

Increasing incidence of inflammatory bowel disease with greatest change among the elderly: a nationwide study in Finland, 2000-2020

Kristi Kontola, Department of Internal Medicine, Hospital District of South Ostrobothnia, Finland;
kontola.kristi@gmail.com

Pia Oksanen, Department of Gastroenterology and Alimentary Tract Surgery, Tampere University Hospital, Finland; Tampere University; pia.oksanen@tuni.fi

Heini Huhtala, Faculty of Social Sciences, Tampere University, Finland; heini.huhtala@tuni.fi

Airi Jussila, Department of Gastroenterology and Alimentary Tract Surgery, Tampere University Hospital, Finland; airi.jussila@fimnet.fi

Corresponding author: Kristi Kontola, Department of Internal Medicine, Hospital District of South Ostrobothnia, Hanneksenrinne 7, 60220 Seinäjoki, Finland; Tel. +358 445708587; Email
kontola.kristi@gmail.com

Short title: IBD incidence and prevalence in Finland

Abbreviations: CD, Crohn's disease; CI, confidence interval; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; IBDU, inflammatory bowel disease unclassified; IR, incidence rate; IRR, incidence rate ratio; PRR, prevalence rate ratio; SII, Social Insurance Institution, UC, ulcerative colitis.

Conference presentation: ECCO'22 Congress, virtual, 2022, Digital oral presentation DOP02

Abstract

BACKGROUND AND AIMS The incidence of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is stabilising in many western countries. Some still show increasing incidence. Our objective was to determine the latest trends in IBD incidence and prevalence in Finland and compare these among different age groups and between different geographical areas.

METHODS We used a nationwide registry of the Social Insurance Institute of Finland to identify new IBD cases during the period 2000-2020. Crude, age-specific and age-standardised incidence rates were calculated. Prevalence was estimated using valid reimbursements from the same database in 2000-2020.

RESULTS We identified 42,498 new IBD cases. The crude annual incidence increased in ulcerative colitis (UC) from 20 to 35 (IRR 1.03, CI 1.02–1.03), in Crohn's disease (CD) from 8 to 13 (IRR 1.02, CI 1.02-1.03) and in IBD overall from 28 to 48 per 100,000 person-years (IRR 1.02, CI 1.02-1.03). Men had higher incidence than women in UC, but in CD the incidence was equal. The incidence of IBD increased in all age groups, except for small children. The increase in both UC and CD was most marked among the elderly aged over 70. A north-south gradient was discernible. The crude prevalence of IBD increased from 376 to 972 per 100,000 (PRR 1.05, CI 1.05-1.05).

CONCLUSIONS The incidence of IBD, UC and CD is increasing in Finland. The increase is most notable in the elderly. Current prevalence of IBD is 1%.

Keywords: inflammatory bowel disease, incidence, prevalence

1. Introduction

The incidence of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and its subtypes ulcerative colitis (UC), Crohn's disease (CD), and inflammatory bowel disease unclassified (IBDU) increased rapidly in western countries in the 20th century.¹ In the current millennium, reports indicate a plateau phase or even decreasing incidence in many industrialised countries, while incidence in several Asian and developing areas continues to rise.^{2,3} Some western countries still show an increase.^{4,5}

Finland has one of the highest incidence and prevalence rates of IBD in the world.^{6,7} In 2000-2007, the reported incidence of UC was 25/100,000, of CD 9/100,000 and of IBD 34/100,000 person-years. The IBD prevalence was 595 per 100,000 residents in 2008. Recent nationwide studies from other Nordic countries show incident rates (IRs) of 17-28 in UC, 7-16 in CD, and 28-37 in IBD per 100,000 person-years.^{4,8-11} Prevalence rates from Denmark, Norway and Sweden vary from 294 to 505 in UC, from 151 to 262 in CD, and from 445 to 767 per 100,000 in IBD altogether.²

