5. Topper MJ, Vaz M, Marrone KA, Brahmer JR, Baylin SB. The emerging role of epigenetic therapeutics in immuno-oncology. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* (2020) 17 (2):75–90. doi: 10.1038/s41571-019-0266-5