The general definition of elderly-onset IBD is disease onset at the age of 60 years or older.¹² As population in western countries ages, an increase is expected in late-onset IBD. In newly diagnosed IBD patients, the proportion of elderly varies between 7-24%.¹³ Although some studies suggest that elderly IBD patients present with a milder clinical course, the surgery rates seem to be higher.^{8,14}

The aim of this study was to determine current IBD incidence and prevalence in Finland. We also aimed to assess the incidence trends in all ages and also between genders and different geographical areas.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Data source

We collected our data from the Social Insurance Institution of Finland (SII). The insurance scheme of SII covers all permanent residents in Finland and each person is identified by a unique identification code. IBD patients are entitled to special reimbursement for medicine expenses. Granting the IBD special reimbursement in SII is a two-step process. A limited number of specialists can issue a written certificate. The certificate must contain sufficient details on patient's IBD diagnosis including clinical presentation, findings in endoscopy, histology and a treatment strategy at minimum. The certificates are revised and criteria for reimbursement verified in SII by its medical examiners or specialists. The SII Special Reimbursement Registry on IBD has been operational since 1986, and previous studies confirm that it has excellent coverage and validity.^{7,15}

For this study, we included all patients having IBD reimbursement granted for the first time from 1 January, 2000 until 31 December, 2020. The SII data made no reliable distinction between inflammatory bowel disease unclassified (IBDU) and UC, therefore, the IBDU cases were analysed with UC cases. The SII also provides publicly available data online on valid reimbursements, which we used to calculate prevalence rates.¹⁶

Finland has a population of 5.5 million and five university hospital regions.¹⁷ The northernmost university hospital is in Oulu with a catchment area of 736,563 inhabitants and the southernmost in Helsinki with 2,198,182 inhabitants in 2020. Population data were drawn from the official statistics producer Statistics Finland.¹⁷

2.2. Statistical methods

The incident cases per year were divided by population at risk at the end of the same calendar year. The point prevalence rates were calculated by dividing the number of living IBD patients entitled to reimbursement at the end of each year by the population at risk. Results were presented per 100,000 person-years. Age-standardisation was done using European Standard Population 2013.¹⁸ Poisson

regression models were fitted to obtain incidence rate ratios (IRRs). p values <0.05 were considered significant. Data management was performed by Microsoft Excel and analyses using Stata 17.0.

2.3. Ethical considerations

The data retrieved from SII were summary data without identifiable personal data. Under the regulations, no consent from patients nor approval of an ethics committee is required for a registry-based study.

3. Results

We identified 42,498 new IBD cases during the years 2000-2020. Of these 31,372 had UC and 11,126 CD, resulting in a UC-CD ratio of 2.8. Of the new IBD diagnoses, 15% (6,476 cases) were elderly-onset and 12% (5,137 cases) were diagnosed in patients under 20 years old.

3.1 Incidence

The incidence of IBD and its subtypes increased from 2000 to 2020. The crude annual IR rose in UC from 20 to 35, in CD from 8 to 13 and in IBD altogether from 28 to 48 (IRR 1.02, CI 1.02-1.03) per 100,000 person-years. This translates into an average annual increase of UC, CD and IBD incidence by 2.5%, 2.2% and 2.4% respectively (Table 1). The age-standardised incidences are shown in Figure 1. Men had higher incidence than women in UC, but there was no difference between genders in CD. The incidences stratified by IBD subtype, gender and age group are detailed in Supplementary Data.

3.2 Incidence by age

The incidence increased in most age groups (Figure 2). The increase was most notable among the elderly aged over 70 in both UC and CD (Figure 3). The peak incidence occurred in the age group 25-29 in UC and in the age group 20-24 in CD. A smaller second peak was perceptible only in CD in the age group 45-49, caused by a peak in the incidence among women. The crude incidence of the elderly increased in UC from 10 to 20 (IRR 1.03, CI 1.03-1.04), in CD from 3 to 10 (IRR 1.05, CI 1.04 - 1.05) and in IBD overall from 14 to 31 (IRR 1.03, CI 1.03-1.04) per 100,000 in IBD.

3.3 Incidence by geographical location

In CD, the incidence increased evenly from south to north with a mean incidence of 9/100,000 in Helsinki and of 11/100,000 in the Oulu area. In UC, the incidence was highest in Oulu, with a mean incidence of 31/100,000. (Table 1).

3.4 Prevalence

During the study period 2000-2020, the crude prevalence of IBD increased from 376 to 972 with an average increase of 4.7% per year (prevalence rate ratio 1.05, CI 1.05-1.05). At the end of 2020, there were 53,800 patients entitled to IBD special reimbursement in Finland corresponding to an age-standardised prevalence of 975/100,000. The crude prevalence of IBD among men reached 1,035 (95% CI 1023-1047) and among women 911 (95% CI 900-922) per 100,000. The prevalence was highest in the age group 40-54, and 33% of the prevalent cases were in the elderly group. Point and age-specific prevalences are reported in Supplementary Data.

4. Discussion

In this nationwide study we showed that during the years 2000-2020 the incidence of IBD continued to increase in Finland. The crude IR of UC increased up to 35, in CD up to 13 and in IBD up to 48 per 100,000 person-years. The increase was most substantial among the elderly. In UC, men had significantly higher incidence than women, but there was no difference in CD. We detected a clear north-to-south gradient with incidences highest in the northern parts of Finland. The crude prevalence of IBD increased from 376 to 972 per 100,000.

The ongoing increase in incidence can only be explained by environmental factors. The diagnostics of IBD and the accessibility of diagnostic services in Finland have remained stable during the past two decades. Genetics play a role in the aetiology of IBD, but this change is too rapid to be explained by genetic alterations.¹⁹ Several environmental factors have been associated with elevated risk of IBD, including urban living, exposure to antibiotics, use of oral contraceptives, tonsillectomy, smoking, consumption of soft drinks and vitamin D deficiency.²⁰ Other factors seem to lower the risk, such as breast feeding, high levels of folate, bed sharing and *Helicobacter pylori* infection. Many of these factors are related to the hygienic and modern lifestyle common in industrialised countries. Finland is no exception to westernisation, and for years Finland's living conditions have been ranked among the top five globally.²¹ This standard of living may explain the rise in incidence. Finland's northern location, and hence scarce sun exposure also predisposes to vitamin D deficiency. A recent systematic review demonstrated an association between obesity and CD, but not UC.²² An even more recent report suggests morbid obesity as an independent risk factor for both UC and CD.²³ Obesity in Finland is a common and growing problem among children and adults.^{24,25} More studies are needed to determine whether there is a causal relationship between obesity and IBD, or if they are affected by the same factors, such as the consumption of processed foods.

Several reports have demonstrated the stabilising incidence of IBD in western countries.^{2,26,27} A recent Norwegian incidence study showed a stable incidence of IBD in 2010-2017 and a Swedish study demonstrated a decline in the incidence of IBD, CU and CD in the period 2002-2014.^{9,10} There are also

reports from Europe showing constantly increasing incidence.^{4,5,28} In our study the rise in the incidence was continuous. Since Norway, Sweden and Finland have similar societies and geographical locations, it is hard to find the underlying reason for this difference.

Our study is consistent with several Nordic subtype reports stating that the incidence of UC is higher than of CD.^{4,9,10} In these studies from Denmark, Sweden and Norway the incidence of UC is approximately twice as high as that of CD. In our study the ratio was even higher 2.8. There are also numerous reports from all over the world demonstrating the predominance of CD.² The same Nordic studies show CD to be more common in women, whereas our study demonstrated equal incidence between genders in CD. However, in our data the incidence of CD peaked in young women. It is hypothesised that sex hormones and oral contraceptives are associated with development of IBD and may alter the disease progression in CD.^{29,30}

Young adulthood is commonly reported to be the peak age of IBD incidence, and accordingly, we found the incidence of UC to peak in the age group 25-29 and that of CD in the age group 20-24. Often a second peak is seen in UC, but our data did not show any.^{4,31-33} The cause of this second peak in older patients has sometimes been speculated to be misdiagnosis. Since microscopic colitis, diverticulosis with its complications and ischaemic colitis are more common in the elderly, they may be misdiagnosed as IBD. In our data the incidence decreased evenly in older age groups, thereby supporting the idea of accurate diagnosis.

We found the incidence to increase most markedly among the elderly. The results of IBD incidence reported in the literature among the elderly are inconsistent; some showing increase and some decrease.^{5,27} It is possible that the SII registry contains some misdiagnosed elderly IBD cases. However, our IRs are comparable with Swedish results from the same era.⁸ A few studies report elderly-onset IBD to have distinctive phenotypical characteristics.¹⁴ The exceptional features and their causes in the elderly-onset IBD need further investigation.

IBD incidence is highest in the northern parts of Finland. There are neither known genetical differences nor ethnical subgroups in the Finnish population that could explain the North-South gradient. The northern parts of Finland are also more rural, which according to studies should protect from IBD.²⁰

IBD prevalence in Finland has reached 1% of population. This is no surprise since the incidence is increasing and the IBD associated mortality rate is low.³⁴ As a result, the growing group of IBD patients burdens health care unprecedentedly. In addition, elderly IBD patients with their comorbidities and polypharmacy also pose a treatment challenge. Novel approaches are needed to manage the patient volumes and to keep the costs affordable. For example, digital solutions to monitor patients remotely are being increasingly introduced. Moreover, IBD nurses are taking more responsibility in the management and follow-up of patients.^{35,36} And certainly, more research is needed to identify causative agents behind IBD and to improve disease prevention.

The strength of this study is the comprehensive nationwide coverage of IBD patients. SII policy of granting lifetime reimbursement for medicine costs and the application without effort from patients' side are drivers that are rarely disregarded. Therefore, virtually all IBD patients are listed in the SII IBD registry. The rare exceptions occur with patients never expected to need IBD medication, for example if UC is diagnosed and colectomy performed during the same admission.

A major limitation in our data is lack of follow-up. In the SII Special Reimbursement Registry, all data are recorded at the time of diagnosis. Misdiagnoses becoming apparent later and changes in IBD subtypes will therefore remain uncorrected. This may have caused our data to overestimate both incidence and prevalence. A study using the same SII registry revealed reclassification in 9% of IBD cases⁷, while a Swedish study showed reclassification in 18% of IBD cases after a follow-up of four years.³⁷ In addition, the SII data on UC and CD subtypes for the 1990s are incomplete, hence the prevalences of UC and CD cannot be calculated separately.

To summarise, we report an increasing incidence of UC and CD, and of IBD prevalence of 1% in Finland. Our incidence and prevalence rates in this nationwide population-based registry study are among the highest in

the world. The increase in incidence is most marked among the elderly. The reason behind the rising incidence is unclear.

Funding

This work was supported by Hospital District of South Ostrobothnia [1031/02.05.01/2020 to KK]. The funder had no role in performing the study or writing the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

KK has received personal fees from Pfizer, Takeda and Janssen-Cilag. PO, HH and AJ have no conflict of interest to declare.

Authors' contribution

All authors participated in the study design and read and approved the final version. KK performed data management and HH statistical analyses. KK wrote the manuscript. PO, HH and AJ were involved in manuscript editing.

Data Availability Statement

The data underlying this article are available in online supplementary material.

References

1. Molodecky NA, Soon IS, Rabi DM, et al. Increasing incidence and prevalence of the inflammatory bowel diseases with time, based on systematic review. *Gastroenterology*. 2012;142(1):46-54.e42; quiz e30. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2011.10.001
2. Ng SC, Shi HY, Hamidi N, et al. Worldwide incidence and prevalence of inflammatory bowel disease in the 21st century: a systematic review of population-based studies. *The Lancet*. 2017;390(10114):2769-2778. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32448-0
3. King D, Reulen RC, Thomas T, et al. Changing patterns in the epidemiology and outcomes of inflammatory bowel disease in the United Kingdom: 2000-2018. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2020;51(10):922-934. doi:10.1111/apt.15701
4. Lophaven SN, Lynge E, Burisch J. The incidence of inflammatory bowel disease in Denmark 1980-2013: a nationwide cohort study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2017;45(7):961-972. doi:10.1111/apt.13971
5. Keyashian K, Dehghan M, Sceats L, Kin C, Limketkai BN, Park KT. Comparative Incidence of Inflammatory Bowel Disease in Different Age Groups in the United States. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2019;25(12):1983-1989. doi:10.1093/ibd/izz092
6. Jussila A, Virta LJ, Salomaa V, Mäki J, Jula A, Färkkilä MA. High and increasing prevalence of inflammatory bowel disease in Finland with a clear North-South difference. *J Crohns Colitis*. 2013;7(7):e256-262. doi:10.1016/j.crohns.2012.10.007
7. Jussila A, Virta LJ, Kautiainen H, Rekiaro M, Nieminen U, Färkkilä MA. Increasing incidence of inflammatory bowel diseases between 2000 and 2007: a nationwide register study in Finland. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2012;18(3):555-561. doi:10.1002/ibd.21695
8. Everhov ÅH, Halfvarson J, Myrelid P, et al. Incidence and Treatment of Patients Diagnosed With Inflammatory Bowel Diseases at 60 Years or Older in Sweden. *Gastroenterology*. 2018;154(3):518-528.e15. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2017.10.034
9. Forss A, Clements M, Bergman D, et al. A nationwide cohort study of the incidence of inflammatory bowel disease in Sweden from 1990 to 2014. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2022;55(6):691-699. doi:10.1111/apt.16735
10. Lirhus SS, Høivik ML, Moum B, Anisdahl K, Melberg HO. Incidence and Prevalence of Inflammatory Bowel Disease in Norway and the Impact of Different Case Definitions: A Nationwide Registry Study. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2021;13:287-294. doi:10.2147/CLEP.S303797
11. Björnsson S, Tryggvason Fp, Jónasson JG, et al. Incidence of inflammatory bowel disease in Iceland 1995 - 2009. A nationwide population-based study. *Scand J Gastroenterol*. 2015;50(11):1368-1375. doi:10.3109/00365521.2015.1047792
12. Sturm A, Maaser C, Mendall M, et al. European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation Topical Review on IBD in the Elderly. *J Crohns Colitis*. 2017;11(3):263-273. doi:10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjw188
13. Rozich JJ, Dulai PS, Fumery M, Sandborn WJ, Singh S. Progression of Elderly-Onset Inflammatory Bowel Diseases: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Population-based Cohort Studies. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2020;18(11):2437-2447.e6. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2020.02.048

14. Ananthakrishnan AN, Shi HY, Tang W, et al. Systematic Review and Meta-analysis: Phenotype and Clinical Outcomes of Older-onset Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *J Crohns Colitis*. 2016;10(10):1224-1236. doi:10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjw054
15. Lehtinen P, Ashorn M, Iltanen S, et al. Incidence trends of pediatric inflammatory bowel disease in Finland, 1987-2003, a nationwide study. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2011;17(8):1778-1783. doi:10.1002/ibd.21550
16. Statistical database Kelasto. kela.fi. Accessed May 13, 2022. <https://www.kela.fi/web/en/statistical-database-kelasto>
17. Statistics Finland. Accessed February 22, 2022. https://www.stat.fi/index_en.html
18. Revision of the European Standard Population - Report of Eurostat's task force - 2013 edition. Accessed May 5, 2022. <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/products-manuals-and-guidelines/-/ks-ra-13-028>
19. Ananthakrishnan AN. Epidemiology and risk factors for IBD. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2015;12(4):205-217. doi:10.1038/nrgastro.2015.34
20. Piovani D, Danese S, Peyrin-Biroulet L, Nikolopoulos GK, Lytras T, Bonovas S. Environmental Risk Factors for Inflammatory Bowel Diseases: An Umbrella Review of Meta-analyses. *Gastroenterology*. 2019;157(3):647-659.e4. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2019.04.016
21. Global Index: Overview. Social Progress Imperative. Accessed May 17, 2022. <https://www.socialprogress.org/index/global>
22. Bhagavathula AS, Clark CCT, Rahmani J, Chattu VK. Impact of Body Mass Index on the Development of Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Systematic Review and Dose-Response Analysis of 15.6 Million Participants. *Healthcare*. 2021;9(1):35. doi:10.3390/healthcare9010035
23. Canete Pizarro FC, Vela E, Calafat M, Clèries M, Mañosa M, Domènech E. DOP05 Morbid obesity, a new susceptibility factor for developing Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Results of a population-based study. *J Crohns Colitis*. 2022;16(Supplement_1):i056. doi:10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjab232.044
24. Vuorela N, Saha MT, Salo MK. Change in prevalence of overweight and obesity in Finnish children - comparison between 1974 and 2001. *Acta Paediatr*. 2011;100(1):109-115. doi:10.1111/j.1651-2227.2010.01980.x
25. Obesity - THL. Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare (THL), Finland. Accessed March 29, 2022. <https://thl.fi/en/web/lifestyles-and-nutrition/obesity>
26. Hamilton B, Green H, Heerasing N, et al. Incidence and prevalence of inflammatory bowel disease in Devon, UK. *Frontline Gastroenterol*. 2021;12(6):461-470. doi:10.1136/flgastro-2019-101369
27. Stulman MY, Asayag N, Focht G, et al. Epidemiology of Inflammatory Bowel Diseases in Israel: A Nationwide Epi-Israeli IBD Research Nucleus Study. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2021;27(11):1784-1794. doi:10.1093/ibd/izaa341
28. Chaparro M, Garre A, Núñez Ortiz A, et al. Incidence, Clinical Characteristics and Management of Inflammatory Bowel Disease in Spain: Large-Scale Epidemiological Study. *J Clin Med*. 2021;10(13):2885. doi:10.3390/jcm10132885

29. Khalili H, Granath F, Smedby KE, et al. Association Between Long-term Oral Contraceptive Use and Risk of Crohn's Disease Complications in a Nationwide Study. *Gastroenterology*. 2016;150(7):1561-1567.e1. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2016.02.041
30. Cornish JA, Tan E, Simillis C, Clark SK, Teare J, Tekkis PP. The risk of oral contraceptives in the etiology of inflammatory bowel disease: a meta-analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2008;103(9):2394-2400. doi:10.1111/j.1572-0241.2008.02064.x
31. Sjöberg D, Holmström T, Larsson M, et al. Incidence and natural history of ulcerative colitis in the Uppsala Region of Sweden 2005-2009 - results from the IBD cohort of the Uppsala Region (ICURE). *J Crohns Colitis*. 2013;7(9):e351-357. doi:10.1016/j.crohns.2013.02.006
32. Romberg-Camps MJL, Hesselink-van de Kruijs MAM, Schouten LJ, et al. Inflammatory Bowel Disease in South Limburg (the Netherlands) 1991-2002: Incidence, diagnostic delay, and seasonal variations in onset of symptoms. *J Crohns Colitis*. 2009;3(2):115-124. doi:10.1016/j.crohns.2008.12.002
33. Loftus CG, Loftus EV, Harmsen WS, et al. Update on the incidence and prevalence of Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis in Olmsted County, Minnesota, 1940-2000. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2007;13(3):254-261. doi:10.1002/ibd.20029
34. Jussila A, Virta LJ, Pukkala E, Färkkilä MA. Mortality and causes of death in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: a nationwide register study in Finland. *J Crohns Colitis*. 2014;8(9):1088-1096. doi:10.1016/j.crohns.2014.02.015
35. Molander P, Jussila A, Toivonen T, Mäkkeli P, Alho A, Kolho KL. The impacts of an inflammatory bowel disease nurse specialist on the quality of care and costs in Finland. *Scand J Gastroenterol*. 2018;53(12):1463-1468. doi:10.1080/00365521.2018.1541477
36. Kaplan GG, Ng SC. Understanding and Preventing the Global Increase of Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Gastroenterology*. 2017;152(2):313-321.e2. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2016.10.020
37. Everhov ÅH, Sachs MC, Malmborg P, et al. Changes in inflammatory bowel disease subtype during follow-up and over time in 44,302 patients. *Scand J Gastroenterol*. 2019;54(1):55-63. doi:10.1080/00365521.2018.1564361

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Age-standardised incidence of ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease (CD) in Finland.

Figure 2. Age-specific incidence of (a) ulcerative colitis (UC) and (b) Crohn's disease (CD).

Figure 3. Age-specific incidence rate ratios (IRR) of ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease with 95% confidence intervals, in 2000-2020.

Tables

Table 1. Incidence rate ratios (IRR) 2000-2020.

	Ulcerative colitis			Crohn's disease		
	IRR	95%CI	p-Value	IRR	95%CI	p-Value
Overall	1.03	1.02-1.03	<0.001	1.02	1.02-1.03	<0.001
Female	1.02	1.02-1.03	<0.001	1.02	1.02-1.03	<0.001
Male	1.03	1.02-1.03	<0.001	1.02	1.02-1.02	<0.001
Male vs. female	1.26	1.23-1.28	<0.001	1.02	0.98-1.06	0.349
Age group vs. >75 years						
0 - 4	0.22	0.18-0.27	<0.001	0.38	0.28-0.50	<0.001
5 - 9	0.50	0.43-0.57	<0.001	0.87	0.71-1.06	0.158
10 - 14	1.43	1.30-1.58	<0.001	3.17	2.74-3.66	<0.001
15 - 19	3.81	3.51-4.13	<0.001	5.26	4.60-6.03	<0.001
20 - 24	5.58	5.17-6.03	<0.001	6.46	5.66-7.37	<0.001
25 - 29	6.47	6.00-6.98	<0.001	6.11	5.35-6.97	<0.001
30 - 34	5.98	5.54-6.45	<0.001	5.12	4.47-5.86	<0.001
35 - 39	4.94	4.57-5.34	<0.001	4.39	3.83-5.04	<0.001
40 - 44	4.20	3.88-4.54	<0.001	3.85	3.35-4.42	<0.001
45 - 49	3.68	3.39-3.98	<0.001	4.04	3.52-4.64	<0.001
50 - 54	3.06	2.82-3.31	<0.001	3.53	3.07-4.06	<0.001
55 - 59	2.90	2.68-3.15	<0.001	3.15	2.74-3.63	<0.001
60 - 64	2.71	2.49-2.94	<0.001	3.04	2.63-3.50	<0.001
65 - 69	2.46	2.26-2.69	<0.001	2.67	2.30-3.10	<0.001
70 - 74	1.89	1.71-2.08	<0.001	2.22	1.89-2.60	<0.001
Hospital area vs. Helsinki						

Turku	0.97	0.94-1.00	0.062	1.21	1.15-1.28	<0.001
Tampere	1.02	0.98-1.05	0.299	1.07	1.01-1.13	0.020
Kuopio	0.95	0.91-0.98	0.002	1.08	1.02-1.14	0.010
Oulu	1.13	1.09-1.17	<0.001	1.27	1.20-1.35	<0.001

Figures



